



EIGHTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT BAR ASSOCIATION, INC.

Main Office
P. O. Box 13924
Gainesville, FL 32604

Tele: (352) 380-0333
Fax: (866) 436-5944
Email: execdir@8jcba.org

Raymond F. Brady
President

Nancy T. Baldwin
Past President

Robert M. Birrenkott, Jr.
President-Elect

Stephanie M. Marchman
*President-Elect
Designate*

Sharon T. Sperling
Treasurer

Leslie Haswell
Secretary

Executive Director:
Judy Padgett

2014 Judicial Poll Overview

The lawyers of the Eighth Judicial Circuit have a vital interest in attracting and retaining judicial officers with the highest possible qualifications, so that our courts demonstrate trust, respect and honor for our laws. This duty and responsibility of lawyers is recognized in the Rules of Professional Conduct that govern lawyers in Florida.

To assist and educate the public about this circuit's judicial officers, the Eighth Judicial Circuit Bar Association surveyed lawyers who practice law in our circuit. Our circuit comprises Alachua, Baker, Bradford, Gilchrist, Levy and Union counties.

The poll is intended to reflect the composite opinion of the local lawyers concerning the judicial abilities demonstrated during the past year for each of the judicial officers listed. Lawyers were instructed to disregard political considerations, and vote their conscience and opinion. If a lawyer did not have knowledge or experience as to a judicial officer's experience or capability in any one area sufficient to form a reasoned opinion, the lawyer was instructed to vote "No Opinion" as to that area.

The poll captured the lawyers' opinions in three broad categories:

- Legal acumen;
- Communication skills; and
- Professionalism.

Within each of these categories were more specific categories, as follows:

Legal Acumen:

- Demonstrates knowledge of the law;
 - Listens to legal arguments;
 - Comprehends legal concepts;
 - Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of the law;
- and
- Willing to make decisions based on established law.

Communication Skills:

- Able to articulate questions and decisions;
- Written decisions are well reasoned;
- Written decisions are clear;
- Written decisions are concise; and
- Written decisions address issues raised.

Professionalism:

- Shows courtesy/civility;
- Shows patience/temperament;
- Shows industry/promptness;
- Has integrity/ethics;
- Shows impartiality;
- Shows preparedness; and
- Participates in professional activities.

The scale used by the lawyers to evaluate each judicial officer was as follows:

- 6 - Strongly agree;
- 5 - Moderately agree;
- 4 - Slightly agree;
- 3 - Slightly disagree;
- 2 - Moderately disagree;
- 1 - Strongly disagree.

While the EJCBA is providing you with all of the results, please carefully note that the results become significantly less meaningful as the number of lawyers who responded to any single judicial officer decreases. For example, if only 10 people responded to a judicial officer, one voter has the ability to significantly impact the outcome, making the overall results for that judicial officer less reliable.

The Association included federal area judicial officers and administrative hearing officers, even though these are appointed positions, rather than elected.

In addition to the detailed results, poll summary results are presented for each judicial officer based on averages as well as the most frequent response for each category.

This year, 255 lawyers participated in the poll.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Summary

Average Rating by Lawyers

Scale:

- 6 - Strongly Agree
- 5 - Moderately Agree
- 4 - Slightly Agree
- 3 - Slightly Disagree
- 2 - Moderately Disagree
- 1 - Strongly Disagree

Circuit Judges:

	Number of Responses	Legal Acumen:	Demonstrates knowledge of the law	Listens to legal arguments	Comprehends legal concepts	Analytically reaches decisions	Willing to make decisions based on established law	Communications Skills:	Able to articulate questions & decisions	Written Decisions:	Are well reasoned	Are clear	Are concise	Address issues raised	Professionalism:	Shows courtesy/civility	Shows patience/temperament	Shows industry/prompness	Has integrity/ethics	Shows impartiality	Shows preparedness	Professional Activities:	Participates
Honorable Robert E. Roundtree, Jr.	106	5.65	5.39	5.62	5.35	5.44	5.57	5.47	5.57	5.65	5.54	5.40	5.27	5.58	5.59	5.27	5.52	5.70	5.82	5.76	5.52	5.70	
Honorable Monica J. Brasington	56	5.13	5.65	5.33	5.13	5.40	5.65	5.32	5.35	5.47	5.54	5.85	5.83	5.89	5.87	5.66	5.76	5.82	5.82	5.82	5.82	5.82	
Honorable Mary Day Coker	103	4.75	4.79	4.69	4.34	4.60	4.80	4.45	4.60	4.74	4.71	5.11	4.86	4.33	5.25	4.81	4.48	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	
Honorable James M. Colaw	64	5.23	5.35	5.29	5.11	5.40	5.48	5.16	5.20	5.23	5.27	5.69	5.62	5.68	5.64	5.52	5.51	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	
Honorable William E. Davis	86	5.50	5.60	5.51	5.41	5.47	5.58	5.43	5.56	5.56	5.54	5.74	5.77	5.71	5.69	5.60	5.62	5.79	5.79	5.79	5.79	5.79	
Honorable Stanley H. Griffis	121	3.43	3.26	3.51	3.18	3.26	3.84	3.12	3.54	3.77	3.58	3.32	2.89	4.02	3.68	3.33	3.54	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.78	
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	89	5.38	5.51	5.52	5.40	5.46	5.57	5.37	5.52	5.52	5.56	5.73	5.60	5.72	5.74	5.40	5.68	5.80	5.80	5.80	5.80	5.80	
Honorable Victor L. Hulslander	104	5.46	5.65	5.49	5.28	5.44	5.54	5.34	5.41	5.42	5.35	5.79	5.72	5.56	5.82	5.63	5.48	5.58	5.58	5.58	5.58	5.58	
Honorable Ysleta W. McDonald	71	5.64	5.64	5.61	5.38	5.49	5.74	5.53	5.54	5.59	5.59	5.94	5.92	5.76	5.93	5.79	5.80	5.44	5.44	5.44	5.44	5.44	
Honorable Toby S. Monaco	123	5.86	5.90	5.86	5.84	5.82	5.89	5.83	5.84	5.81	5.85	5.91	5.89	5.92	5.91	5.80	5.92	5.89	5.89	5.89	5.89	5.89	
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	64	5.06	5.21	5.05	5.02	4.98	5.18	5.04	5.10	5.13	5.17	5.38	5.11	5.44	5.38	5.02	5.38	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30	
Honorable James P. Nilon	88	5.52	5.51	5.50	5.40	5.44	5.48	5.40	5.42	5.45	5.44	5.56	5.43	5.67	5.76	5.45	5.53	5.69	5.69	5.69	5.69	5.69	
Honorable Phyllis M. Rosier	70	4.77	4.77	4.79	4.56	4.77	4.81	4.72	4.85	4.87	4.98	5.03	4.80	4.79	5.29	4.80	4.90	4.81	4.81	4.81	4.81	4.81	

County Judges:

	Number of Responses	Legal Acumen:	Demonstrates knowledge of the law	Listens to legal arguments	Comprehends legal concepts	Analytically reaches decisions	Willing to make decisions based on established law	Communications Skills:	Able to articulate questions & decisions	Written Decisions:	Are well reasoned	Are clear	Are concise	Address issues raised	Professionalism:	Shows courtesy/civility	Shows patience/temperament	Shows industry/prompness	Has integrity/ethics	Shows impartiality	Shows preparedness	Professional Activities:	Participates
Alachua County:																							
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	83	5.63	5.64	5.69	5.63	5.63	5.68	5.55	5.67	5.61	5.65	5.58	5.42	5.61	5.85	5.61	5.76	5.80	5.80	5.80	5.80	5.80	
Honorable Walter M. Green	54	4.90	5.15	4.90	4.79	4.94	5.08	4.79	4.86	4.95	4.95	5.68	5.56	4.94	5.40	5.25	5.08	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	68	4.88	5.07	4.84	4.76	5.00	4.97	4.73	4.76	4.86	4.84	5.43	5.22	5.46	5.44	5.26	5.38	5.65	5.65	5.65	5.65	5.65	
Honorable David P. Kreider	59	5.43	5.43	5.38	5.24	5.29	5.58	5.39	5.41	5.43	5.41	5.66	5.52	5.51	5.70	5.45	5.55	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	
Honorable Phillip A. Pena	68	5.42	5.62	5.41	5.24	5.39	5.52	5.38	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.80	5.87	5.82	5.73	5.58	5.78	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	
Baker County: Honorable Joseph M. Williams	27	5.04	5.12	5.15	4.96	5.15	5.31	4.57	4.79	4.86	4.71	5.58	5.27	5.31	5.46	5.00	5.15	5.18	5.18	5.18	5.18	5.18	
Bradford County: Honorable Richard B. Davis, Jr.	23	5.58	5.84	5.63	5.75	5.79	5.63	5.64	5.64	5.73	5.73	5.95	5.84	5.84	5.70	5.89	5.84	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Gilchrist County: Honorable Sheree H. Lancaster	27	5.48	5.63	5.41	5.40	5.67	5.48	5.26	5.32	5.37	5.37	5.81	5.78	5.74	5.78	5.70	5.70	5.67	5.67	5.67	5.67	5.67	
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	36	5.26	5.17	5.26	4.97	5.24	5.34	5.21	5.32	5.32	5.36	5.43	5.34	5.39	5.52	5.06	5.38	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	
Union County: Honorable Bo Bayer	23	5.00	5.27	5.05	4.90	5.18	5.14	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.67	5.65	5.48	5.38	5.70	5.17	5.30	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55	

