



## ***EIGHTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT BAR ASSOCIATION, INC.***

Main Office  
P. O. Box 13924  
Gainesville, FL 32604

Tele: (352) 380-0333  
Fax: (866) 436-5944  
Email: [execdir@8jcba.org](mailto:execdir@8jcba.org)

Dawn Vallejos-Nichols  
*President*

James "Mac" McCarty  
*Past President*

Nancy T. Baldwin  
*President-Elect*

Raymond F. Brady  
*President-Elect  
Designate*

Sharon T. Sperling  
*Treasurer*

Audrie Harris  
*Secretary*

Executive Director:  
Judy Padgett

### 2012 Judicial Poll Overview

The lawyers of the Eighth Judicial Circuit have a vital interest in attracting and retaining judicial officers with the highest possible qualifications, so that our courts demonstrate trust, respect and honor for our laws. This duty and responsibility of lawyers is recognized in the Rules of Professional Conduct that govern lawyers in Florida.

To assist and educate the public about this circuit's judicial officers, the Eighth Judicial Circuit Bar Association surveyed lawyers who practice law in our circuit. Our circuit comprises Alachua, Baker, Bradford, Gilchrist, Levy and Union counties.

The poll is intended to reflect the composite opinion of the local lawyers concerning the judicial abilities demonstrated during the past year for each of the judicial officers listed. Lawyers were instructed to disregard political considerations, and vote their conscience and opinion. If a lawyer did not have knowledge or experience as to a judicial officer's experience or capability in any one area sufficient to form a reasoned opinion, the lawyer was instructed to vote "No Opinion" as to that area.

The poll captured the lawyers' opinions in three broad categories:

- Legal acumen;
- Communication skills; and
- Professionalism.

Within each of these categories were more specific categories, as follows:

Legal Acumen:

- Demonstrates knowledge of the law;
- Listens to legal arguments;
- Comprehends legal concepts;
- Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of the law; and
- Willing to make decisions based on established law.

#### Communication Skills:

- Able to articulate questions and decisions;
- Written decisions are well reasoned;
- Written decisions are clear;
- Written decisions are concise; and
- Written decisions address issues raised.

#### Professionalism:

- Shows courtesy/civility;
- Shows patience/temperament;
- Shows industry/promptness;
- Has integrity/ethics;
- Shows impartiality;
- Shows preparedness; and
- Participates in professional activities.

The scale used by the lawyers to evaluate each judicial officer was as follows:

- 6 - Strongly agree;
- 5 - Moderately agree;
- 4 - Slightly agree;
- 3 - Slightly disagree;
- 2 - Moderately disagree;
- 1 - Strongly disagree.

While the EJCBA is providing you with all of the results, please carefully note that the results become significantly less meaningful as the number of lawyers who responded to any single judicial officer decreases. For example, if only 10 people responded to a judicial officer, one voter has the ability to significantly impact the outcome, making the overall results for that judicial officer less reliable.

The Association included federal area judicial officers and administrative hearing officers, even though these are appointed positions, rather than elected.

In addition to the detailed results, poll summary results are presented for each judicial officer based on averages as well as the most frequent response for each category.

In 2012, 199 lawyers participated in the poll; 2011, 195 lawyers participated.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Summary

### Average Rating by Lawyers

**Scale:**

- 6 - Strongly Agree
- 5 - Moderately Agree
- 4 - Slightly Agree
- 3 - Slightly Disagree
- 2 - Moderately Disagree
- 1 - Strongly Disagree

