



EIGHTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT BAR ASSOCIATION, INC.

Main Office
P. O. Box 13924
Gainesville, FL 32604

Tele: (352) 380-0333
Fax: (866) 436-5944
Email: execdir@8jcba.org

Dawn Vallejos-Nichols
President

James "Mac" McCarty
Past President

Nancy T. Baldwin
President-Elect

Raymond F. Brady
*President-Elect
Designate*

Sharon T. Sperling
Treasurer

Audrie Harris
Secretary

Executive Director:
Judy Padgett

2013 Judicial Poll Overview

The lawyers of the Eighth Judicial Circuit have a vital interest in attracting and retaining judicial officers with the highest possible qualifications, so that our courts demonstrate trust, respect and honor for our laws. This duty and responsibility of lawyers is recognized in the Rules of Professional Conduct that govern lawyers in Florida.

To assist and educate the public about this circuit's judicial officers, the Eighth Judicial Circuit Bar Association surveyed lawyers who practice law in our circuit. Our circuit comprises Alachua, Baker, Bradford, Gilchrist, Levy and Union counties.

The poll is intended to reflect the composite opinion of the local lawyers concerning the judicial abilities demonstrated during the past year for each of the judicial officers listed. Lawyers were instructed to disregard political considerations, and vote their conscience and opinion. If a lawyer did not have knowledge or experience as to a judicial officer's experience or capability in any one area sufficient to form a reasoned opinion, the lawyer was instructed to vote "No Opinion" as to that area.

The poll captured the lawyers' opinions in three broad categories:

- Legal acumen;
- Communication skills; and
- Professionalism.

Within each of these categories were more specific categories, as follows:

Legal Acumen:

- Demonstrates knowledge of the law;
 - Listens to legal arguments;
 - Comprehends legal concepts;
 - Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of the law;
- and
- Willing to make decisions based on established law.

Communication Skills:

- Able to articulate questions and decisions;
- Written decisions are well reasoned;
- Written decisions are clear;
- Written decisions are concise; and
- Written decisions address issues raised.

Professionalism:

- Shows courtesy/civility;
- Shows patience/temperament;
- Shows industry/promptness;
- Has integrity/ethics;
- Shows impartiality;
- Shows preparedness; and
- Participates in professional activities.

The scale used by the lawyers to evaluate each judicial officer was as follows:

- 6 - Strongly agree;
- 5 - Moderately agree;
- 4 - Slightly agree;
- 3 - Slightly disagree;
- 2 - Moderately disagree;
- 1 - Strongly disagree.

While the EJCBA is providing you with all of the results, please carefully note that the results become significantly less meaningful as the number of lawyers who responded to any single judicial officer decreases. For example, if only 10 people responded to a judicial officer, one voter has the ability to significantly impact the outcome, making the overall results for that judicial officer less reliable.

The Association included federal area judicial officers and administrative hearing officers, even though these are appointed positions, rather than elected.

In addition to the detailed results, poll summary results are presented for each judicial officer based on averages as well as the most frequent response for each category.

This year, 324 lawyers participated in the poll.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Summary
Most Frequent Response by Lawyers

Scale:
6 - Strongly Agree
5 - Moderately Agree
4 - Slightly Agree
3 - Slightly Disagree
2 - Moderately Disagree
1 - Strongly Disagree

Circuit Judges:

Honorable Rotbert E. Roundtree, Jr.	139
Honorable Mary Day Coker	100
Honorable William E. Davis	67
Honorable David A. Glant	93
Honorable Stanley H. Griffis, III	152
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	80
Honorable Victor L. Hulslander	119
Honorable Martha Ann Lott	72
Honorable Ysleta W. McDonald	85
Honorable Toby S. Monaco	139
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	65
Honorable James P. Nilon	83
Honorable Phyllis M. Rosier	73

County Judges:

Alachua County:	
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	76
Honorable Walter M. Green	63
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	79
Honorable David P. Kreider	67
Honorable Phillip A Pena	51
Baker County: Honorable Joseph M. Williams	46
Bradford County: Honorable Johnny R. Hobbs, Jr.	35
Gilchrist County: Honorable Sheree H. Lancaster	22
Gilchrist County: Honorable Edward Philman	29
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	35
Union County: Honorable Bo Bayer	22
Union County: Honorable David L. Reiman	27

Federal District Court Judges:

Honorable Stephan P. Mickle, Chief Federal District Court Judge	46
Honorable Maurice M. Paul, Senior Federal District Court Judge	41
Honorable Mark E. Walker, Federal District Court Judge	8
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	28

Specialized Courts:

Honorable Marjorie Renee Hill, Compensation Claims Court Judge	4
Karen K. Specie, Chief Bankruptcy Judge	14

Magistrates:

Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate	27
Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate	43
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	26

Hearing Officers:

Harvey E. Baxter - Hearing Officer	26
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	22
Susanne Wilson Bullard - Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer	28

Number of Responses	Legal Acumen:					Communications Skills:				Written Decisions:				Professionalism:						Professional Activities:
	Demonstrates knowledge of the law	Listens to legal arguments	Comprehends legal concepts	Analytically reaches decisions	Willing to make decisions based on established law	Able to articulate questions & decisions	Able to articulate questions & decisions	Are well reasoned	Are clear	Are concise	Address issues raised	Shows courtesy/civility	Shows patience/temperament	Shows industry/prompness	Has integrity/ethics	Shows impartiality	Shows preparedness	Participates		
Honorable Rotbert E. Roundtree, Jr.	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Mary Day Coker	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable William E. Davis	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable David A. Glant	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Stanley H. Griffis, III	6	1	6	1	1	6	1	6	6	6,4	1	1	6	6	1	6	6	6	4	
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Victor L. Hulslander	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Martha Ann Lott	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Ysleta W. McDonald	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Toby S. Monaco	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable James P. Nilon	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Phyllis M. Rosier	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Walter M. Green	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable David P. Kreider	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Phillip A Pena	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Baker County: Honorable Joseph M. Williams	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Bradford County: Honorable Johnny R. Hobbs, Jr.	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5,4	
Gilchrist County: Honorable Sheree H. Lancaster	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Gilchrist County: Honorable Edward Philman	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	6,5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Union County: Honorable Bo Bayer	6,5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6,5	
Union County: Honorable David L. Reiman	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	
Honorable Stephan P. Mickle, Chief Federal District Court Judge	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Maurice M. Paul, Senior Federal District Court Judge	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	3	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	
Honorable Mark E. Walker, Federal District Court Judge	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Honorable Marjorie Renee Hill, Compensation Claims Court Judge	6	6	6	6,5,2	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6,5,1	
Karen K. Specie, Chief Bankruptcy Judge	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	
Harvey E. Baxter - Hearing Officer	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Susanne Wilson Bullard - Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Most Frequent Response for all Judicial Officers	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	

Footnotes:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

"Number of Responses" represents the total number of lawyers who evaluated this judicial officer. In any given characteristic evaluated, however, less than the total number of lawyers may have provided a response because there were lawyers who responded "no opinion". Please see each Judicial Officer's Detailed Results for complete results.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Robert E. Roundtree, Chief Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 139