Federal District Court Judges:

Honorable Maurice M. Paul, Senior Federal District Court Judge	37	5.15	4.38	5.21	4.29	4.41	4.86	4.55	4.88	4.82	4.76	4.46	4.17	4.25	4.86	4.28	4.76	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60
Honorable Mark E. Walker, Federal District Court Judge	16	5.71	5.79	5.71	5.69	5.62	5.86	5.67	5.60	5.47	5.67	5.67	5.60	5.20	5.46	5.46	5.67	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	21	5.20	5.17	5.30	4.76	4.89	5.21	4.94	5.17	5.22	5.22	5.21	5.28	5.33	5.58	5.26	5.42	5.43	5.43	5.43	5.43	5.43

Specialized Courts:

Honorable Marjorie Renee Hill, Compensation Claims Court Judge	3	6.00	5.50	5.50	5.00	6.00	6.00	5.50	4.00	6.00	4.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	5.00	6.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Karen K. Specie, Chief Bankruptcy Judge	13	5.46	5.38	5.46	5.54	5.54	5.54	6.00	5.90	5.80	5.90	5.54	5.38	5.38	5.54	5.46	5.46	5.29	5.29	5.29	5.29	5.29

Magistrates:

Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate	31	5.10	5.17	5.21	4.92	5.19	5.28	5.00	5.13	5.21	5.13	5.23	5.20	5.47	5.41	5.23	5.40	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate	46	5.61	5.50	5.63	5.59	5.59	5.63	5.58	5.57	5.59	5.61	5.65	5.62	5.80	5.80	5.68	5.73	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	31	5.03	4.23	4.93	4.46	4.48	4.79	4.54	4.86	4.89	4.71	4.06	3.94	5.14	4.62	4.13	5.14	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.58

Hearing Officers:

Harvey E. Baxter - Hearing Officer	27	5.41	5.46	5.52	5.29	5.46	5.52	5.29	5.33	5.46	5.38	5.78	5.78	5.67	5.70	5.63	5.56	5.60	5.60	5.60	5.60	5.60
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	26	4.96	5.15	4.88	4.87	4.92	5.24	4.94	5.21	5.21	5.21	5.38	5.19	5.04	5.38	4.96	5.00	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10
Susanne Wilson Bullard - Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer	37	5.24	5.14	5.24	5.09	5.14	5.31	5.31	5.45	5.52	5.41	5.43	5.27	5.56	5.47	5.19	5.42	5.52	5.52	5.52	5.52	5.52

Average Rating for all Judicial Officers

5.26	5.27	5.27	5.07	5.22	5.36	5.13	5.19	5.28	5.22	5.45	5.34	5.41	5.52	5.25	5.40	5.30
------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

Footnotes:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

"Number of Responses" represents the total number of lawyers who evaluated this judicial officer. In any given characteristic evaluated, however, less than the total number of lawyers may have provided a response because there were lawyers who responded "no opinion". Please see each Judicial Officer's Detailed Results for complete results.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Summary

Most Frequent Response by Lawyers

Scale:
6 - Strongly Agree
5 - Moderately Agree
4 - Slightly Agree
3 - Slightly Disagree
2 - Moderately Disagree
1 - Strongly Disagree

Circuit Judges:

Honorable Rotbert E. Roundtree, Jr.	106
Honorable Monica J. Brasington	56
Honorable Mary Day Coker	103
Honorable James M. Colaw	64
Honorable William E. Davis	86
Honorable Stanley H. Griffis	121
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	89
Honorable Victor L. Hulslander	104
Honorable Ysleta W. McDonald	71
Honorable Toby S. Monaco	123
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	64
Honorable James P. Nilon	88
Honorable Phyllis M. Rosier	70

County Judges:

Alachua County:	
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	83
Honorable Walter M. Green	54
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	68
Honorable David P. Kreider	59
Honorable Phillip A Pena	68
Baker County: Honorable Joseph M. Williams	27
Bradford County: Honorable Richard B. Davis, Jr.	23
Gilchrist County: Honorable Sheree H. Lancaster	27
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	36
Union County: Honorable Bo Bayer	23

Federal District Court Judges:

Honorable Maurice M. Paul, Senior Federal District Court Judge	37
Honorable Mark E. Walker, Federal District Court Judge	16
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	21

Specialized Courts:

Honorable Marjorie Renee Hill, Compensation Claims Court Judge	3
Karen K. Specie, Chief Bankruptcy Judge	13

Magistrates:

Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate	31
Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate	46
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	31

Hearing Officers:

Harvey E. Baxter - Hearing Officer	27
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	26
Susanne Wilson Bullard - Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer	37

	<i>Number of Responses</i>	<i>Legal Acumen:</i>	<i>Demonstrates knowledge of the law</i>	<i>Listens to legal arguments</i>	<i>Comprehends legal concepts</i>	<i>Analytically reaches decisions</i>	<i>Willing to make decisions based on established law</i>	<i>Communications Skills:</i>	<i>Able to articulate questions & decisions</i>	<i>Written Decisions:</i>	<i>Are well/reasoned</i>	<i>Are clear</i>	<i>Are concise</i>	<i>Address issues raised</i>	<i>Professionalism:</i>	<i>Shows courtesy/civility</i>	<i>Shows patience/temperament</i>	<i>Shows industry/promptness</i>	<i>Has integrity/ethics</i>	<i>Shows impartiality</i>	<i>Shows preparedness</i>	<i>Professional Activities:</i>	<i>Participates</i>
Honorable Rotbert E. Roundtree, Jr.	106	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Monica J. Brasington	56	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Mary Day Coker	103	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable James M. Colaw	64	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable William E. Davis	86	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Stanley H. Griffis	121	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	1	1	6	6	1	1	6	6	6
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	89	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Victor L. Hulslander	104	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Ysleta W. McDonald	71	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Toby S. Monaco	123	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	64	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable James P. Nilon	88	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Phyllis M. Rosier	70	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Alachua County:																							
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	83	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Walter M. Green	54	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	68	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable David P. Kreider	59	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Phillip A Pena	68	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Baker County: Honorable Joseph M. Williams	27	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Bradford County: Honorable Richard B. Davis, Jr.	23	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Gilchrist County: Honorable Sheree H. Lancaster	27	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	36	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Union County: Honorable Bo Bayer	23	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Federal District Court Judges:																							
Honorable Maurice M. Paul, Senior Federal District Court Judge	37	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5
Honorable Mark E. Walker, Federal District Court Judge	16	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	21	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Specialized Courts:																							
Honorable Marjorie Renee Hill, Compensation Claims Court Judge	3	6	6,5	6,5	6,4	6	6	6	6,5	6,2	6	6,2	6	6	6	6	6	6,4	6	6	6	6	5
Karen K. Specie, Chief Bankruptcy Judge	13	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Magistrates:																							
Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate	31	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate	46	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	31	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Hearing Officers:																							
Harvey E. Baxter - Hearing Officer	27	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	26	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Susanne Wilson Bullard - Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer	37	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Most Frequent Response for all Judicial Officers		6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6