**Circuit Judges:**

	Number of Responses	Legal Acumen: Demonstrates knowledge of the law	Listens to legal arguments	Comprehends legal concepts	Analytically reaches decisions	Willing to make decisions based on established law	Communications Skills: Able to articulate questions & decisions	Written Decisions: Are well reasoned	Are clear	Are concise	Address issues raised	Professionalism: Shows courtesy/civility	Shows patience/temperament	Shows industry/promptness	Has integrity/ethics	Shows impartiality	Shows preparedness	Professional Activities: Participates
Honorable Robert E. Roundtree, Jr.	116	5.64	5.46	5.63	5.32	5.57	5.77	5.33	5.55	5.58	5.51	5.67	5.42	5.69	5.76	5.53	5.63	5.55
Honorable Mary Day Coker	80	4.37	4.55	4.47	4.26	4.43	4.53	4.52	4.65	4.70	4.60	4.74	4.46	3.65	4.90	4.25	4.04	4.43
Honorable William E. Davis	64	5.44	5.50	5.51	5.34	5.47	5.59	5.40	5.36	5.37	5.47	5.84	5.80	5.65	5.77	5.37	5.57	5.33
Honorable David A. Glant	76	4.96	4.61	4.88	4.46	4.49	5.00	4.56	4.91	5.00	4.78	4.38	3.95	5.21	4.99	4.16	5.09	5.15
Honorable Stanley H. Griffis, III	117	3.84	3.67	3.92	3.55	3.62	4.13	3.58	3.83	4.00	3.86	3.77	3.47	4.33	4.10	3.63	4.10	3.77
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	80	5.35	5.46	5.42	5.29	5.43	5.51	5.40	5.44	5.46	5.41	5.73	5.65	5.65	5.64	5.45	5.70	5.50
Honorable Victor L. Hulslander	105	5.57	5.70	5.57	5.48	5.51	5.66	5.43	5.52	5.52	5.53	5.80	5.67	5.50	5.85	5.67	5.60	5.69
Honorable Martha Ann Lott	65	4.34	4.26	4.41	4.11	4.25	4.56	4.19	4.44	4.51	4.44	4.17	3.97	4.16	4.58	4.13	4.32	4.62
Honorable Ysleta W. McDonald	68	5.53	5.55	5.50	5.41	5.45	5.62	5.42	5.49	5.51	5.47	5.94	5.84	5.75	5.85	5.66	5.72	4.78
Honorable Toby S. Monaco	107	5.82	5.82	5.80	5.74	5.75	5.85	5.75	5.77	5.77	5.75	5.89	5.86	5.84	5.89	5.66	5.83	5.61
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	54	4.81	4.83	4.85	4.60	4.87	5.00	4.86	4.84	4.94	4.84	5.43	5.13	5.17	5.34	4.83	5.19	4.59
Honorable James P. Nilon	59	5.54	5.56	5.53	5.40	5.56	5.54	5.43	5.45	5.45	5.40	5.62	5.46	5.57	5.79	5.28	5.64	5.57
Honorable Phyllis M. Rosier	45	4.71	4.71	4.62	4.41	4.64	4.67	4.41	4.50	4.64	4.57	5.02	4.80	4.87	5.18	4.67	4.98	4.58

**County Judges:**

<b>Alachua County:</b>																		
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	51	5.48	5.47	5.48	5.33	5.44	5.47	5.43	5.43	5.43	5.43	5.43	5.37	5.59	5.65	5.12	5.57	5.53
Honorable Walter M. Green	58	4.64	5.11	4.73	4.63	4.72	4.78	4.62	4.71	4.76	4.78	5.81	5.65	4.96	5.48	5.09	4.95	5.26
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	67	4.89	5.14	4.86	4.77	5.08	5.06	4.92	4.94	4.98	4.94	5.50	5.35	5.28	5.43	5.29	5.17	5.60
Honorable David P. Kreider	60	5.28	5.35	5.40	5.29	5.34	5.39	5.31	5.46	5.44	5.44	5.65	5.67	5.46	5.64	5.46	5.45	5.19
Baker County: Honorable Joseph M. Williams	24	4.86	5.05	4.77	4.86	4.68	5.09	4.81	4.88	4.88	4.94	5.41	5.23	5.45	5.19	5.00	5.23	5.10
Bradford County: Honorable Johnny R. Hobbs, Jr.	24	4.15	4.76	4.24	4.05	4.19	4.73	4.71	4.88	4.94	4.56	5.71	5.67	5.30	5.43	4.92	4.92	4.45
Gilchrist County: Honorable Edward Philman	37	4.59	4.75	4.62	4.45	4.76	4.67	4.68	4.70	4.87	4.74	5.11	4.95	5.39	5.24	4.65	5.03	4.82
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	30	4.83	4.90	5.03	4.82	4.90	5.10	4.55	4.68	4.77	4.71	5.31	5.14	5.17	5.28	4.72	5.24	5.00
Union County: Honorable David L. Reiman	36	5.03	4.94	5.06	4.86	4.92	5.14	5.22	5.22	5.31	5.19	5.19	4.83	5.23	5.25	4.92	5.14	4.93