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.64	6	105	76.7%	22	16.1%	5	3.6%	3	2.2%	1	0.7%	1	0.7%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.46	6	97	70.8%	24	17.5%	7	5.1%	2	1.5%	5	3.6%	2	1.5%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.66	6	105	76.7%	24	17.5%	4	2.9%	2	1.5%	1	0.7%	1	0.7%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.42	6	88	69.8%	21	16.7%	8	6.3%	3	2.4%	3	2.4%	3	2.4%	13
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.51	6	99	72.8%	22	16.2%	7	5.1%	5	3.7%	0	0.0%	3	2.2%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.68	6	109	80.2%	19	14.0%	4	2.9%	1	0.7%	1	0.7%	2	1.5%	3
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.49	6	85	71.4%	20	16.8%	7	5.9%	3	2.5%	2	1.7%	2	1.7%	20
Are clear	5.55	6	87	73.2%	20	16.8%	8	6.7%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	2	1.7%	20
Are concise	5.57	6	89	74.8%	19	16.0%	7	5.9%	0	0.0%	2	1.7%	2	1.6%	20
Address issues raised	5.55	6	85	72.0%	21	17.8%	8	6.8%	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	2	1.7%	21
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.55	6	107	77.0%	19	13.7%	3	2.2%	5	3.6%	2	1.4%	3	2.1%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.41	6	93	66.9%	30	21.6%	6	4.3%	4	2.9%	2	1.4%	4	2.9%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.65	6	109	80.1%	16	11.8%	5	3.7%	3	2.2%	2	1.5%	1	0.7%	3
Has integrity/ethics	5.64	6	111	81.0%	18	13.1%	1	0.7%	2	1.5%	2	1.5%	3	2.2%	2
Shows impartiality	5.50	6	103	74.7%	21	15.2%	5	3.6%	2	1.4%	3	2.2%	4	2.9%	1
Shows preparedness	5.57	6	107	77.5%	17	12.3%	7	5.1%	3	2.2%	1	0.7%	3	2.2%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.72	6	56	75.7%	15	20.3%	3	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	64

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Mary Day Coker, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 100

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.63	6	33	33.0%	31	31.0%	17	17.0%	6	6.0%	11	11.0%	2	2.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.75	6	44	44.4%	19	19.2%	20	20.2%	4	4.0%	7	7.1%	5	5.1%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.72	6	41	41.0%	23	23.0%	19	19.0%	5	5.0%	8	8.0%	4	4.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.24	6	28	30.8%	21	23.1%	15	16.5%	9	9.9%	8	8.8%	10	10.9%	9
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.68	6	39	39.8%	25	25.5%	17	17.3%	3	3.1%	9	9.2%	5	5.1%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.85	6	41	41.8%	25	25.5%	19	19.4%	6	6.1%	3	3.1%	4	4.1%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.42	6	26	31.0%	23	27.4%	12	14.3%	12	14.3%	5	6.0%	6	7.0%	16
Are clear	4.59	6	31	38.3%	21	25.9%	9	11.1%	10	12.3%	5	6.2%	5	6.2%	18
Are concise	4.67	6	31	37.8%	23	28.0%	11	13.4%	8	9.8%	5	6.1%	4	4.9%	18
Address issues raised	4.51	6	31	37.8%	18	22.0%	11	13.4%	10	12.2%	8	9.8%	4	4.8%	18
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.10	6	55	55.0%	22	22.0%	10	10.0%	7	7.0%	3	3.0%	3	3.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.81	6	48	48.0%	17	17.0%	18	18.0%	9	9.0%	1	1.0%	7	7.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.20	6	37	37.8%	17	17.3%	13	13.3%	8	8.2%	6	6.1%	17	17.3%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.29	6	60	62.6%	20	20.8%	8	8.3%	3	3.1%	2	2.1%	3	3.1%	4
Shows impartiality	4.73	6	49	49.5%	16	16.2%	10	10.1%	13	13.1%	4	4.0%	7	7.1%	1
Shows preparedness	4.39	6	42	42.9%	14	14.3%	12	12.2%	10	10.2%	10	10.2%	10	10.2%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.95	6	21	47.7%	12	27.3%	5	11.4%	3	6.8%	0	0.0%	3	6.8%	55

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. William E. Davis, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 67

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.47	6	45	68.2%	11	16.7%	6	9.1%	4	6.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.58	6	49	74.3%	9	13.6%	6	9.1%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.46	6	47	72.3%	7	10.8%	6	9.2%	4	6.2%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.30	6	38	63.3%	10	16.7%	7	11.7%	3	5.0%	1	1.7%	1	1.6%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.50	6	42	65.6%	16	25.0%	4	6.3%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.57	6	46	70.8%	12	18.5%	5	7.7%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.46	6	38	70.4%	7	13.0%	5	9.3%	4	7.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
Are clear	5.50	6	36	66.7%	11	20.4%	5	9.3%	2	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
Are concise	5.48	6	36	66.7%	10	18.5%	6	11.1%	2	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
Address issues raised	5.46	6	36	66.7%	10	18.5%	5	9.3%	3	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.81	6	60	89.6%	4	6.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.81	6	58	86.6%	5	7.5%	4	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.74	6	53	80.3%	9	13.6%	4	6.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.79	6	56	84.9%	7	10.6%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.55	6	50	75.9%	9	13.6%	3	4.5%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	1
Shows preparedness	5.59	6	49	74.3%	9	13.6%	6	9.1%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.32	6	23	60.6%	7	18.4%	6	15.8%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	29

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. David A. Glant, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 93

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.13	6	52	56.5%	22	23.9%	7	7.6%	3	3.3%	5	5.4%	3	3.3%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.65	6	44	47.8%	18	19.6%	11	12.0%	3	3.3%	5	5.4%	11	11.9%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.98	6	49	53.3%	21	22.8%	9	9.8%	1	1.1%	8	8.7%	4	4.3%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.52	6	37	44.6%	19	22.9%	5	6.0%	6	7.2%	4	4.8%	12	14.5%	10
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.70	6	46	51.1%	16	17.8%	8	8.9%	6	6.7%	3	3.3%	11	12.2%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.11	6	49	53.3%	27	29.3%	5	5.4%	2	2.2%	6	6.5%	3	3.3%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.68	6	37	46.3%	18	22.5%	6	7.5%	5	6.3%	9	11.3%	5	6.1%	13
Are clear	4.91	6	41	51.9%	18	22.8%	6	7.6%	4	5.1%	6	7.6%	4	5.0%	14
Are concise	5.01	6	43	54.4%	16	20.3%	9	11.4%	4	5.1%	3	3.8%	4	5.0%	14
Address issues raised	4.88	6	41	52.6%	17	21.8%	7	9.0%	2	2.6%	5	6.4%	6	7.6%	15
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.49	6	45	48.4%	17	18.3%	6	6.5%	5	5.4%	4	4.3%	16	17.1%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.12	6	36	38.7%	12	12.9%	14	15.1%	7	7.5%	6	6.5%	18	19.3%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.36	6	63	69.2%	14	15.4%	7	7.7%	1	1.1%	3	3.3%	3	3.3%	2
Has integrity/ethics	4.95	6	61	66.3%	8	8.7%	5	5.4%	3	3.3%	5	5.4%	10	10.9%	1
Shows impartiality	4.23	6	40	43.0%	15	16.1%	9	9.7%	4	4.3%	5	5.4%	20	21.5%	0
Shows preparedness	5.24	6	61	67.9%	11	12.2%	9	10.0%	1	1.1%	4	4.4%	4	4.4%	3
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.22	6	25	50.0%	17	34.0%	5	10.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	43

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Stanley H. Griffis, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 152