Footnotes:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

"Number of Responses" represents the total number of lawyers who evaluated this judicial officer. In any given characteristic evaluated, however, less than the total number of lawyers may have provided a response because there were lawyers who responded "no opinion". Please see each Judicial Officer's Detailed Results for complete results.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Robert E. Roundtree, Chief Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 106

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.65	6	81	76.5%	18	17.0%	5	4.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.39	6	68	64.2%	25	23.6%	6	5.7%	2	1.9%	3	2.8%	2	1.8%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.62	6	82	77.4%	16	15.1%	4	3.8%	1	0.9%	2	1.9%	1	0.9%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.35	6	64	64.7%	22	22.2%	5	5.1%	2	2.0%	4	4.0%	2	2.0%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.44	6	73	70.9%	15	14.6%	8	7.8%	3	2.9%	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.57	6	79	75.2%	16	15.2%	4	3.8%	4	3.8%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.47	6	62	69.7%	16	18.0%	5	5.6%	4	4.5%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	17
Are clear	5.57	6	66	75.0%	13	14.8%	5	5.7%	2	2.3%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	18
Are concise	5.65	6	68	77.4%	12	13.6%	6	6.8%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	18
Address issues raised	5.54	6	67	74.5%	13	14.4%	7	7.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	2	2.2%	16
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.40	6	71	67.6%	19	18.1%	7	6.7%	4	3.8%	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.27	6	66	62.9%	18	17.1%	12	11.4%	4	3.8%	2	1.9%	3	2.9%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.58	6	84	79.2%	11	10.4%	6	5.7%	1	0.9%	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.59	6	80	76.9%	13	12.5%	6	5.8%	3	2.9%	1	1.0%	1	0.9%	2
Shows impartiality	5.27	6	69	65.1%	15	14.2%	12	11.3%	5	4.7%	2	1.9%	3	2.8%	0
Shows preparedness	5.52	6	75	72.8%	14	13.6%	11	10.7%	0	0.0%	2	1.9%	1	1.0%	3
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.70	6	56	80.0%	9	12.9%	4	5.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	36

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Monica J. Brasington, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 56

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.13	6	34	60.7%	10	17.9%	6	10.7%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	4	7.1%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.65	6	38	74.5%	8	15.7%	5	9.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
Comprehends legal concepts	5.33	6	39	70.9%	7	12.7%	4	7.3%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	3	5.5%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.13	6	28	62.3%	7	15.6%	4	8.9%	2	4.4%	2	4.4%	2	4.4%	11
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.40	6	36	69.3%	9	17.3%	4	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	2	3.8%	4
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.65	6	41	75.9%	9	16.7%	3	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.32	6	24	64.9%	8	21.6%	2	5.4%	0	0.0%	2	5.4%	1	2.7%	19
Are clear	5.35	6	25	67.6%	7	18.9%	2	5.4%	0	0.0%	2	5.4%	1	2.7%	19
Are concise	5.47	6	25	69.4%	7	19.4%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	20
Address issues raised	5.54	6	26	70.3%	8	21.6%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	19
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.85	6	49	90.7%	2	3.7%	3	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.83	6	47	87.0%	5	9.3%	2	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.89	6	50	92.6%	2	3.7%	2	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.87	6	47	90.4%	3	5.8%	2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Shows impartiality	5.66	6	44	83.0%	4	7.5%	3	5.7%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	3
Shows preparedness	5.76	6	48	88.9%	2	3.7%	3	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.82	6	28	84.9%	4	12.1%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Mary Day Coker, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 103

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.75	6	46	45.1%	22	21.6%	14	13.7%	8	7.8%	7	6.9%	5	4.9%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.79	6	42	41.6%	32	31.7%	8	7.9%	8	7.9%	5	5.0%	6	5.9%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	4.69	6	44	43.6%	21	20.8%	15	14.9%	8	7.9%	8	7.9%	5	4.9%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.34	6	28	31.8%	24	27.3%	9	10.2%	12	13.6%	7	8.0%	8	9.1%	15
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.60	6	36	36.0%	32	32.0%	10	10.0%	7	7.0%	8	8.0%	7	7.0%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.80	6	44	44.5%	21	21.2%	16	16.2%	10	10.1%	4	4.0%	4	4.0%	4
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.45	6	28	37.8%	15	20.3%	11	14.9%	8	10.8%	6	8.1%	6	8.1%	29
Are clear	4.60	6	28	38.9%	16	22.2%	13	18.1%	6	8.3%	4	5.6%	5	6.9%	31
Are concise	4.74	6	30	41.7%	17	23.6%	14	19.4%	3	4.2%	3	4.2%	5	6.9%	31
Address issues raised	4.71	6	28	38.4%	20	27.4%	13	17.8%	4	5.5%	4	5.5%	4	5.4%	30
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.11	6	56	54.4%	24	23.3%	12	11.7%	3	2.9%	5	4.9%	3	2.8%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.86	6	44	42.7%	27	26.2%	17	16.5%	7	6.8%	5	4.9%	3	2.9%	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.33	6	39	38.6%	17	16.8%	12	11.9%	11	10.9%	15	14.9%	7	6.9%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.25	6	60	60.0%	21	21.0%	11	11.0%	2	2.0%	4	4.0%	2	2.0%	3
Shows impartiality	4.81	6	52	51.0%	17	16.7%	13	12.7%	9	8.8%	4	3.9%	7	6.9%	1
Shows preparedness	4.48	6	44	43.6%	17	16.8%	11	10.9%	11	10.9%	8	7.9%	10	9.9%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.92	6	26	50.0%	10	19.2%	8	15.4%	3	5.8%	4	7.7%	1	1.9%	51

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. James M. Colaw, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 64

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.23	6	36	58.2%	19	30.6%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	3	4.8%	3	4.8%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.35	6	42	67.7%	14	22.6%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	4	6.5%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.29	6	39	63.0%	17	27.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	4.8%	3	4.8%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.11	6	32	60.4%	12	22.6%	2	3.8%	1	1.9%	2	3.8%	4	7.5%	11
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.40	6	41	68.3%	13	21.7%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	2	3.3%	2	3.3%	4
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.48	6	46	76.7%	7	11.7%	3	5.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.3%	2	3.3%	4
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.16	6	26	57.8%	11	24.4%	4	8.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	3	6.7%	19
Are clear	5.20	6	26	59.1%	12	27.3%	2	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	3	6.8%	20
Are concise	5.23	6	26	59.2%	12	27.3%	2	4.5%	0	0.0%	2	4.5%	2	4.5%	20
Address issues raised	5.27	6	29	64.5%	10	22.2%	2	4.4%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	3	6.7%	19
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.69	6	52	85.3%	6	9.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.3%	1	1.6%	3
Shows patience/temperament	5.62	6	50	83.4%	5	8.3%	2	3.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	2	3.3%	4
Shows industry/promptness	5.68	6	52	86.7%	4	6.7%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	2	3.3%	1	1.6%	4
Has integrity/ethics	5.64	6	50	86.3%	3	5.2%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	6
Shows impartiality	5.52	6	49	81.7%	6	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.3%	3	5.0%	4
Shows preparedness	5.51	6	48	78.7%	7	11.5%	2	3.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	3	4.9%	3
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.50	6	22	68.8%	8	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	1	3.1%	32

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. William E. Davis, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 86