**Federal District Court Judges:**

Honorable Stephan P. Mickle, Chief Federal District Court Judge	35	5.49	5.48	5.49	5.32	5.34	5.53	5.38	5.65	5.50	5.53	5.76	5.62	5.35	5.77	5.54	5.68	5.83
Honorable Maurice M. Paul, Senior Federal District Court Judge	34	5.15	4.44	5.12	4.69	4.76	5.22	4.78	5.09	4.97	4.94	4.39	4.23	4.52	4.64	3.91	4.88	3.59
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	18	5.35	5.27	5.35	5.18	5.31	5.29	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.31	5.25	5.31	5.29	5.18	5.29	5.00

**Magistrates:**

Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate	24	5.50	5.38	5.42	5.17	5.42	5.43	5.40	5.25	5.40	5.30	5.46	5.25	5.48	5.46	5.29	5.54	4.92
Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate	45	5.78	5.82	5.80	5.83	5.77	5.82	5.79	5.74	5.76	5.77	5.93	5.89	5.82	5.91	5.88	5.84	5.44
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	22	5.14	4.32	5.05	4.90	4.90	5.05	4.89	5.05	5.00	4.84	4.36	3.91	5.27	5.14	4.41	5.36	4.40

**Hearing Officers:**

Harvey E. Baxter - Hearing Officer	30	5.62	5.59	5.62	5.46	5.57	5.62	5.63	5.56	5.63	5.59	5.69	5.66	5.59	5.69	5.69	5.69	5.24
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	27	5.27	5.38	5.35	5.23	5.38	5.35	5.29	5.33	5.25	5.33	5.50	5.54	5.36	5.62	5.42	5.42	5.19
Susanne Wilson Bullard - Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer	28	5.18	4.86	5.07	4.78	4.93	5.37	5.16	5.36	5.36	5.28	5.18	5.04	5.39	5.37	5.00	5.18	5.05

**Average Rating for all Judicial Officers**

5.10	5.09	5.12	4.94	5.05	5.21	5.04	5.13	5.16	5.10	5.31	5.15	5.26	5.39	5.03	5.26	5.02
------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

**Footnotes:**

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

"Number of Responses" represents the total number of lawyers who evaluated this judicial officer. In any given characteristic evaluated, however, less than the total number of lawyers may have provided a response because there were lawyers who responded "no opinion". Please see each Judicial Officer's Detailed Results for complete results.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Summary

### Most Frequent Response by Lawyers

**Scale:**

- 6 - Strongly Agree
- 5 - Moderately Agree
- 4 - Slightly Agree
- 3 - Slightly Disagree
- 2 - Moderately Disagree
- 1 - Strongly Disagree

**Circuit Judges:**

Honorable Rotbert E. Roundtree, Jr.	116
Honorable Mary Day Coker	80
Honorable William E. Davis	64
Honorable David A. Glant	76
Honorable Stanley H. Griffis, III	117
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	80
Honorable Victor L. Hulslander	105
Honorable Martha Ann Lott	65
Honorable Ysleta W. McDonald	68
Honorable Toby S. Monaco	107
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	54
Honorable James P. Nilon	59
Honorable Phyllis M. Rosier	45

**County Judges:**

<b>Alachua County:</b>	
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	51
Honorable Walter M. Green	58
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	67
Honorable David P. Kreider	60
Baker County: Honorable Joseph M. Williams	24
Bradford County: Honorable Johnny R. Hobbs, Jr.	24
Gilchrist County: Honorable Edward Philman	37
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	30
Union County: Honorable David L. Reiman	36

**Federal District Court Judges:**

Honorable Stephan P. Mickle, Chief Federal District Court Judge	35
Honorable Maurice M. Paul, Senior Federal District Court Judge	34
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	18

**Magistrates:**

Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate	24
Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate	45
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	22