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	3.66	6	34	22.5%	25	16.6%	28	18.5%	15	9.9%	17	11.3%	32	21.2%	1
Listens to legal arguments	3.36	1	28	18.4%	20	13.2%	27	17.8%	17	11.2%	23	15.1%	37	24.3%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	3.64	6	34	22.5%	25	16.6%	25	16.6%	18	11.9%	17	11.3%	32	21.1%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	3.27	1	27	18.9%	21	14.7%	17	11.9%	18	12.6%	18	12.6%	42	29.3%	9
Willing to make decisions based on established law	3.37	1	30	20.0%	16	10.7%	28	18.7%	22	14.7%	14	9.3%	40	26.6%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	3.87	6	38	25.6%	23	15.4%	32	21.5%	16	10.7%	17	11.4%	23	15.4%	3
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	3.28	1	23	18.7%	16	13.0%	21	17.1%	14	11.4%	11	8.9%	38	30.9%	29
Are clear	3.67	6	30	25.2%	14	11.8%	22	18.5%	17	14.3%	12	10.1%	24	20.1%	33
Are concise	3.75	6	31	26.6%	13	11.1%	24	20.5%	15	12.8%	13	11.1%	21	17.9%	35
Address issues raised	3.63	6,4	26	22.0%	16	13.6%	26	22.0%	12	10.2%	14	11.9%	24	20.3%	34
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	3.40	1	26	17.1%	34	22.4%	21	13.8%	15	9.9%	6	3.9%	50	32.9%	0
Shows patience/temperament	2.95	1	18	11.8%	24	15.8%	20	13.2%	19	12.5%	13	8.6%	58	38.1%	0
Shows industry/promptness	3.78	6	43	28.6%	24	15.9%	23	15.2%	15	9.9%	10	6.6%	36	23.8%	1
Has integrity/ethics	3.86	6	48	32.9%	19	13.0%	23	15.8%	12	8.2%	9	6.2%	35	23.9%	6
Shows impartiality	3.43	1	38	25.5%	20	13.4%	18	12.1%	13	8.7%	12	8.1%	48	32.2%	3
Shows preparedness	3.73	6	39	26.2%	22	14.8%	23	15.4%	21	14.1%	13	8.7%	31	20.8%	3
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	3.51	4	12	20.3%	7	11.9%	16	27.1%	3	5.1%	6	10.2%	15	25.4%	93

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Robert K. Groeb, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 80

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.27	6	47	59.5%	19	24.1%	6	7.6%	4	5.1%	0	0.0%	3	3.7%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.46	6	55	70.5%	12	15.4%	8	10.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	2	2.5%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.31	6	52	66.7%	12	15.4%	8	10.3%	2	2.6%	0	0.0%	4	5.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.19	6	42	58.3%	15	20.8%	10	13.9%	0	0.0%	2	2.8%	3	4.2%	8
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.18	6	49	62.9%	14	17.9%	6	7.7%	2	2.6%	3	3.8%	4	5.1%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.50	6	56	70.0%	18	22.5%	2	2.5%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	3	3.7%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.40	6	36	60.0%	14	23.3%	9	15.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	20
Are clear	5.36	6	43	62.4%	17	24.6%	4	5.8%	2	2.9%	2	2.9%	1	1.4%	11
Are concise	5.36	6	44	63.9%	15	21.7%	5	7.2%	3	4.3%	0	0.0%	2	2.9%	11
Address issues raised	5.25	6	40	58.1%	19	27.5%	4	5.8%	2	2.9%	1	1.4%	3	4.3%	11
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.63	6	67	83.8%	6	7.5%	3	3.8%	0	0.0%	2	2.5%	2	2.4%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.61	6	66	82.5%	7	8.8%	3	3.8%	0	0.0%	2	2.5%	2	2.4%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.64	6	63	78.8%	11	13.8%	4	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.4%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.49	6	60	75.9%	10	12.7%	5	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	5.1%	1
Shows impartiality	5.11	6	54	67.5%	8	10.0%	6	7.5%	3	3.8%	3	3.8%	6	7.4%	0
Shows preparedness	5.43	6	59	73.8%	10	12.5%	4	5.0%	3	3.8%	1	1.3%	3	3.6%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.53	6	33	70.2%	10	21.3%	2	4.3%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	33

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Victor L. Hulslander, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 119

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.49	6	80	67.8%	29	24.6%	2	1.7%	3	2.5%	2	1.7%	2	1.7%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.62	6	91	77.3%	17	14.4%	5	4.2%	3	2.5%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.58	6	87	73.9%	22	18.6%	4	3.4%	1	0.8%	3	2.5%	1	0.8%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.46	6	82	71.5%	19	16.5%	5	4.3%	5	4.3%	2	1.7%	2	1.7%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.48	6	88	74.6%	16	13.6%	5	4.2%	3	2.5%	4	3.4%	2	1.7%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.56	6	87	73.8%	19	16.1%	7	5.9%	3	2.5%	0	0.0%	2	1.7%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.48	6	75	71.4%	19	18.1%	4	3.8%	3	2.9%	1	1.0%	3	2.8%	14
Are clear	5.49	6	75	70.8%	20	18.9%	4	3.8%	3	2.8%	3	2.8%	1	0.9%	13
Are concise	5.53	6	78	73.7%	17	16.0%	5	4.7%	3	2.8%	1	0.9%	2	1.9%	13
Address issues raised	5.53	6	77	72.7%	18	17.0%	6	5.7%	1	0.9%	3	2.8%	1	0.9%	13
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.82	6	103	87.3%	11	9.3%	2	1.7%	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.76	6	98	83.1%	14	11.9%	4	3.4%	2	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.64	6	94	79.7%	14	11.9%	6	5.1%	1	0.8%	2	1.7%	1	0.8%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.84	6	105	91.3%	6	5.2%	2	1.7%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	4
Shows impartiality	5.67	6	99	84.6%	9	7.7%	3	2.6%	2	1.7%	2	1.7%	2	1.7%	2
Shows preparedness	5.62	6	93	78.8%	12	10.2%	10	8.5%	0	0.0%	2	1.7%	1	0.8%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.67	6	49	70.0%	19	27.1%	2	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	49

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Martha Ann Lott, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 72

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.58	6	29	42.1%	15	21.7%	8	11.6%	5	7.2%	8	11.6%	4	5.8%	3
Listens to legal arguments	4.59	6	30	43.5%	14	20.3%	8	11.6%	5	7.2%	8	11.6%	4	5.8%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	4.72	6	33	47.8%	10	14.5%	14	20.3%	2	2.9%	6	8.7%	4	5.8%	3
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.43	6	24	36.9%	17	26.2%	4	6.2%	8	12.3%	7	10.8%	5	7.6%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.60	6	29	43.3%	14	20.9%	7	10.4%	7	10.4%	5	7.5%	5	7.5%	5
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.91	6	36	52.4%	11	15.9%	11	15.9%	5	7.2%	3	4.3%	3	4.3%	3
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.44	6	24	42.2%	10	17.5%	6	10.5%	6	10.5%	6	10.5%	5	8.8%	15
Are clear	4.64	6	23	41.1%	13	23.2%	8	14.3%	4	7.1%	5	8.9%	3	5.4%	16
Are concise	4.73	6	24	42.9%	15	26.8%	4	7.1%	7	12.5%	3	5.4%	3	5.3%	16
Address issues raised	4.73	6	25	44.7%	13	23.2%	6	10.7%	5	8.9%	4	7.1%	3	5.4%	16
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.79	6	33	47.1%	19	27.1%	6	8.6%	2	2.9%	2	2.9%	8	11.4%	2
Shows patience/temperament	4.63	6	30	42.9%	18	25.7%	7	10.0%	3	4.3%	5	7.1%	7	10.0%	2
Shows industry/promptness	4.80	6	36	51.4%	12	17.1%	9	12.9%	4	5.7%	3	4.3%	6	8.6%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.01	6	39	57.4%	14	20.6%	6	8.8%	1	1.5%	2	2.9%	6	8.8%	4
Shows impartiality	4.61	6	33	47.1%	12	17.1%	10	14.3%	2	2.9%	6	8.6%	7	10.0%	2
Shows preparedness	4.77	6	33	47.1%	14	20.0%	11	15.7%	4	5.7%	2	2.9%	6	8.6%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.79	6	15	44.2%	10	29.4%	3	8.8%	2	5.9%	1	2.9%	3	8.8%	38

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Ysleta W. McDonald, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 85