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.50	6	65	75.6%	11	12.8%	4	4.7%	2	2.3%	2	2.3%	2	2.3%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.60	6	70	81.4%	9	10.5%	2	2.3%	1	1.2%	2	2.3%	2	2.3%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.51	6	67	77.9%	9	10.5%	4	4.7%	1	1.2%	3	3.5%	2	2.2%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.41	6	59	72.8%	11	13.6%	3	3.7%	3	3.7%	3	3.7%	2	2.5%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.47	6	64	75.3%	11	12.9%	4	4.7%	1	1.2%	2	2.4%	3	3.5%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.58	6	66	78.6%	11	13.1%	3	3.6%	0	0.0%	2	2.4%	2	2.3%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.43	6	51	74.0%	10	14.5%	3	4.3%	0	0.0%	2	2.9%	3	4.3%	17
Are clear	5.56	6	53	77.9%	8	11.8%	3	4.4%	1	1.5%	2	2.9%	1	1.5%	18
Are concise	5.56	6	53	77.9%	8	11.8%	3	4.4%	1	1.5%	2	2.9%	1	1.5%	18
Address issues raised	5.54	6	53	78.1%	9	13.2%	2	2.9%	0	0.0%	2	2.9%	2	2.9%	18
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.74	6	76	100.0%	4	0.0%	3	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.77	6	76	88.4%	5	5.8%	3	3.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	1	1.1%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.71	6	74	87.1%	5	5.9%	3	3.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	2	2.3%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.69	6	75	87.2%	4	4.7%	2	2.3%	2	2.3%	2	2.3%	1	1.2%	0
Shows impartiality	5.60	6	71	82.6%	6	7.0%	4	4.7%	2	2.3%	1	1.2%	2	2.2%	0
Shows preparedness	5.62	6	69	81.2%	9	10.6%	3	3.5%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	2	2.3%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.79	6	41	87.2%	4	8.5%	0	0.0%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Stanley H. Griffis, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 121

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	3.43	1	32	26.7%	15	12.5%	10	8.3%	13	10.8%	15	12.5%	35	29.2%	1
Listens to legal arguments	3.26	1	30	24.8%	8	6.6%	18	14.9%	12	9.9%	14	11.6%	39	32.2%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	3.51	1	30	25.0%	18	15.0%	12	10.0%	15	12.5%	13	10.8%	32	26.7%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	3.18	1	26	23.2%	14	12.5%	7	6.3%	13	11.6%	11	9.8%	41	36.6%	9
Willing to make decisions based on established law	3.26	1	25	21.0%	16	13.4%	12	10.1%	15	12.6%	14	11.8%	37	31.1%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	3.84	6	36	30.0%	16	13.3%	23	19.2%	9	7.5%	10	8.3%	26	21.7%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	3.12	1	24	23.3%	10	9.7%	10	9.7%	8	7.8%	12	11.7%	39	37.8%	18
Are clear	3.54	6	31	100.0%	6	0.0%	15	0.0%	9	0.0%	15	0.0%	25	0.0%	20
Are concise	3.77	6	34	34.0%	5	5.0%	21	21.0%	7	7.0%	10	10.0%	23	23.0%	21
Address issues raised	3.58	6	31	31.0%	7	7.0%	14	14.0%	10	10.0%	13	13.0%	25	25.0%	21
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	3.32	1	29	24.2%	14	11.7%	11	9.2%	18	15.0%	8	6.7%	40	33.2%	1
Shows patience/temperament	2.89	1	20	16.8%	13	10.8%	9	7.5%	16	13.3%	16	13.3%	46	38.3%	1
Shows industry/promptness	4.02	6	48	41.5%	7	6.0%	20	17.2%	7	6.0%	8	6.9%	26	22.4%	5
Has integrity/ethics	3.68	6	39	35.2%	8	7.2%	13	11.7%	13	11.7%	6	5.4%	32	28.8%	10
Shows impartiality	3.33	1	34	29.1%	8	6.8%	12	10.3%	10	8.5%	15	12.8%	38	32.5%	4
Shows preparedness	3.54	1	34	29.6%	12	10.4%	13	11.3%	14	12.2%	7	6.1%	35	30.4%	6
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	3.78	6	17	34.7%	6	12.2%	5	10.2%	4	8.2%	4	8.2%	13	26.5%	72

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Robert K. Groeb, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 89

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.38	6	53	60.2%	24	27.3%	6	6.8%	2	2.3%	2	2.3%	1	1.1%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.51	6	58	65.9%	21	23.9%	7	8.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.52	6	58	66.8%	21	24.1%	6	6.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.40	6	50	64.9%	17	22.1%	5	6.5%	2	2.6%	2	2.6%	1	1.3%	12
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.46	6	59	68.0%	17	19.5%	7	8.0%	1	1.1%	2	2.3%	1	1.1%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.57	6	63	100.0%	17	0.0%	4	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.37	6	40	64.5%	13	21.0%	6	9.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	2	3.2%	27
Are clear	5.52	6	45	73.8%	9	14.8%	4	6.6%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	28
Are concise	5.52	6	44	72.3%	11	18.0%	3	4.9%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	28
Address issues raised	5.56	6	45	73.8%	10	16.4%	4	6.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	28
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.73	6	76	85.5%	6	6.7%	5	5.6%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.60	6	68	76.4%	13	14.6%	3	3.4%	4	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.72	6	73	83.1%	9	10.2%	4	4.5%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.74	6	72	82.8%	10	11.5%	4	4.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	2
Shows impartiality	5.40	6	58	65.2%	16	18.0%	10	11.2%	4	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0
Shows preparedness	5.68	6	68	78.3%	13	14.9%	5	5.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.80	6	41	82.0%	8	16.0%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Victor L. Hulslander, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 104

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.46	6	67	65.1%	25	24.3%	6	5.8%	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	1	1.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.65	6	80	77.0%	18	17.3%	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.49	6	70	67.3%	22	21.2%	7	6.7%	4	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.28	6	61	62.4%	17	17.3%	12	12.2%	4	4.1%	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.44	6	70	68.6%	18	17.6%	9	8.8%	1	1.0%	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.54	6	73	70.2%	20	19.2%	7	6.7%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.34	6	57	60.6%	22	23.4%	10	10.6%	1	1.1%	3	3.2%	1	1.1%	10
Are clear	5.41	6	60	64.5%	20	21.5%	9	9.7%	0	0.0%	3	3.2%	1	1.1%	11
Are concise	5.42	6	61	64.2%	21	22.1%	9	9.5%	1	1.1%	2	2.1%	1	1.0%	9
Address issues raised	5.35	6	61	64.2%	19	20.0%	8	8.4%	2	2.1%	4	4.2%	1	1.1%	9
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.79	6	90	86.5%	9	8.7%	2	1.9%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.72	6	84	80.8%	13	12.5%	6	5.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.56	6	80	76.9%	14	13.5%	6	5.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	3.8%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.82	6	90	86.5%	10	9.6%	3	2.9%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.63	6	83	79.8%	12	11.5%	5	4.8%	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	1	1.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.48	6	73	71.6%	19	18.6%	4	3.9%	2	2.0%	0	0.0%	4	3.9%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.58	6	48	71.6%	14	20.9%	3	4.5%	0	0.0%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	37

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Ysleta W. McDonald, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 71

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.64	6	55	78.6%	10	14.3%	2	2.9%	1	1.4%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.64	6	55	79.8%	9	13.0%	1	1.4%	2	2.9%	2	2.9%	0	0.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.61	6	53	75.7%	11	15.7%	4	5.7%	0	0.0%	2	2.9%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.38	6	42	66.7%	11	17.5%	6	9.5%	1	1.6%	2	3.2%	1	1.5%	8
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.49	6	52	74.3%	10	14.3%	2	2.9%	2	2.9%	4	5.6%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.74	6	55	78.6%	12	17.1%	3	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.53	6	41	74.5%	7	12.7%	3	5.5%	3	5.5%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	16
Are clear	5.54	6	41	73.2%	9	16.1%	1	1.8%	5	8.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
Are concise	5.59	6	42	75.0%	8	14.3%	3	5.4%	3	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
Address issues raised	5.59	6	41	73.2%	9	16.1%	4	7.1%	2	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.94	6	67	94.4%	4	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.92	6	66	93.0%	4	5.6%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.76	6	60	100.0%	7	0.0%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.93	6	66	93.0%	5	7.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.79	6	60	84.5%	7	9.9%	4	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.80	6	63	88.8%	4	5.6%	2	2.8%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.44	6	23	59.0%	12	30.8%	3	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	32

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Toby S. Monaco, Circuit Judge



Scale:

- 6 - Strongly Agree
- 5 - Moderately Agree
- 4 - Slightly Agree
- 3 - Slightly Disagree
- 2 - Moderately Disagree
- 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 123