**Hearing Officers:**

Harvey E. Baxter - Hearing Officer	30
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	27
Susanne Wilson Bullard - Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer	28

	Number of Responses	Legal Acumen: Demonstrates knowledge of the law	Listens to legal arguments	Comprehends legal concepts	Analytically reaches decisions	Willing to make decisions based on established law	Communications Skills: Able to articulate questions & decisions	Written Decisions: Are well reasoned	Are clear	Are concise	Address issues raised	Professionalism: Shows courtesy/civility	Shows patience/temperament	Shows industry/promptness	Has integrity/ethics	Shows impartiality	Shows preparedness	Professional Activities: Participates
Honorable Rotbert E. Roundtree, Jr.	116	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Mary Day Coker	80	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5
Honorable William E. Davis	64	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable David A. Glant	76	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Stanley H. Griffis, III	117	6	5,1	6	1	6	6	1	6	6	6	6	6	1	6	6	6	6
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	80	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Victor L. Hulslander	105	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Martha Ann Lott	65	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Ysleta W. McDonald	68	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Toby S. Monaco	107	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	54	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5
Honorable James P. Nilon	59	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Phyllis M. Rosier	45	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
<b>Alachua County:</b>																		
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	51	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Walter M. Green	58	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	67	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable David P. Kreider	60	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Baker County: Honorable Joseph M. Williams	24	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Bradford County: Honorable Johnny R. Hobbs, Jr.	24	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6,5,4	6	6	6	6	6	6	4
Gilchrist County: Honorable Edward Philman	37	6	6	6	6	6	6,5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	30	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Union County: Honorable David L. Reiman	36	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6,5
<b>Federal District Court Judges:</b>																		
Honorable Stephan P. Mickle, Chief Federal District Court Judge	35	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Maurice M. Paul, Senior Federal District Court Judge	34	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	4
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	18	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
<b>Magistrates:</b>																		
Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate	24	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate	45	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	22	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
<b>Hearing Officers:</b>																		
Harvey E. Baxter - Hearing Officer	30	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	27	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Susanne Wilson Bullard - Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer	28	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
<b>Most Frequent Response for all Judicial Officers</b>		6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6

**Footnotes:**

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

"Number of Responses" represents the total number of lawyers who evaluated this judicial officer. In any given characteristic evaluated, however, less than the total number of lawyers may have provided a response because there were lawyers who responded "no opinion". Please see each Judicial Officer's Detailed Results for complete results.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Robert E. Roundtree, Chief Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 116

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.64	6	87	75.0%	22	19.0%	4	3.4%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	1	0.8%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.46	6	75	65.2%	26	22.6%	9	7.8%	3	2.6%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.63	6	85	74.0%	22	19.1%	5	4.3%	1	0.9%	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.32	6	68	62.4%	23	21.1%	11	10.1%	3	2.8%	0	0.0%	4	3.6%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.57	6	86	75.4%	17	14.9%	6	5.3%	2	1.8%	1	0.9%	2	1.7%	2
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.77	6	94	81.0%	17	14.7%	5	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.33	6	57	57.6%	30	30.3%	7	7.1%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	3	3.0%	17
Are clear	5.55	6	66	66.7%	25	25.3%	5	5.1%	2	1.9%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	17
Are concise	5.58	6	70	70.0%	21	21.0%	6	6.0%	3	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
Address issues raised	5.51	6	68	67.3%	22	21.8%	9	8.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	15
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.67	6	90	77.6%	17	14.7%	6	5.2%	3	2.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.42	6	77	66.4%	24	20.7%	7	6.0%	3	2.6%	5	4.3%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.69	6	89	78.1%	20	17.5%	3	2.6%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.76	6	99	85.3%	11	9.5%	4	3.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	0
Shows impartiality	5.53	6	88	75.9%	12	10.3%	10	8.6%	3	2.6%	1	0.9%	2	1.7%	0
Shows preparedness	5.63	6	92	80.1%	12	10.4%	5	4.3%	5	4.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	1
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.55	6	48	67.6%	18	25.4%	3	4.2%	0	0.0%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%	45

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Mary Day Coker, Circuit Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 80