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.32	6	50	61.1%	20	24.4%	6	7.3%	2	2.4%	2	2.4%	2	2.4%	3
Listens to legal arguments	5.50	6	59	72.0%	15	18.3%	4	4.9%	0	0.0%	2	2.4%	2	2.4%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	5.41	6	55	67.1%	17	20.7%	4	4.9%	2	2.4%	3	3.7%	1	1.2%	3
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.28	6	43	59.7%	17	23.6%	6	8.3%	3	4.2%	1	1.4%	2	2.8%	13
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.35	6	53	66.3%	15	18.8%	5	6.3%	3	3.8%	2	2.5%	2	2.3%	5
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.48	6	52	64.2%	23	28.4%	2	2.5%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	4
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.36	6	44	63.9%	17	24.6%	3	4.3%	2	2.9%	0	0.0%	3	4.3%	16
Are clear	5.42	6	46	66.7%	14	20.3%	5	7.2%	2	2.9%	0	0.0%	2	2.9%	16
Are concise	5.49	6	47	69.1%	14	20.6%	4	5.9%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	2	2.9%	17
Address issues raised	5.49	6	47	69.1%	14	20.6%	4	5.9%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	2	2.9%	17
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.83	6	73	90.2%	6	7.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	4
Shows patience/temperament	5.78	6	73	90.1%	5	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.5%	1	1.2%	4
Shows industry/promptness	5.73	6	66	82.5%	12	15.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.5%	5
Has integrity/ethics	5.84	6	71	89.9%	6	7.6%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	6
Shows impartiality	5.65	6	65	80.4%	10	12.3%	3	3.7%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	4
Shows preparedness	5.64	6	64	80.0%	9	11.3%	5	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.4%	5
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.97	6	19	54.4%	6	17.1%	4	11.4%	4	11.4%	0	0.0%	2	5.7%	50

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Toby S. Monaco, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 139

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.78	6	125	89.9%	9	6.5%	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	3	2.2%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.79	6	120	87.6%	11	8.0%	4	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.5%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.80	6	127	91.5%	6	4.3%	2	1.4%	0	0.0%	2	1.4%	2	1.4%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.70	6	112	85.5%	10	7.6%	5	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	3	2.3%	8
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.72	6	119	86.3%	10	7.2%	5	3.6%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	3	2.2%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.82	6	125	91.2%	7	5.1%	2	1.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	2	1.5%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.70	6	106	86.9%	9	7.4%	2	1.6%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	4	3.3%	17
Are clear	5.76	6	106	87.6%	10	8.3%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	2	1.7%	18
Are concise	5.82	6	109	89.4%	10	8.2%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.6%	17
Address issues raised	5.77	6	106	87.6%	10	8.3%	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	2	1.6%	18
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.87	6	128	92.8%	7	5.1%	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	1	0.7%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.80	6	121	87.8%	12	8.7%	2	1.4%	1	0.7%	1	0.7%	1	0.7%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.85	6	125	90.7%	10	7.2%	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	1	0.7%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.85	6	128	94.1%	3	2.2%	2	1.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	2	1.5%	3
Shows impartiality	5.73	6	117	85.4%	13	9.5%	2	1.5%	1	0.7%	3	2.2%	1	0.7%	2
Shows preparedness	5.85	6	125	91.3%	9	6.6%	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	1	0.7%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.66	6	63	78.8%	10	12.5%	6	7.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	59

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Mark W. Moseley, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 65

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.89	6	27	42.2%	16	25.0%	12	18.8%	5	7.8%	4	6.2%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.98	6	29	45.3%	18	28.1%	9	14.1%	5	7.8%	1	1.6%	2	3.1%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.92	6	26	41.3%	19	30.2%	9	14.3%	5	7.9%	4	6.3%	0	0.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.63	6	22	38.6%	14	24.6%	8	14.0%	7	12.3%	3	5.3%	3	5.2%	8
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.90	6	29	47.5%	17	27.9%	4	6.6%	4	6.6%	5	8.2%	2	3.2%	4
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.91	6	28	43.8%	20	31.3%	6	9.4%	5	7.8%	2	3.1%	3	4.6%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.74	6	18	36.0%	17	34.0%	5	10.0%	6	12.0%	2	4.0%	2	4.0%	15
Are clear	4.82	6	20	40.0%	17	34.0%	4	8.0%	5	10.0%	1	2.0%	3	6.0%	15
Are concise	4.88	6	21	42.0%	15	30.0%	5	10.0%	6	12.0%	2	4.0%	1	2.0%	15
Address issues raised	4.88	6	21	42.0%	17	34.0%	3	6.0%	5	10.0%	2	4.0%	2	4.0%	15
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.38	6	44	68.8%	11	17.2%	3	4.7%	3	4.7%	1	1.6%	2	3.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.16	6	37	57.8%	12	18.8%	8	12.5%	4	6.3%	1	1.6%	2	3.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.40	6	40	64.5%	12	19.4%	6	9.7%	3	4.8%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	3
Has integrity/ethics	5.43	6	44	69.8%	9	14.3%	6	9.5%	2	3.2%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	2
Shows impartiality	4.73	6	33	52.5%	12	19.0%	2	3.2%	6	9.5%	4	6.3%	6	9.5%	2
Shows preparedness	5.26	6	34	54.8%	17	27.4%	5	8.1%	5	8.1%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	3
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.77	6	10	38.5%	8	30.8%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	39

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. James P. Nilon, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 83

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.45	6	52	62.7%	21	25.3%	7	8.4%	1	1.2%	2	2.4%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.52	6	57	69.5%	18	22.0%	4	4.9%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	2	2.4%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.47	6	56	67.5%	17	20.5%	6	7.2%	1	1.2%	3	3.6%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.23	6	45	58.4%	20	26.0%	5	6.5%	3	3.9%	0	0.0%	4	5.2%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.42	6	54	66.8%	15	18.5%	7	8.6%	3	3.7%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.59	6	58	70.8%	17	20.7%	5	6.1%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.41	6	40	58.8%	21	30.9%	5	7.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.4%	15
Are clear	5.50	6	43	63.2%	19	27.9%	4	5.9%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	15
Are concise	5.50	6	43	63.2%	19	27.9%	4	5.9%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	15
Address issues raised	5.51	6	44	64.7%	18	26.5%	4	5.9%	1	1.5%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	15
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.71	6	71	85.6%	6	7.2%	3	3.6%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.52	6	59	71.1%	16	19.3%	5	6.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	2	2.4%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.73	6	66	80.5%	12	14.6%	3	3.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.82	6	75	91.5%	2	2.4%	3	3.7%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.33	6	56	67.5%	14	16.9%	4	4.8%	5	6.0%	1	1.2%	3	3.6%	0
Shows preparedness	5.62	6	61	74.4%	15	18.3%	4	4.9%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.64	6	32	72.7%	9	20.5%	2	4.5%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Phyllis M. Rosier, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 73

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.87	6	31	44.3%	15	21.4%	13	18.6%	8	11.4%	1	1.4%	2	2.9%	3
Listens to legal arguments	4.80	6	34	49.5%	13	18.8%	9	13.0%	3	4.3%	7	10.1%	3	4.3%	4
Comprehends legal concepts	4.78	6	32	46.5%	15	21.7%	8	11.6%	6	8.7%	5	7.2%	3	4.3%	4
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.62	6	24	40.0%	15	25.0%	7	11.7%	6	10.0%	4	6.7%	4	6.6%	13
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.96	6	34	50.0%	15	22.1%	9	13.2%	4	5.9%	4	5.9%	2	2.9%	5
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.93	6	31	44.3%	20	28.6%	10	14.3%	3	4.3%	4	5.7%	2	2.8%	3
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.67	6	23	39.7%	17	29.3%	7	12.1%	3	5.2%	3	5.2%	5	8.5%	15
Are clear	4.69	6	25	43.2%	13	22.4%	9	15.5%	4	6.9%	2	3.4%	5	8.6%	15
Are concise	4.81	6	26	44.1%	16	27.1%	8	13.6%	2	3.4%	3	5.1%	4	6.7%	14
Address issues raised	4.84	6	25	43.1%	16	27.6%	8	13.8%	4	6.9%	2	3.4%	3	5.2%	15
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.25	6	41	57.8%	17	23.9%	6	8.5%	5	7.0%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.04	6	36	50.8%	21	29.6%	4	5.6%	4	5.6%	3	4.2%	3	4.2%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.04	6	34	48.7%	21	30.0%	5	7.1%	5	7.1%	4	5.7%	1	1.4%	3
Has integrity/ethics	5.49	6	47	68.3%	15	21.7%	4	5.8%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	4
Shows impartiality	5.16	6	40	57.1%	19	27.1%	3	4.3%	2	2.9%	2	2.9%	4	5.7%	3
Shows preparedness	5.00	6	32	45.7%	23	32.9%	7	10.0%	1	1.4%	5	7.1%	2	2.9%	3
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.04	6	8	32.0%	5	20.0%	3	12.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	5	20.0%	48