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.86	6	113	92.7%	6	4.9%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.90	6	115	94.3%	5	4.1%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.86	6	114	93.5%	5	4.1%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.6%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.84	6	103	89.6%	9	7.8%	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	8
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.82	6	109	90.1%	8	6.6%	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.6%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.89	6	114	93.5%	6	4.9%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.83	6	101	91.9%	5	4.5%	2	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.8%	13
Are clear	5.84	6	102	91.9%	6	5.4%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.8%	12
Are concise	5.81	6	98	89.1%	9	8.2%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.8%	13
Address issues raised	5.85	6	102	92.8%	5	4.5%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	13
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.91	6	117	100.0%	4	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.89	6	115	93.5%	5	4.1%	2	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.92	6	118	96.0%	3	2.4%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.91	6	119	96.8%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	0
Shows impartiality	5.80	6	112	91.8%	5	4.1%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	3	2.5%	1
Shows preparedness	5.92	6	118	96.0%	3	2.4%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.89	6	67	91.8%	4	5.5%	2	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	50

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Mark W. Moseley, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 64

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.06	6	33	52.4%	14	22.2%	9	14.3%	3	4.8%	2	3.2%	2	3.1%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.21	6	38	61.3%	12	19.4%	6	9.7%	2	3.2%	1	1.6%	3	4.8%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.05	6	35	56.5%	10	16.1%	8	12.9%	5	8.1%	2	3.2%	2	3.2%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.02	6	32	54.2%	9	15.3%	10	16.9%	5	8.5%	1	1.7%	2	3.4%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.98	6	32	51.6%	16	25.8%	5	8.1%	3	4.8%	2	3.2%	4	6.5%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.18	6	39	62.9%	9	14.5%	6	9.7%	4	6.5%	2	3.2%	2	3.2%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.04	6	25	100.0%	9	0.0%	8	0.0%	4	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	16
Are clear	5.10	6	28	58.3%	6	12.5%	8	16.7%	4	8.3%	1	2.1%	1	2.1%	16
Are concise	5.13	6	28	58.3%	7	14.6%	8	16.7%	2	4.2%	2	4.2%	1	2.0%	16
Address issues raised	5.17	6	30	62.5%	7	14.6%	5	10.4%	2	4.2%	3	6.3%	1	2.0%	16
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.38	6	42	66.7%	11	17.5%	6	9.5%	1	1.6%	2	3.2%	1	1.5%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.11	6	34	54.0%	15	23.8%	6	9.5%	4	6.3%	3	4.8%	1	1.6%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.44	6	41	67.3%	11	18.0%	7	11.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	3
Has integrity/ethics	5.38	6	44	72.1%	7	11.5%	4	6.6%	2	3.3%	3	4.9%	1	1.6%	3
Shows impartiality	5.02	6	32	50.8%	18	28.6%	5	7.9%	2	3.2%	2	3.2%	4	6.3%	1
Shows preparedness	5.38	6	40	65.6%	12	19.7%	4	6.6%	3	4.9%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	3
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.30	6	18	60.0%	8	26.7%	1	3.3%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	34

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. James P. Nilon, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 88

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.52	6	64	72.7%	14	15.9%	6	6.8%	2	2.3%	0	0.0%	2	2.3%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.51	6	63	71.6%	16	18.2%	4	4.5%	2	2.3%	2	2.3%	1	1.1%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.50	6	62	70.5%	17	19.3%	4	4.5%	3	3.4%	0	0.0%	2	2.3%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.40	6	59	100.0%	10	0.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.44	6	64	72.8%	12	13.6%	5	5.7%	2	2.3%	4	4.5%	1	1.1%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.48	6	61	69.4%	17	19.3%	6	6.8%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	2	2.3%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.40	6	42	62.7%	18	26.9%	3	4.5%	1	1.5%	2	3.0%	1	1.4%	21
Are clear	5.42	6	41	62.1%	17	25.8%	6	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	22
Are concise	5.45	6	42	65.6%	14	21.9%	6	9.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	1	1.5%	24
Address issues raised	5.44	6	43	65.2%	14	21.2%	6	9.1%	1	1.5%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	22
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.56	6	68	78.3%	11	12.6%	1	1.1%	5	5.7%	0	0.0%	2	2.3%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.43	6	62	70.5%	15	17.0%	2	2.3%	7	8.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.67	6	69	79.4%	12	13.8%	3	3.4%	2	2.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.76	6	78	89.7%	4	4.6%	0	0.0%	4	4.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	1
Shows impartiality	5.45	6	65	73.9%	11	12.5%	4	4.5%	5	5.7%	1	1.1%	2	2.3%	0
Shows preparedness	5.53	6	64	73.6%	14	16.1%	4	4.6%	2	2.3%	2	2.3%	1	1.1%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.69	6	39	72.2%	13	24.1%	2	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	34

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Phyllis M. Rosier, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 70

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.77	6	29	41.4%	18	25.7%	11	15.7%	6	8.6%	2	2.9%	4	5.7%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.77	6	30	42.9%	16	22.9%	13	18.6%	4	5.7%	3	4.3%	4	5.6%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.79	6	30	42.9%	17	24.3%	12	17.1%	4	5.7%	3	4.3%	4	5.7%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.56	6	25	37.9%	14	21.2%	12	18.2%	7	10.6%	4	6.1%	4	6.0%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.77	6	31	44.3%	17	24.3%	10	14.3%	2	2.9%	7	10.0%	3	4.2%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.81	6	28	40.0%	21	30.0%	11	15.7%	4	5.7%	2	2.9%	4	5.7%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.72	6	21	38.9%	17	31.5%	7	13.0%	2	3.7%	3	5.6%	4	7.3%	16
Are clear	4.85	6	24	43.6%	17	30.9%	5	9.1%	3	5.5%	3	5.5%	3	5.4%	15
Are concise	4.87	6	24	43.6%	16	29.1%	7	12.7%	3	5.5%	2	3.6%	3	5.5%	15
Address issues raised	4.98	6	26	47.3%	16	29.1%	7	12.7%	1	1.8%	2	3.6%	3	5.5%	15
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.03	6	38	54.3%	16	22.9%	5	7.1%	4	5.7%	5	7.1%	2	2.9%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.80	6	32	45.7%	16	22.9%	7	10.0%	8	11.4%	5	7.1%	2	2.9%	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.79	6	34	48.6%	15	21.4%	7	10.0%	4	5.7%	6	8.6%	4	5.7%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.29	6	40	58.8%	18	26.5%	4	5.9%	3	4.4%	2	2.9%	1	1.5%	2
Shows impartiality	4.80	6	32	45.7%	17	24.3%	9	12.9%	4	5.7%	3	4.3%	5	7.1%	0
Shows preparedness	4.90	6	34	48.6%	14	20.0%	10	14.3%	7	10.0%	3	4.3%	2	2.8%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.81	6	12	100.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	1	0.0%	44

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Denise R. Ferrero, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 83

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.63	6	59	71.1%	20	24.1%	3	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.64	6	63	75.9%	15	18.1%	3	3.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.69	6	63	75.9%	17	20.5%	2	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.63	6	55	72.4%	17	22.4%	3	3.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.63	6	59	71.1%	20	24.1%	3	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.68	6	63	76.8%	14	17.1%	4	4.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.55	6	41	64.1%	18	28.1%	4	6.3%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19
Are clear	5.67	6	46	73.0%	14	22.2%	2	3.2%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20
Are concise	5.61	6	46	71.9%	13	20.3%	3	4.7%	2	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19
Address issues raised	5.65	6	46	73.0%	13	20.6%	3	4.8%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.58	6	64	100.0%	10	0.0%	6	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.42	6	52	62.7%	22	26.5%	5	6.0%	2	2.4%	0	0.0%	2	2.4%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.61	6	66	79.5%	12	14.5%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	3	3.6%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.85	6	72	90.0%	7	8.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	3
Shows impartiality	5.61	6	62	74.7%	15	18.1%	3	3.6%	2	2.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	0
Shows preparedness	5.76	6	68	81.9%	13	15.7%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.80	6	37	84.1%	5	11.4%	2	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Walter M. Green, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 54