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.37	5	21	26.6%	22	27.8%	16	20.3%	10	12.7%	5	6.3%	5	6.3%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.55	6	29	37.7%	17	22.1%	13	16.9%	7	9.1%	7	9.1%	4	5.1%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	4.47	6	27	34.2%	16	20.3%	19	24.1%	6	7.6%	6	7.6%	5	6.2%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.26	6	23	31.5%	16	21.9%	13	17.8%	8	11.0%	4	5.5%	9	12.3%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.43	6	28	36.4%	16	20.8%	15	19.5%	4	5.2%	7	9.1%	7	9.0%	3
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.53	6	23	30.8%	21	28.0%	13	17.3%	10	13.3%	7	9.3%	1	1.3%	5
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	4.52	6	22	35.5%	14	22.6%	10	16.1%	8	12.9%	6	9.7%	2	3.2%	18
Are clear	4.65	6	21	33.9%	17	27.4%	11	17.7%	8	12.9%	4	6.5%	1	1.6%	18
Are concise	4.70	6	21	34.5%	16	26.2%	13	21.3%	8	13.1%	2	3.3%	1	1.6%	19
Address issues raised	4.60	6	22	35.5%	16	25.8%	10	16.1%	6	9.7%	7	11.3%	1	1.6%	18
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.74	6	41	51.3%	13	16.3%	9	11.3%	4	5.0%	7	8.8%	6	7.3%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.46	6	29	36.7%	17	21.5%	15	19.0%	4	5.1%	7	8.9%	7	8.8%	1
Shows industry/promptness	3.65	6	22	28.6%	7	9.1%	17	22.1%	5	6.5%	5	6.5%	21	27.2%	3
Has integrity/ethics	4.90	6	37	48.1%	12	15.6%	19	24.7%	2	2.6%	6	7.8%	1	1.2%	3
Shows impartiality	4.25	6	31	39.2%	13	16.5%	10	12.7%	8	10.1%	4	5.1%	13	16.4%	1
Shows preparedness	4.04	6	27	34.6%	10	12.8%	14	17.9%	6	7.7%	8	10.3%	13	16.7%	2
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.43	5	13	28.3%	14	30.4%	10	21.7%	1	2.2%	5	10.9%	3	6.5%	34

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. William E. Davis, Circuit Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 64

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.44	6	41	65.1%	15	23.8%	4	6.3%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.50	6	42	67.8%	16	25.8%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	2	3.2%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.51	6	43	68.3%	15	23.8%	3	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.1%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.34	6	36	59.0%	17	27.9%	5	8.2%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	2	3.3%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.47	6	44	71.0%	10	16.1%	5	8.1%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	2	3.2%	2
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.59	6	46	75.4%	11	18.0%	2	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.3%	3
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.40	6	34	68.0%	9	18.0%	4	8.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.0%	1	2.0%	14
Are clear	5.36	6	32	64.0%	10	20.0%	5	10.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	14
Are concise	5.37	6	31	63.4%	11	22.4%	4	8.2%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	15
Address issues raised	5.47	6	34	69.4%	9	18.4%	4	8.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	15
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.84	6	58	90.6%	5	7.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.80	6	56	87.5%	6	9.4%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.65	6	49	77.8%	12	19.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.2%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.77	6	56	87.5%	5	7.8%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	0
Shows impartiality	5.37	6	47	74.6%	6	9.5%	3	4.8%	3	4.8%	1	1.6%	3	4.7%	1
Shows preparedness	5.57	6	48	76.2%	10	15.9%	2	3.2%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	2	3.1%	1
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.33	6	24	52.2%	18	39.1%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	18

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. David A. Glant, Circuit Judge