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Denise R. Ferrero, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 76

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.43	6	45	60.0%	22	29.3%	5	6.7%	2	2.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.55	6	49	65.4%	22	29.3%	2	2.7%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.55	6	51	68.0%	17	22.7%	5	6.7%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.42	6	42	61.0%	18	26.1%	7	10.1%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.48	6	49	67.2%	15	20.5%	6	8.2%	2	2.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.53	6	47	63.5%	23	31.1%	2	2.7%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.42	6	36	63.2%	15	26.3%	2	3.5%	3	5.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	19
Are clear	5.50	6	38	67.9%	13	23.2%	2	3.6%	2	3.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	20
Are concise	5.54	6	39	69.6%	12	21.4%	3	5.4%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	20
Address issues raised	5.58	6	42	73.7%	10	17.5%	3	5.3%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	19
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.57	6	54	71.1%	14	18.4%	6	7.9%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.46	6	48	63.2%	19	25.0%	6	7.9%	2	2.6%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.62	6	55	74.3%	13	17.6%	5	6.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.76	6	60	80.0%	12	16.0%	3	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.32	6	47	62.7%	16	21.3%	6	8.0%	3	4.0%	1	1.3%	2	2.7%	1
Shows preparedness	5.57	6	56	74.7%	12	16.0%	3	4.0%	2	2.7%	2	2.6%	0	0.0%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.55	6	28	70.0%	6	15.0%	6	15.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	36

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Walter M. Green, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 63

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.64	6	22	36.1%	15	24.6%	12	19.7%	6	9.8%	4	6.6%	2	3.2%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.00	6	31	50.0%	14	22.6%	9	14.5%	3	4.8%	4	6.5%	1	1.6%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.69	6	24	38.8%	15	24.2%	11	17.7%	7	11.3%	2	3.2%	3	4.8%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.35	5	16	28.1%	19	33.3%	5	8.8%	9	15.8%	2	3.5%	6	10.5%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.55	6	23	38.3%	15	25.0%	6	10.0%	10	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	10.0%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.90	6	24	40.0%	20	33.3%	6	10.0%	6	10.0%	4	6.7%	0	0.0%	3
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.34	6	14	31.9%	10	22.7%	6	13.6%	8	18.2%	3	6.8%	3	6.8%	19
Are clear	4.53	6	16	37.2%	10	23.3%	5	11.6%	7	16.3%	3	7.0%	2	4.6%	20
Are concise	4.64	6	18	41.0%	9	20.5%	6	13.6%	7	15.9%	2	4.5%	2	4.5%	19
Address issues raised	4.55	6	17	38.7%	9	20.5%	6	13.6%	7	15.9%	3	6.8%	2	4.5%	19
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.74	6	49	79.1%	11	17.7%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.73	6	49	79.1%	10	16.1%	2	3.2%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	4.80	6	29	47.5%	10	16.4%	9	14.8%	8	13.1%	4	6.6%	1	1.6%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.42	6	40	64.6%	10	16.1%	10	16.1%	2	3.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	4.97	6	34	54.8%	12	19.4%	7	11.3%	2	3.2%	3	4.8%	4	6.5%	1
Shows preparedness	4.82	6	29	47.5%	9	14.8%	12	19.7%	5	8.2%	6	9.8%	0	0.0%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.21	6	19	57.7%	8	24.2%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	30

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Thomas M. Jaworski, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 79

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.84	6	37	46.8%	16	20.3%	13	16.5%	7	8.9%	1	1.3%	5	6.2%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.11	6	44	55.7%	16	20.3%	10	12.7%	5	6.3%	1	1.3%	3	3.7%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.82	6	40	50.6%	13	16.5%	10	12.7%	8	10.1%	4	5.1%	4	5.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.72	6	32	44.4%	13	18.1%	12	16.7%	9	12.5%	2	2.8%	4	5.5%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.91	6	42	54.5%	10	13.0%	12	15.6%	7	9.1%	1	1.3%	5	6.5%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.11	6	42	55.3%	17	22.4%	7	9.2%	6	7.9%	1	1.3%	3	3.9%	3
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.82	6	28	46.0%	15	24.6%	6	9.8%	6	9.8%	3	4.9%	3	4.9%	18
Are clear	4.84	6	30	49.2%	12	19.7%	8	13.1%	4	6.6%	4	6.6%	3	4.8%	18
Are concise	4.93	6	32	52.5%	12	19.7%	7	11.5%	4	6.6%	3	4.9%	3	4.8%	18
Address issues raised	4.98	6	33	53.2%	14	22.6%	5	8.1%	4	6.5%	3	4.8%	3	4.8%	17
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.42	6	58	73.4%	10	12.7%	2	2.5%	5	6.3%	3	3.8%	1	1.3%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.34	6	56	70.9%	10	12.7%	4	5.1%	4	5.1%	3	3.8%	2	2.4%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.28	6	51	65.4%	12	15.4%	5	6.4%	8	10.3%	0	0.0%	2	2.5%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.27	6	52	66.7%	10	12.8%	7	9.0%	5	6.4%	2	2.6%	2	2.5%	1
Shows impartiality	5.06	6	50	64.1%	10	12.8%	2	2.6%	10	12.8%	1	1.3%	5	6.4%	1
Shows preparedness	5.15	6	47	60.4%	15	19.2%	6	7.7%	4	5.1%	3	3.8%	3	3.8%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.56	6	36	72.0%	9	18.0%	4	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%	29

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. David P. Kreider, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 67

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.48	6	42	63.7%	18	27.3%	3	4.5%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.46	6	45	67.2%	15	22.4%	3	4.5%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.4%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.42	6	43	64.2%	17	25.4%	3	4.5%	1	1.5%	2	3.0%	1	1.4%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.27	6	33	58.9%	13	23.2%	6	10.7%	1	1.8%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%	11
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.38	6	41	63.1%	17	26.2%	2	3.1%	2	3.1%	2	3.1%	1	1.4%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.58	6	44	66.7%	18	27.3%	2	3.0%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.32	6	28	59.6%	14	29.8%	1	2.1%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%	2	4.2%	20
Are clear	5.40	6	29	61.7%	13	27.7%	2	4.3%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%	20
Are concise	5.43	6	29	61.7%	14	29.8%	1	2.1%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	20
Address issues raised	5.38	6	31	64.6%	10	20.8%	3	6.3%	3	6.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%	19
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.82	6	58	86.6%	7	10.4%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.64	6	50	74.6%	14	20.9%	0	0.0%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.63	6	49	73.1%	13	19.4%	3	4.5%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.68	6	52	78.8%	10	15.2%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.27	6	45	67.2%	12	17.9%	1	1.5%	3	4.5%	4	6.0%	2	2.9%	0
Shows preparedness	5.61	6	47	71.3%	15	22.7%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.19	6	17	47.2%	9	25.0%	10	27.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	31

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Phillip A. Pena, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 51