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.90	6	24	46.2%	13	25.0%	8	15.4%	3	5.8%	1	1.9%	3	5.7%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.15	6	29	55.8%	14	26.9%	4	7.7%	0	0.0%	3	5.8%	2	3.8%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	4.90	6	26	50.0%	12	23.1%	6	11.5%	3	5.8%	1	1.9%	4	7.7%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.79	6	22	46.8%	9	19.1%	7	14.9%	4	8.5%	3	6.4%	2	4.3%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.94	6	26	52.0%	11	22.0%	5	10.0%	2	4.0%	4	8.0%	2	4.0%	4
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.08	6	26	50.1%	12	23.1%	10	19.2%	2	3.8%	0	0.0%	2	3.8%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.79	6	19	45.3%	8	19.0%	9	21.4%	2	4.8%	1	2.4%	3	7.1%	12
Are clear	4.86	6	18	42.9%	10	23.8%	9	21.4%	2	4.8%	1	2.4%	2	4.7%	12
Are concise	4.95	6	21	100.0%	7	0.0%	10	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	2	0.0%	12
Address issues raised	4.95	6	21	50.0%	8	19.0%	8	19.0%	2	4.8%	1	2.4%	2	4.8%	12
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.65	6	44	84.7%	5	9.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	2	3.8%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.56	6	41	78.9%	7	13.5%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	2	3.8%	2
Shows industry/promptness	4.94	6	26	50.1%	11	21.2%	6	11.5%	6	11.5%	1	1.9%	2	3.8%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.40	6	35	67.4%	10	19.2%	4	7.7%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	2	3.8%	2
Shows impartiality	5.25	6	32	61.6%	10	19.2%	5	9.6%	3	5.8%	0	0.0%	2	3.8%	2
Shows preparedness	5.08	6	30	58.8%	8	15.7%	7	13.7%	2	3.9%	1	2.0%	3	5.9%	3
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.16	6	12	48.0%	8	32.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	29

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Thomas M. Jaworski, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 68

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.88	6	32	47.1%	20	29.4%	6	8.8%	0	0.0%	6	8.8%	4	5.9%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.07	6	39	57.4%	13	19.1%	5	7.4%	7	10.3%	1	1.5%	3	4.3%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.84	6	36	52.9%	14	20.6%	5	7.4%	2	2.9%	6	8.8%	5	7.4%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.76	6	30	48.4%	15	24.2%	4	6.5%	2	3.2%	7	11.3%	4	6.4%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.00	6	36	55.4%	13	20.0%	4	6.2%	7	10.8%	2	3.1%	3	4.5%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.97	6	32	100.0%	20	0.0%	3	0.0%	4	0.0%	1	0.0%	5	0.0%	3
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.73	6	23	45.1%	15	29.4%	3	5.9%	2	3.9%	2	3.9%	6	11.8%	17
Are clear	4.76	6	24	47.1%	14	27.5%	3	5.9%	2	3.9%	3	5.9%	5	9.7%	17
Are concise	4.86	6	23	45.1%	15	29.4%	6	11.8%	1	2.0%	2	3.9%	4	7.8%	17
Address issues raised	4.84	6	23	45.2%	15	29.4%	5	9.8%	2	3.9%	2	3.9%	4	7.8%	17
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.43	6	49	72.1%	9	13.2%	5	7.4%	2	2.9%	1	1.5%	2	2.9%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.22	6	43	63.3%	12	17.6%	4	5.9%	5	7.4%	2	2.9%	2	2.9%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.46	6	47	70.1%	12	17.9%	5	7.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	2	3.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.44	6	49	72.1%	10	14.7%	4	5.9%	2	2.9%	1	1.5%	2	2.9%	0
Shows impartiality	5.26	6	48	70.6%	7	10.3%	5	7.4%	2	2.9%	3	4.4%	3	4.4%	0
Shows preparedness	5.38	6	46	67.6%	14	20.6%	3	4.4%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	3	4.4%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.65	6	30	75.0%	9	22.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	28

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. David P. Kreider, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 59

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.43	6	36	62.1%	15	25.9%	5	8.6%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.43	6	37	63.8%	15	25.9%	3	5.2%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.38	6	34	58.7%	18	31.0%	3	5.2%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.24	6	29	52.8%	18	32.7%	5	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	2	3.6%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.29	6	32	55.3%	18	31.0%	4	6.9%	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.58	6	40	70.2%	13	22.8%	3	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.39	6	28	60.9%	13	28.3%	2	4.3%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	13
Are clear	5.41	6	28	60.9%	13	28.3%	3	6.5%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	13
Are concise	5.43	6	28	60.9%	14	30.4%	2	4.3%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	13
Address issues raised	5.41	6	29	63.0%	12	26.1%	3	6.5%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	13
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.66	6	47	81.1%	8	13.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.52	6	41	70.8%	12	20.7%	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.51	6	43	75.4%	7	12.3%	3	5.3%	1	1.8%	3	5.2%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.70	6	46	80.7%	8	14.0%	2	3.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	2
Shows impartiality	5.45	6	41	70.8%	11	19.0%	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	2	3.4%	1
Shows preparedness	5.55	6	43	74.2%	11	19.0%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.25	6	16	57.1%	9	32.1%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	31

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Phillip A. Pena, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 68

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.42	6	46	68.7%	9	13.4%	7	10.4%	4	6.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.62	6	49	74.3%	9	13.6%	8	12.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.41	6	47	71.2%	7	10.6%	6	9.1%	4	6.1%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.24	6	40	64.5%	9	14.5%	6	9.7%	2	3.2%	5	8.1%	0	0.0%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.39	6	46	69.7%	8	12.1%	6	9.1%	4	6.1%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.52	6	46	69.7%	12	18.2%	5	7.6%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.38	6	33	63.6%	10	19.2%	6	11.5%	2	3.8%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	16
Are clear	5.50	6	35	67.3%	9	17.3%	7	13.5%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
Are concise	5.50	6	36	69.2%	7	13.5%	8	15.4%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
Address issues raised	5.50	6	34	65.4%	11	21.2%	6	11.5%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.88	6	60	89.6%	6	9.0%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.87	6	60	89.6%	5	7.5%	2	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.82	6	59	88.1%	6	9.0%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.73	6	56	100.0%	6	0.0%	2	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.58	6	53	80.4%	3	4.5%	7	10.6%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	2
Shows preparedness	5.78	6	57	85.1%	7	10.4%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.40	6	21	60.0%	11	31.4%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	33

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Joseph M. Williams, Baker County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 27

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.04	6	15	57.8%	5	19.2%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.12	6	15	57.8%	7	26.9%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.15	6	17	65.5%	3	11.5%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.96	6	12	52.2%	6	26.1%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	2	8.7%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.15	6	14	54.0%	7	26.9%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.31	6	17	65.5%	5	19.2%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.57	6	6	42.9%	3	21.4%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	13
Are clear	4.79	6	6	42.9%	4	28.6%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	13
Are concise	4.86	6	7	50.1%	3	21.4%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	13
Address issues raised	4.71	6	7	50.0%	2	14.3%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	13
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.58	6	23	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.27	6	17	65.4%	6	23.1%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.31	6	17	65.4%	6	23.1%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.46	6	21	80.8%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	1
Shows impartiality	5.00	6	13	50.1%	7	26.9%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	1
Shows preparedness	5.15	6	14	53.8%	8	30.8%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.18	6	7	63.6%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Richard B. Davis, Jr., Bradford County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 23

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.58	6	14	73.7%	4	21.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.2%	0	0.0%	4
Listens to legal arguments	5.84	6	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Comprehends legal concepts	5.63	6	13	68.4%	5	26.3%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.75	6	12	75.0%	4	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.79	6	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.63	6	12	63.2%	7	36.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.64	6	7	100.0%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
Are clear	5.64	6	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
Are concise	5.73	6	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
Address issues raised	5.73	6	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.95	6	18	94.7%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Shows patience/temperament	5.84	6	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Shows industry/promptness	5.84	6	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Has integrity/ethics	5.70	6	18	90.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	3
Shows impartiality	5.89	6	17	89.5%	2	10.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Shows preparedness	5.84	6	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Sheree H. Lancaster, Gilchrist County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 27