Scale:  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 76

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.96	6	38	50.7%	18	24.0%	7	9.3%	6	8.0%	2	2.7%	4	5.3%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.61	6	31	41.3%	20	26.7%	9	12.0%	3	4.0%	3	4.0%	9	12.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.88	6	38	50.7%	15	20.0%	8	10.7%	6	8.0%	5	6.7%	3	3.9%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.46	6	26	37.8%	19	27.5%	5	7.2%	6	8.7%	6	8.7%	7	10.1%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.49	6	33	45.3%	10	13.7%	12	16.4%	5	6.8%	4	5.5%	9	12.3%	3
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.00	6	38	51.4%	17	23.0%	10	13.5%	2	2.7%	4	5.4%	3	4.0%	2
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	4.56	6	25	39.1%	15	23.4%	9	14.1%	5	7.8%	6	9.4%	4	6.2%	12
Are clear	4.91	6	31	48.4%	14	21.9%	10	15.6%	3	4.7%	3	4.7%	3	4.7%	12
Are concise	5.00	6	29	46.0%	18	28.6%	9	14.3%	3	4.8%	2	3.2%	2	3.1%	13
Address issues raised	4.78	6	28	43.8%	14	21.9%	13	20.3%	2	3.1%	3	4.7%	4	6.2%	12
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.38	6	27	35.6%	20	26.3%	7	9.2%	8	10.5%	5	6.6%	9	11.8%	0
Shows patience/temperament	3.95	5	15	19.7%	21	27.6%	13	17.1%	10	13.2%	6	7.9%	11	14.5%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.21	6	40	53.4%	21	28.0%	9	12.0%	1	1.3%	3	4.0%	1	1.3%	1
Has integrity/ethics	4.99	6	44	60.3%	11	15.1%	6	8.2%	3	4.1%	3	4.1%	6	8.2%	3
Shows impartiality	4.16	6	25	33.3%	16	21.3%	11	14.7%	6	8.0%	3	4.0%	14	18.7%	1
Shows preparedness	5.09	6	38	50.7%	19	25.3%	11	14.7%	3	4.0%	2	2.7%	2	2.6%	1
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.15	6	23	57.5%	7	17.5%	6	15.0%	2	5.0%	1	2.5%	1	2.5%	36

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.



2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Stanley H. Griffis, Circuit Judge



Scale:  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 117

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	3.84	6	29	25.0%	26	22.4%	16	13.8%	8	6.9%	17	14.7%	20	17.2%	1
Listens to legal arguments	3.67	5,1	26	22.4%	27	23.3%	15	12.9%	6	5.2%	15	12.9%	27	23.3%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	3.92	6	31	26.7%	24	20.7%	19	16.4%	8	6.9%	15	12.9%	19	16.4%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	3.55	1	26	23.6%	16	14.5%	19	17.3%	9	8.2%	11	10.0%	29	26.4%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	3.62	6	26	22.7%	19	16.5%	19	16.5%	12	10.4%	14	12.2%	25	21.7%	2
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.13	6	36	31.6%	22	19.3%	19	16.7%	10	8.8%	12	10.5%	15	13.1%	3
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	3.58	1	21	22.2%	18	18.9%	12	12.6%	11	11.6%	10	10.5%	23	24.2%	22
Are clear	3.83	6	27	28.4%	15	15.8%	17	17.9%	8	8.4%	7	7.4%	21	22.1%	22
Are concise	4.00	6	28	30.1%	15	16.1%	18	19.4%	10	10.8%	5	5.4%	17	18.2%	24
Address issues raised	3.86	6	28	29.6%	12	12.6%	18	18.9%	12	12.6%	6	6.3%	19	20.0%	22
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	3.77	6	33	28.7%	22	19.1%	9	7.8%	14	12.2%	11	9.6%	26	22.6%	2
Shows patience/temperament	3.47	1	19	16.6%	24	20.9%	19	16.5%	12	10.4%	12	10.4%	29	25.2%	2
Shows industry/promptness	4.33	6	40	35.2%	30	26.3%	11	9.6%	10	8.8%	7	6.1%	16	14.0%	3
Has integrity/ethics	4.10	6	38	34.9%	18	16.5%	21	19.3%	4	3.7%	5	4.6%	23	21.0%	8
Shows impartiality	3.63	1	27	23.9%	20	17.7%	16	14.2%	12	10.6%	10	8.8%	28	24.8%	4
Shows preparedness	4.10	6	37	32.2%	24	20.9%	16	13.9%	10	8.7%	8	7.0%	20	17.3%	2
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	3.77	6	14	24.7%	10	17.5%	10	17.5%	6	10.5%	6	10.5%	11	19.3%	60

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Robert K. Groeb, Circuit Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 80