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.00	6	24	49.1%	11	22.4%	7	14.3%	5	10.2%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.45	6	34	69.5%	6	12.2%	7	14.3%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.08	6	28	57.2%	8	16.3%	5	10.2%	5	10.2%	3	6.1%	0	0.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.02	6	25	56.9%	6	13.6%	6	13.6%	4	9.1%	2	4.5%	1	2.3%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.12	6	31	63.4%	6	12.2%	3	6.1%	6	12.2%	2	4.1%	1	2.0%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.29	6	29	59.2%	12	24.5%	3	6.1%	3	6.1%	2	4.1%	0	0.0%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.16	6	18	56.3%	7	21.9%	2	6.3%	4	12.5%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	19
Are clear	5.34	6	21	65.6%	6	18.8%	1	3.1%	3	9.4%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	19
Are concise	5.31	6	21	65.6%	5	15.6%	2	6.3%	3	9.4%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	19
Address issues raised	5.27	6	21	63.6%	5	15.2%	3	9.1%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	18
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.76	6	42	84.0%	6	12.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.62	6	38	76.0%	8	16.0%	2	4.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.54	6	37	74.0%	7	14.0%	3	6.0%	2	4.0%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.61	6	37	75.5%	9	18.4%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.1%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.00	6	31	62.0%	7	14.0%	1	2.0%	6	12.0%	2	4.0%	3	6.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.46	6	34	68.0%	10	20.0%	3	6.0%	1	2.0%	2	4.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.60	6	6	40.0%	2	13.3%	3	20.0%	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	36

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Joseph M. Williams, Baker County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 46

Legal Acumen:

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion				
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.90	6	17	40.5%	16	38.1%	4	9.5%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	3	7.1%	4
Listens to legal arguments	5.02	6	22	52.4%	11	26.2%	4	9.5%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	3	7.1%	4
Comprehends legal concepts	4.98	6	21	50.0%	11	26.2%	5	11.9%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	3	7.1%	4
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.88	6	17	42.5%	12	30.0%	7	17.5%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	3	7.5%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.93	6	19	45.2%	13	31.0%	6	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	9.5%	4

Communications Skills:

Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.16	6	26	60.5%	8	18.6%	5	11.6%	0	0.0%	2	4.7%	2	4.6%	3
--	------	---	----	-------	---	-------	---	-------	---	------	---	------	---	------	---

Written Decisions:

Are well reasoned	4.78	6	14	51.9%	4	14.8%	4	14.8%	1	3.7%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	19
Are clear	4.93	6	15	55.6%	3	11.1%	6	22.2%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	2	7.4%	19
Are concise	4.93	6	15	55.6%	3	11.1%	6	22.2%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	2	7.4%	19
Address issues raised	4.85	6	15	55.6%	3	11.1%	5	18.5%	0	0.0%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	19

Professionalism:

Shows courtesy/civility	5.25	6	31	70.5%	6	13.6%	1	2.3%	2	4.5%	1	2.3%	3	6.8%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.07	6	26	61.9%	8	19.0%	2	4.8%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	4	9.5%	4
Shows industry/promptness	5.35	6	29	67.4%	7	16.3%	4	9.3%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	2	4.7%	3
Has integrity/ethics	5.26	6	30	69.8%	5	11.6%	4	9.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	3	7.0%	3
Shows impartiality	5.07	6	26	61.9%	7	16.7%	3	7.1%	2	4.8%	0	0.0%	4	9.5%	4
Shows preparedness	5.14	6	23	54.8%	11	26.2%	3	7.1%	3	7.1%	0	0.0%	2	4.8%	4

Professional Activities:

Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.81	6	8	38.1%	5	23.8%	6	28.6%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	25
--	------	---	---	-------	---	-------	---	-------	---	------	---	------	---	------	----

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Johnny R. Hobbs, Jr., Bradford County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 35

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.10	6	16	51.6%	6	19.4%	6	19.4%	2	6.5%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	4
Listens to legal arguments	5.32	6	16	51.6%	10	32.3%	4	12.9%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Comprehends legal concepts	4.97	6	14	46.7%	7	23.3%	5	16.7%	2	6.7%	2	6.6%	0	0.0%	5
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.93	6	14	48.3%	6	20.7%	6	20.7%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	2	6.9%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.00	6	16	53.3%	5	16.7%	5	16.7%	2	6.7%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	5
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.37	6	17	56.7%	7	23.3%	6	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.36	6	11	50.0%	8	36.4%	3	13.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
Are clear	5.55	6	15	68.2%	4	18.2%	3	13.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
Are concise	5.43	6	14	60.9%	5	21.7%	4	17.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
Address issues raised	5.39	6	14	60.9%	4	17.4%	5	21.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.78	6	26	81.3%	5	15.6%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Shows patience/temperament	5.71	6	23	74.2%	7	22.6%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Shows industry/promptness	5.42	6	20	64.5%	7	22.6%	2	6.5%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	4
Has integrity/ethics	5.58	6	24	77.4%	2	6.5%	4	12.9%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Shows impartiality	5.42	6	22	71.0%	4	12.9%	2	6.5%	2	6.5%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	4
Shows preparedness	5.39	6	20	64.5%	6	19.4%	3	9.7%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	4
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.38	5,4	1	12.5%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	27

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Sheree H. Lancaster, Gilchrist County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 22

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.47	5	9	47.4%	10	52.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Listens to legal arguments	5.61	6	11	61.1%	7	38.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Comprehends legal concepts	5.56	6	10	55.6%	8	44.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.56	6	9	56.3%	7	43.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.65	6	11	64.7%	6	35.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.63	6	12	63.2%	7	36.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.58	6	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
Are clear	5.58	6	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
Are concise	5.67	6	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
Address issues raised	5.58	6	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.86	6	18	85.7%	3	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.81	6	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.81	6	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.86	6	18	85.7%	3	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.80	6	16	80.0%	4	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows preparedness	5.76	6	16	76.2%	5	23.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.43	6	8	57.1%	4	28.6%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Edward J. Philman, Gilchrist County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 29

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.16	6	16	64.0%	4	16.0%	1	4.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	4
Listens to legal arguments	5.17	6	16	66.7%	3	12.5%	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%	1	4.1%	5
Comprehends legal concepts	5.16	6	16	64.0%	4	16.0%	1	4.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	4
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.78	6	11	48.0%	5	21.7%	1	4.3%	4	17.4%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.00	6	15	62.5%	3	12.5%	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%	5
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.12	6	15	57.8%	6	23.1%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	3
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.88	6	10	58.8%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	12
Are clear	5.06	6	10	58.8%	4	23.5%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	12
Are concise	5.12	6	11	64.7%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	12
Address issues raised	4.88	6	10	58.8%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	12
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.26	6	19	70.4%	3	11.1%	1	3.7%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.00	6	16	59.3%	4	14.8%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.37	6	18	66.7%	5	18.5%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.38	6	20	77.1%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	3
Shows impartiality	5.07	6	18	66.7%	3	11.1%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	3	11.1%	2
Shows preparedness	5.37	6	17	63.0%	6	22.2%	3	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.83	6	7	58.4%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	17

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. James T. Browning, Levy County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 35

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.48	6,5	10	30.3%	10	30.3%	4	12.1%	5	15.2%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	2
Listens to legal arguments	4.36	6	11	33.3%	5	15.2%	7	21.2%	6	18.2%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	4.48	5	9	27.3%	10	30.3%	6	18.2%	5	15.2%	2	6.1%	1	2.9%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.10	6	9	29.0%	6	19.4%	4	12.9%	6	19.4%	3	9.7%	3	9.6%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.34	6	11	34.4%	5	15.6%	6	18.8%	6	18.8%	2	6.3%	2	6.1%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.65	6	15	44.1%	5	14.7%	7	20.6%	2	5.9%	4	11.8%	1	2.9%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.54	6	11	42.4%	3	11.5%	5	19.2%	3	11.5%	4	15.4%	0	0.0%	9
Are clear	4.50	6	10	38.5%	4	15.4%	5	19.2%	3	11.5%	4	15.4%	0	0.0%	9
Are concise	4.65	6	11	42.4%	4	15.4%	5	19.2%	3	11.5%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	9
Address issues raised	4.40	6	8	32.0%	4	16.0%	6	24.0%	4	16.0%	3	12.0%	0	0.0%	9
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.00	6	19	54.3%	5	14.3%	6	17.1%	2	5.7%	3	8.6%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.71	6	14	40.0%	7	20.0%	9	25.7%	1	2.9%	3	8.6%	1	2.8%	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.79	6	16	47.1%	6	17.6%	5	14.7%	3	8.8%	4	11.8%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.03	6	19	56.0%	6	17.6%	5	14.7%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	2	5.9%	1
Shows impartiality	4.21	6	14	41.2%	3	8.8%	6	17.6%	2	5.9%	5	14.7%	4	11.8%	1
Shows preparedness	4.88	6	16	47.2%	6	17.6%	7	20.6%	3	8.8%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.20	6	5	33.3%	3	20.0%	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	3	20.0%	20