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.48	6	17	63.0%	7	25.9%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.63	6	19	70.4%	6	22.2%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.41	6	15	55.6%	9	33.3%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.40	6	14	56.0%	8	32.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.67	6	20	100.0%	5	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.48	6	16	64.0%	6	24.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.26	6	9	47.4%	7	36.8%	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
Are clear	5.32	6	10	52.6%	6	31.6%	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
Are concise	5.37	6	10	52.6%	6	31.6%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
Address issues raised	5.37	6	10	52.6%	6	31.6%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.81	6	24	88.9%	1	3.7%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.78	6	23	85.2%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.74	6	23	85.2%	1	3.7%	3	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.78	6	23	85.2%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.70	6	21	77.8%	4	14.8%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.70	6	21	77.8%	4	14.8%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.67	6	13	72.2%	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. James T. Browning, Levy County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 36

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.26	6	22	62.9%	5	14.3%	6	17.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	1	2.8%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.17	6	23	65.7%	3	8.6%	5	14.3%	1	2.9%	2	5.7%	1	2.8%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.26	6	23	65.8%	4	11.4%	6	17.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.7%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.97	6	18	58.1%	5	16.1%	3	9.7%	2	6.5%	0	0.0%	3	9.6%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.24	6	22	66.7%	4	12.1%	4	12.1%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	2	6.1%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.34	6	23	65.7%	5	14.3%	5	14.3%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.21	6	18	64.3%	4	14.3%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	1	3.5%	8
Are clear	5.32	6	18	64.3%	4	14.3%	5	17.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.5%	8
Are concise	5.32	6	19	67.9%	3	10.7%	4	14.3%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	1	3.5%	8
Address issues raised	5.36	6	19	67.9%	3	10.7%	5	17.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.5%	8
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.43	6	25	71.4%	4	11.4%	4	11.4%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.34	6	23	65.7%	6	17.1%	4	11.4%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.39	6	22	66.7%	5	15.2%	5	15.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	3
Has integrity/ethics	5.52	6	25	75.8%	3	9.1%	4	12.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	3
Shows impartiality	5.06	6	21	61.8%	4	11.8%	5	14.7%	0	0.0%	2	5.9%	2	5.8%	2
Shows preparedness	5.38	6	23	67.7%	5	14.7%	4	11.8%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.12	6	11	100.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	19

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Bo Bayer, Union County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 23

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.00	6	12	54.7%	5	22.7%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.27	6	14	63.8%	5	22.7%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.05	6	11	50.2%	7	31.8%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.90	6	11	52.4%	5	23.8%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	2	9.5%	1	4.7%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.18	6	14	63.8%	4	18.2%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.14	6	12	57.1%	6	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	1	4.7%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.42	6	5	41.7%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	11
Are clear	4.50	6	6	50.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	11
Are concise	4.50	6	6	50.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	11
Address issues raised	4.67	6	6	50.1%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	11
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.65	6	19	82.7%	2	8.7%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.48	6	18	78.4%	3	13.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.35	6	16	100.0%	4	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.70	6	20	87.0%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0
Shows impartiality	5.17	6	16	69.7%	2	8.7%	1	4.3%	2	8.7%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	0
Shows preparedness	5.30	6	16	69.6%	4	17.4%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.7%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.55	6	8	72.7%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Maurice M. Paul, Senior Federal District Court Judge

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Number of Attorneys Responding:	37														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.15	6	23	67.7%	4	11.8%	1	2.9%	2	5.9%	3	8.8%	1	2.9%	3
Listens to legal arguments	4.38	6	12	35.3%	8	23.5%	5	14.7%	2	5.9%	4	11.8%	3	8.8%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	5.21	6	21	61.8%	7	20.6%	2	5.9%	1	2.9%	2	5.9%	1	2.9%	3
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.29	6	13	38.2%	5	14.7%	7	20.6%	2	5.9%	2	5.9%	5	14.7%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.41	6	16	47.1%	5	14.7%	4	11.8%	1	2.9%	2	5.9%	6	17.6%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.86	6	18	51.4%	7	20.0%	3	8.6%	3	8.6%	2	5.7%	2	5.7%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.55	6	14	42.4%	8	24.2%	3	9.1%	3	9.1%	0	0.0%	5	15.2%	4
Are clear	4.88	6	15	45.5%	11	33.3%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	3	9.1%	4
Are concise	4.82	6	14	42.5%	11	33.3%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	3	9.1%	4
Address issues raised	4.76	6	16	48.5%	8	24.2%	2	6.1%	3	9.1%	0	0.0%	4	12.1%	4
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.46	6	14	100.0%	8	0.0%	4	0.0%	3	0.0%	1	0.0%	5	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	4.17	6	12	33.3%	8	22.2%	5	13.9%	2	5.6%	3	8.3%	6	16.7%	1
Shows industry/promptness	4.25	6	15	41.7%	6	16.7%	4	11.1%	1	2.8%	4	11.1%	6	16.6%	1
Has integrity/ethics	4.86	6	19	54.3%	6	17.1%	4	11.4%	1	2.9%	2	5.7%	3	8.6%	2
Shows impartiality	4.28	6	14	38.9%	5	13.9%	6	16.7%	3	8.3%	4	11.1%	4	11.1%	1
Shows preparedness	4.76	6	15	44.1%	7	20.6%	7	20.6%	1	2.9%	2	5.9%	2	5.9%	3
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	3.60	5	3	20.0%	5	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	4	26.7%	22

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Mark E. Walker, Federal District Court Judge

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results														
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion		
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	
Number of Attorneys Responding:	16																
Legal Acumen:																	
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.71	6	10	71.4%	4	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.79	6	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.71	6	10	71.4%	4	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.69	6	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.62	6	9	69.2%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Communications Skills:																	
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.86	6	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Written Decisions:																	
Are well reasoned	5.67	6	10	100.0%	5	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Are clear	5.60	6	9	60.0%	6	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Are concise	5.47	6	9	60.0%	5	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Address issues raised	5.67	6	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:																	
Shows courtesy/civility	5.67	6	11	73.3%	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.60	6	10	66.7%	4	26.7%	1	6.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.20	6	10	66.7%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.46	6	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	3
Shows impartiality	5.46	6	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	3
Shows preparedness	5.67	6	11	73.3%	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professional Activities:																	
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.40	6	8	80.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	6

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Gary R. Jones, Magistrate Judge

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion				
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%
Number of Attorneys Responding:	21														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.20	6	12	60.0%	5	25.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.17	6	11	61.1%	5	27.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	5.30	6	12	60.0%	6	30.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.76	6	10	58.8%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.8%	2	11.8%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.89	6	12	63.2%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	3	15.7%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.21	6	12	100.0%	3	0.0%	2	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.94	6	10	55.6%	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	1	5.5%	3
Are clear	5.17	6	10	55.6%	5	27.8%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.4%	3
Are concise	5.22	6	10	55.6%	5	27.8%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.5%	3
Address issues raised	5.22	6	10	55.6%	5	27.8%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.5%	3
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.21	6	14	73.7%	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.5%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.28	6	13	72.2%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	3
Shows industry/promptness	5.33	6	13	72.2%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	3
Has integrity/ethics	5.58	6	15	78.9%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	2
Shows impartiality	5.26	6	13	68.4%	4	21.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.5%	2
Shows preparedness	5.42	6	14	73.7%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	1	5.2%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.43	6	10	71.5%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	7

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Harvey E. Baxter, Hearing Officer

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion					
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Number of Attorneys Responding:		27														
Legal Acumen:																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.41	6	17	63.0%	7	25.9%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	
Listens to legal arguments	5.46	6	17	65.5%	7	26.9%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1	
Comprehends legal concepts	5.52	6	19	70.4%	6	22.2%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.29	6	14	58.3%	6	25.0%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	3	
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.46	6	18	69.3%	5	19.2%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1	
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.52	6	20	74.1%	4	14.8%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	
Written Decisions:																
Are well reasoned	5.29	6	13	54.2%	8	33.3%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	3	
Are clear	5.33	6	14	58.3%	7	29.2%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	3	
Are concise	5.46	6	16	66.7%	6	25.0%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.1%	3	
Address issues raised	5.38	6	14	58.3%	8	33.3%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	3	
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility	5.78	6	21	77.8%	6	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Shows patience/temperament	5.78	6	21	77.8%	6	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Shows industry/promptness	5.67	6	20	74.1%	6	22.2%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Has integrity/ethics	5.70	6	21	77.8%	5	18.5%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Shows impartiality	5.63	6	19	70.4%	7	25.9%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Shows preparedness	5.56	6	21	77.8%	4	14.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	
Professional Activities:																
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.60	6	10	66.7%	4	26.7%	1	6.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Marjorie Renee Hill - Compensation Claims Court Judge