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Bo Bayer, Union County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 22

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.94	6,5	7	41.2%	7	41.2%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	1	5.8%	5
Listens to legal arguments	5.06	6	8	47.1%	5	29.4%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	1	5.8%	0	0.0%	5
Comprehends legal concepts	5.06	6	8	47.1%	6	35.3%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.8%	5
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.94	6	7	43.8%	5	31.3%	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	1	6.1%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.00	6	8	47.1%	6	35.3%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	1	5.8%	5
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.22	6	10	55.6%	5	27.8%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	1	5.4%	0	0.0%	3
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.33	6	9	60.0%	4	26.7%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	1	6.6%	0	0.0%	6
Are clear	5.47	6	9	60.0%	4	26.7%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
Are concise	5.53	6	10	66.7%	3	20.0%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
Address issues raised	5.33	6	9	60.0%	4	26.7%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	1	6.6%	0	0.0%	6
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.42	6	11	57.9%	6	31.6%	1	5.3%	1	5.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.21	6	10	52.6%	6	31.6%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	1	5.2%	0	0.0%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.50	6	11	61.1%	5	27.8%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Has integrity/ethics	5.50	6	12	66.7%	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Shows impartiality	5.17	6	9	50.0%	6	33.3%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	3
Shows preparedness	5.17	6	10	55.6%	4	22.2%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	3
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.20	6,5	4	40.0%	4	40.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. David L. Reiman, Union County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 27

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.20	6	14	56.0%	6	24.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.16	6	13	52.0%	7	28.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.16	6	13	52.0%	7	28.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.12	6	14	56.0%	5	20.0%	3	12.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.04	6	13	52.0%	5	20.0%	4	16.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.23	6	15	57.8%	6	23.1%	3	11.5%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.19	6	13	61.9%	3	14.3%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	6
Are clear	5.19	6	13	61.9%	3	14.3%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	6
Are concise	5.19	6	13	61.9%	3	14.3%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	6
Address issues raised	5.14	6	12	57.1%	4	19.0%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	6
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.38	6	17	65.5%	6	23.1%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.12	6	14	53.9%	6	23.1%	3	11.5%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.15	6	14	53.9%	6	23.1%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.23	6	14	53.9%	8	30.8%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1
Shows impartiality	5.08	6	14	54.0%	6	23.1%	3	11.5%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	1
Shows preparedness	5.23	6	14	53.9%	8	30.8%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.36	5	3	21.4%	6	42.9%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	13

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Stephan P. Mickle, Chief Federal District Court Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 46

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.36	6	27	60.1%	11	24.4%	5	11.1%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.39	6	27	61.4%	11	25.0%	4	9.1%	0	0.0%	2	4.5%	0	0.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.40	6	27	60.0%	13	28.9%	3	6.7%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.29	6	26	57.9%	11	24.4%	5	11.1%	2	4.4%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.29	6	26	57.9%	11	24.4%	5	11.1%	2	4.4%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.44	6	29	67.5%	8	18.6%	4	9.3%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	3
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.20	6	22	48.9%	17	37.8%	3	6.7%	0	0.0%	2	4.4%	1	2.2%	1
Are clear	5.33	6	25	55.6%	15	33.3%	3	6.7%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	1
Are concise	5.29	6	24	53.4%	15	33.3%	4	8.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	1
Address issues raised	5.33	6	27	60.1%	13	28.9%	2	4.4%	0	0.0%	2	4.4%	1	2.2%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.70	6	36	81.8%	5	11.4%	1	2.3%	2	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.50	6	30	68.2%	9	20.5%	3	6.8%	1	2.3%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.16	6	23	52.3%	11	25.0%	6	13.6%	3	6.8%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.60	6	35	77.9%	6	13.3%	2	4.4%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1
Shows impartiality	5.20	6	27	61.4%	7	15.9%	7	15.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	2	4.5%	2
Shows preparedness	5.40	6	28	65.2%	9	20.9%	4	9.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	1	2.3%	3
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.33	6	16	59.3%	6	22.2%	3	11.1%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Maurice M. Paul, Senior Federal District Court Judge

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 41

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.37	6	26	63.5%	11	26.8%	0	0.0%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.44	6	14	34.1%	8	19.5%	9	22.0%	4	9.8%	4	9.8%	2	4.8%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.34	6	26	63.5%	10	24.4%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.95	6	21	51.2%	9	22.0%	5	12.2%	2	4.9%	2	4.9%	2	4.8%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.76	6	19	46.3%	9	22.0%	3	7.3%	5	12.2%	4	9.8%	1	2.4%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.22	6	25	61.0%	8	19.5%	4	9.8%	1	2.4%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.98	6	22	53.7%	5	12.2%	9	22.0%	2	4.9%	2	4.9%	1	2.3%	0
Are clear	5.27	6	24	58.6%	11	26.8%	2	4.9%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	0
Are concise	5.27	6	25	61.0%	9	22.0%	3	7.3%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	0
Address issues raised	5.17	6	23	56.1%	11	26.8%	2	4.9%	2	4.9%	2	4.9%	1	2.4%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.32	6	11	26.8%	10	24.4%	10	24.4%	4	9.8%	3	7.3%	3	7.3%	0
Shows patience/temperament	3.93	3	9	22.0%	7	17.1%	8	19.5%	10	24.4%	3	7.3%	4	9.7%	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.83	6	18	45.0%	10	25.0%	3	7.5%	6	15.0%	2	5.0%	1	2.5%	1
Has integrity/ethics	4.95	6	19	47.5%	9	22.5%	7	17.5%	3	7.5%	0	0.0%	2	5.0%	1
Shows impartiality	4.15	6	15	36.6%	6	14.6%	3	7.3%	8	19.5%	5	12.2%	4	9.8%	0
Shows preparedness	5.12	6	22	53.7%	11	26.8%	2	4.9%	3	7.3%	3	7.3%	0	0.0%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	3.44	4	4	22.3%	0	0.0%	6	33.3%	2	11.1%	2	11.1%	4	22.2%	23

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Mark E. Walker, Federal District Court Judge

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 8

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.75	6	7	87.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	6.00	6	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.75	6	7	87.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.86	6	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.38	6	7	87.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	6.00	6	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.80	6	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Are clear	5.80	6	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Are concise	5.80	6	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Address issues raised	5.80	6	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.88	6	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.75	6	7	87.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	6.00	6	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.33	5	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Gary R. Jones, Magistrate Judge

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 28

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.44	6	19	70.4%	4	14.8%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.48	6	18	66.7%	6	22.2%	1	3.7%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.48	6	19	70.4%	5	18.5%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.24	6	16	64.0%	4	16.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.23	6	17	65.4%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.48	6	18	66.7%	7	25.9%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.30	6	17	63.0%	5	18.5%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	1
Are clear	5.52	6	18	66.7%	6	22.2%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Are concise	5.48	6	18	66.7%	5	18.5%	3	11.1%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Address issues raised	5.52	6	18	66.7%	7	25.9%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.61	6	23	82.1%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.63	6	21	77.8%	4	14.8%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.74	6	22	81.5%	3	11.1%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.56	6	21	77.8%	3	11.1%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	1
Shows impartiality	5.54	6	21	75.0%	4	14.3%	2	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	0
Shows preparedness	5.74	6	22	81.5%	4	14.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.94	6	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Samuel P. Stafford, II, Director/CSE Hearing Officer