	Summary		Detailed Results														
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion		
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Number of Attorneys Responding:	3																
Legal Acumen:																	
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	6.00	6	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.50	6,5	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.50	6,5	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.00	6,4	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	6.00	6	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:																	
Able to articulate questions & decisions	6.00	6	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Written Decisions:																	
Are well reasoned	5.50	6,5	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Are clear	4.00	6,2	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Are concise	6.00	6	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Address issues raised	4.00	6,2	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:																	
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	6.00	6	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	6.00	6	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.00	6,4	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professional Activities:																	
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.00	5	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2

Footnote:
For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 31

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.10	6	15	51.9%	7	24.1%	5	17.2%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.17	6	17	58.7%	5	17.2%	4	13.8%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.21	6	18	62.1%	4	13.8%	4	13.8%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.92	6	10	38.5%	9	34.6%	4	15.4%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.19	6	15	55.6%	7	25.9%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	4
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.28	6	17	58.8%	7	24.1%	3	10.3%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.00	6	12	48.0%	7	28.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	6
Are clear	5.13	6	13	54.2%	6	25.0%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	1	4.1%	7
Are concise	5.21	6	13	54.2%	8	33.3%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	1	4.1%	7
Address issues raised	5.13	6	13	54.2%	7	29.2%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	1	4.0%	7
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.23	6	20	100.0%	5	0.0%	1	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.20	6	19	63.3%	6	20.0%	1	3.3%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	2	6.7%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.47	6	21	70.0%	5	16.7%	3	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.41	6	21	72.6%	3	10.3%	3	10.3%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	2
Shows impartiality	5.23	6	20	66.7%	4	13.3%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	2	6.7%	1
Shows preparedness	5.40	6	21	70.1%	4	13.3%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.67	6	5	41.7%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	19

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Number of Attorneys Responding:	46														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.61	6	36	78.3%	5	10.9%	4	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.50	6	36	78.3%	4	8.7%	3	6.5%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	2	4.3%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.63	6	37	80.4%	4	8.7%	4	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.59	6	32	78.0%	4	9.8%	4	9.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.4%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.59	6	35	76.1%	6	13.0%	4	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.63	6	36	78.3%	6	13.0%	3	6.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.58	6	29	76.3%	5	13.2%	3	7.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	8
Are clear	5.57	6	28	100.0%	5	0.0%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	9
Are concise	5.59	6	29	78.4%	4	10.8%	3	8.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.7%	9
Address issues raised	5.61	6	30	79.0%	4	10.5%	3	7.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	8
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.65	6	38	82.7%	6	13.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.3%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.62	6	36	80.0%	7	15.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.4%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.80	6	39	88.6%	4	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.80	6	39	88.6%	4	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	2
Shows impartiality	5.68	6	38	86.4%	3	6.8%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	1	2.2%	2
Shows preparedness	5.73	6	38	84.5%	5	11.1%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.25	6	13	65.0%	2	10.0%	3	15.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	26

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Karen K. Specie - Chief Bankruptcy Judge

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Number of Attorneys Responding:	13														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.46	6	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.38	6	9	69.2%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.46	6	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.54	6	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.54	6	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.54	6	11	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	6.00	6	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Are clear	5.90	6	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Are concise	5.80	6	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Address issues raised	5.90	6	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.54	6	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.38	6	9	69.2%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.38	6	10	76.9%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.54	6	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Shows impartiality	5.46	6	11	84.6%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Shows preparedness	5.46	6	11	84.6%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.29	6	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Samuel P. Stafford, II, Director/CSE Hearing Officer

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Number of Attorneys Responding:	26														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.96	6	13	50.0%	7	26.9%	2	7.7%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.15	6	15	57.8%	6	23.1%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.88	6	15	100.0%	5	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	3	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.87	6	11	48.0%	7	30.4%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	2	8.7%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.92	6	16	61.7%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.24	6	14	56.0%	7	28.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.94	6	8	44.4%	6	33.3%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	8
Are clear	5.21	6	9	47.4%	6	31.6%	3	15.8%	1	5.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
Are concise	5.21	6	9	47.4%	6	31.6%	3	15.8%	1	5.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
Address issues raised	5.21	6	10	52.7%	5	26.3%	2	10.5%	2	10.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.38	6	19	73.1%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.19	6	16	61.6%	6	23.1%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.04	6	13	52.0%	7	28.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.38	6	18	75.0%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	4.96	6	15	57.8%	6	23.1%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	0
Shows preparedness	5.00	6	16	61.7%	3	11.5%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	3	11.5%	1	3.8%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.10	6	5	50.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	16

Footnote:
For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree															
31															
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.03	6	18	62.2%	5	17.2%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	3	10.3%	2
Listens to legal arguments	4.23	6	13	43.4%	4	13.3%	4	13.3%	1	3.3%	2	6.7%	6	20.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.93	6	18	62.2%	5	17.2%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	4	13.8%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.46	6	15	53.6%	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	6	21.4%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.48	6	15	51.8%	4	13.8%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%	5	17.2%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.79	6	16	55.3%	5	17.2%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%	3	10.3%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.54	6	15	53.7%	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	4	14.3%	3
Are clear	4.86	6	15	53.6%	6	21.4%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	3
Are concise	4.89	6	15	53.6%	6	21.4%	3	10.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	3
Address issues raised	4.71	6	15	53.6%	4	14.3%	4	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	4	14.2%	3
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.06	6	10	32.3%	6	19.4%	6	19.4%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	7	22.5%	0
Shows patience/temperament	3.94	6	9	29.0%	6	19.4%	6	19.4%	0	0.0%	4	12.9%	6	19.3%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.14	6	18	62.1%	6	20.7%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	10.3%	2
Has integrity/ethics	4.62	6	15	51.7%	4	13.8%	4	13.8%	0	0.0%	2	6.9%	4	13.8%	2
Shows impartiality	4.13	6	13	43.4%	1	3.3%	7	23.3%	1	3.3%	2	6.7%	6	20.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.14	6	18	62.1%	6	20.7%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	10.3%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.58	6	9	100.0%	5	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	3	0.0%	12

Footnote:
For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2014 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Susanne Wilson Bullard, Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer

		Summary		Detailed Results												
		Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
				#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Number of Attorneys Responding:		37														
Legal Acumen:																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law		5.24	6	25	67.6%	6	16.2%	2	5.4%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	3	8.1%	0
Listens to legal arguments		5.14	6	23	63.9%	7	19.4%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	3	8.3%	1
Comprehends legal concepts		5.24	6	25	67.6%	6	16.2%	2	5.4%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	3	8.1%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law		5.09	6	23	65.7%	6	17.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	2	5.7%	3	8.6%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law		5.14	6	25	67.6%	5	13.5%	1	2.7%	2	5.4%	1	2.7%	3	8.1%	0
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & decisions		5.31	6	25	69.4%	5	13.9%	1	2.8%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	1
Written Decisions:																
Are well reasoned		5.31	6	21	72.5%	4	13.8%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%	8
Are clear		5.45	6	23	79.5%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	8
Are concise		5.52	6	23	79.4%	2	6.9%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	8
Address issues raised		5.41	6	22	76.1%	3	10.3%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	8
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility		5.43	6	30	100.0%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament		5.27	6	26	70.3%	6	16.2%	0	0.0%	2	5.4%	0	0.0%	3	8.1%	0
Shows industry/promptness		5.56	6	28	77.8%	6	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.5%	1
Has integrity/ethics		5.47	6	28	77.8%	5	13.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	2	5.5%	1
Shows impartiality		5.19	6	25	69.4%	4	11.1%	2	5.6%	1	2.8%	2	5.6%	2	5.5%	1
Shows preparedness		5.42	6	28	77.8%	4	11.1%	1	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	8.3%	1
Professional Activities:																
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)		5.52	6	17	74.0%	3	13.0%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	14

Footnote:
For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.