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Number of Attorneys Responding:	22														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.05	6	11	52.4%	6	28.6%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	3	14.2%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.86	6	10	47.6%	7	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	2	9.5%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.86	6	10	47.6%	7	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	2	9.5%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.52	6	8	38.1%	6	28.6%	3	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	3	14.2%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.95	6	11	52.4%	5	23.8%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.86	6	11	52.4%	5	23.8%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	3	14.3%	1	4.7%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.83	6	9	50.0%	5	27.8%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	2	11.0%	4
Are clear	4.94	6	9	50.0%	6	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	4
Are concise	4.94	6	9	50.0%	6	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	4
Address issues raised	5.00	6	10	55.6%	5	27.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	1	5.5%	4
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.05	6	14	63.7%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.68	6	13	59.2%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	3	13.6%	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.82	6	13	59.2%	3	13.6%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	3	13.6%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.18	6	17	77.4%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	0
Shows impartiality	4.77	6	14	63.7%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	3	13.6%	0
Shows preparedness	5.05	6	14	66.7%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	2	9.5%	1	4.7%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.46	6	5	38.5%	2	15.4%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	1	7.6%	9

Footnote:
For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Harvey E. Baxter, Hearing Officer

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 26

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.81	6	21	80.8%	5	19.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.77	6	21	80.8%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.73	6	20	77.0%	5	19.2%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.58	6	17	65.4%	8	30.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.69	6	18	69.2%	8	30.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.65	6	18	69.3%	7	26.9%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.70	6	17	74.0%	5	21.7%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Are clear	5.74	6	17	73.9%	6	26.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Are concise	5.70	6	16	69.6%	7	30.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Address issues raised	5.71	6	18	75.0%	5	20.8%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.85	6	22	84.6%	4	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.73	6	20	77.0%	5	19.2%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.69	6	20	77.0%	5	19.2%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.77	6	21	80.8%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.69	6	20	77.0%	5	19.2%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.73	6	21	80.8%	4	15.4%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.58	6	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Susanne Wilson Bullard, Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Number of Attorneys Responding:	28														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.46	6	12	46.3%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	5	19.2%	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	2
Listens to legal arguments	4.24	6	12	48.0%	2	8.0%	2	8.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	5	20.0%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	4.40	6	12	48.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	4	16.0%	2	8.0%	3	12.0%	3
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.18	6	10	45.6%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	3	13.6%	3	13.6%	3	13.6%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.32	6	12	48.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	3	12.0%	2	8.0%	4	16.0%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.07	6	16	59.3%	4	14.8%	2	7.4%	4	14.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.93	6	9	60.0%	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	1	6.6%	13
Are clear	5.20	6	9	60.1%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
Are concise	5.20	6	9	60.1%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
Address issues raised	5.07	6	9	60.0%	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	13
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.04	6	19	68.0%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.82	6	18	64.4%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.11	6	16	59.3%	5	18.5%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	1
Has integrity/ethics	4.89	6	18	66.7%	1	3.7%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	3	11.1%	2	7.4%	1
Shows impartiality	4.23	6	13	50.0%	2	7.7%	2	7.7%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	6	23.1%	2
Shows preparedness	4.74	6	15	55.6%	4	14.8%	0	0.0%	4	14.8%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.69	6	8	50.0%	3	18.8%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	2	12.4%	12

Footnote:
For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

27

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.15	6	11	42.4%	9	34.6%	5	19.2%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.04	6	12	46.2%	8	30.8%	3	11.5%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.04	6	12	46.2%	6	23.1%	6	23.1%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.88	6	11	45.9%	6	25.0%	2	8.3%	3	12.5%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.92	6	12	46.3%	8	30.8%	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.58	6	15	62.5%	8	33.3%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.30	6	12	60.0%	3	15.0%	4	20.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
Are clear	5.37	6	13	68.5%	2	10.5%	2	10.5%	2	10.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
Are concise	5.37	6	12	63.2%	4	21.1%	1	5.3%	2	10.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
Address issues raised	5.21	6	11	57.9%	5	26.3%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	2	10.5%	0	0.0%	7
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.52	6	17	68.0%	5	20.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.36	6	15	60.0%	5	20.0%	4	16.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.52	6	17	68.0%	4	16.0%	4	16.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.60	6	19	76.0%	3	12.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.36	6	17	68.0%	4	16.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.56	6	19	76.0%	1	4.0%	5	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.10	6	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 43

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.72	6	34	79.1%	8	18.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.72	6	36	83.8%	5	11.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.67	6	34	79.1%	6	14.0%	2	4.7%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.65	6	31	77.5%	7	17.5%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.56	6	32	74.4%	7	16.3%	1	2.3%	2	4.7%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.72	6	36	83.8%	5	11.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.56	6	25	73.7%	6	17.6%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	9
Are clear	5.65	6	26	76.6%	6	17.6%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	9
Are concise	5.68	6	27	79.5%	5	14.7%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	9
Address issues raised	5.62	6	27	79.5%	4	11.8%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	9
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.77	6	38	88.4%	3	7.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.74	6	37	86.1%	4	9.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.77	6	38	88.4%	3	7.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.77	6	38	88.4%	3	7.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.77	6	38	88.4%	3	7.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.70	6	35	81.4%	6	14.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.00	6	8	66.7%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	31

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Number of Attorneys Responding:	26														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.35	6	17	65.5%	5	19.2%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.81	6	13	50.0%	6	23.1%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	2	7.7%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.31	6	16	61.6%	6	23.1%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.85	6	14	53.9%	4	15.4%	2	7.7%	3	11.5%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.81	6	12	46.2%	6	23.1%	3	11.5%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.54	6	19	73.1%	4	15.4%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.25	6	15	62.5%	5	20.8%	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	2
Are clear	5.54	6	16	66.7%	5	20.8%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Are concise	5.61	6	16	69.6%	5	21.7%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Address issues raised	5.38	6	15	62.5%	5	20.8%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	2
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.81	6	15	57.8%	2	7.7%	5	19.2%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.73	6	14	53.9%	2	7.7%	6	23.1%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.50	6	19	73.2%	5	19.2%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.27	6	15	57.8%	7	26.9%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0
Shows impartiality	4.73	6	14	53.9%	5	19.2%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	4	15.4%	0
Shows preparedness	5.69	6	19	73.1%	6	23.1%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	3.67	4	3	25.0%	0	0.0%	5	41.7%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	2	16.6%	14

Footnote:
For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Marjorie Renee Hill - Compensation Claims Court Judge

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results														
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion		
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
Number of Attorneys Responding:		4															
Legal Acumen:																	
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.00	6	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.00	6	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.00	6	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.33	6,5,2	1	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.75	6	2	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:																	
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.00	6	2	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:																	
Are well reasoned	4.75	6	2	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Are clear	4.75	6	2	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Are concise	4.75	6	2	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Address issues raised	4.75	6	2	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:																	
Shows courtesy/civility	5.00	6	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.00	6	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.00	6	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.00	6	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.00	6	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.00	6	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professional Activities:																	
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.00	6,5,1	1	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	1

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2013 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Karen K. Specie - Chief Bankruptcy Judge

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results														
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion		
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
Number of Attorneys Responding:	14																
Legal Acumen:																	
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.93	6	13	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.92	6	12	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.92	6	12	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.77	6	10	100.0%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.92	6	12	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:																	
Able to articulate questions & decisions	6.00	6	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Written Decisions:																	
Are well reasoned	5.83	6	10	100.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Are clear	5.83	6	10	100.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Are concise	5.83	6	10	100.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Address issues raised	5.83	6	10	100.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Professionalism:																	
Shows courtesy/civility	5.92	6	11	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.92	6	11	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows industry/promptness	6.00	6	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.92	6	11	100.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Professional Activities:																	
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.10	6	5	100.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.