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.35	6	45	57.7%	19	24.4%	11	14.1%	2	2.6%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.46	6	47	60.3%	25	32.1%	3	3.8%	1	1.3%	2	2.5%	0	0.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.42	6	47	60.3%	22	28.2%	6	7.7%	1	1.3%	2	2.5%	0	0.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.29	6	39	55.7%	18	25.7%	9	12.9%	2	2.9%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%	10
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.43	6	47	61.0%	21	27.3%	6	7.8%	1	1.3%	2	2.6%	0	0.0%	3
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.51	6	50	64.1%	22	28.2%	4	5.1%	0	0.0%	2	2.6%	0	0.0%	2
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.40	6	36	60.0%	14	23.3%	9	15.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	20
Are clear	5.44	6	35	59.3%	17	28.8%	6	10.2%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	21
Are concise	5.46	6	35	59.3%	18	30.5%	5	8.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	21
Address issues raised	5.41	6	36	62.2%	14	24.1%	6	10.3%	0	0.0%	2	3.4%	0	0.0%	22
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.73	6	64	82.1%	11	14.1%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	2	2.5%	0	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.65	6	62	79.5%	10	12.8%	4	5.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.65	6	60	76.9%	11	14.1%	6	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.64	6	60	80.0%	9	12.0%	4	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.7%	5
Shows impartiality	5.45	6	54	70.1%	13	16.9%	5	6.5%	3	3.9%	0	0.0%	2	2.6%	3
Shows preparedness	5.70	6	61	79.2%	12	15.6%	3	3.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	3
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.50	6	31	59.6%	16	30.8%	5	9.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Victor L. Hulslander, Circuit Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 105

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.57	6	72	69.2%	25	24.0%	4	3.8%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.70	6	83	79.8%	16	15.4%	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.57	6	71	68.9%	25	24.3%	4	3.9%	2	1.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.48	6	68	68.0%	21	21.0%	6	6.0%	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.51	6	75	72.1%	17	16.3%	6	5.8%	3	2.9%	2	1.9%	1	1.0%	1
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.66	6	77	74.0%	22	21.2%	4	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	1
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.43	6	60	66.7%	19	21.1%	6	6.7%	2	2.2%	1	1.1%	2	2.2%	15
Are clear	5.52	6	61	68.6%	20	22.5%	4	4.5%	2	2.2%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	16
Are concise	5.52	6	61	68.6%	20	22.5%	4	4.5%	2	2.2%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	16
Address issues raised	5.53	6	64	71.1%	18	20.0%	5	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	2	2.2%	15
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.80	6	92	87.6%	9	8.6%	2	1.9%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.67	6	84	80.8%	13	12.5%	3	2.9%	2	1.9%	1	1.0%	1	0.9%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.50	6	73	70.9%	18	17.5%	6	5.8%	4	3.9%	1	1.0%	1	0.9%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.85	6	96	92.3%	5	4.8%	0	0.0%	2	1.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.67	6	89	85.6%	9	8.7%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	4	3.7%	1
Shows preparedness	5.60	6	82	78.8%	10	9.6%	7	6.7%	3	2.9%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	1
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.69	6	52	76.5%	14	20.6%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	37

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Martha Ann Lott, Circuit Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 65

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.34	6	23	37.7%	13	21.3%	8	13.1%	5	8.2%	3	4.9%	9	14.8%	4
Listens to legal arguments	4.26	6	21	34.4%	14	23.0%	6	9.8%	8	13.1%	4	6.6%	8	13.1%	4
Comprehends legal concepts	4.41	6	24	39.3%	12	19.7%	9	14.8%	5	8.2%	3	4.9%	8	13.1%	4
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.11	6	18	31.6%	9	15.8%	9	15.8%	11	19.3%	2	3.5%	8	14.0%	8
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.25	6	23	37.7%	11	18.0%	6	9.8%	9	14.8%	3	4.9%	9	14.8%	4
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.56	6	27	45.8%	8	13.6%	8	13.6%	8	13.6%	3	5.1%	5	8.3%	6
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	4.19	6	19	35.2%	9	16.7%	6	11.1%	10	18.5%	3	5.6%	7	12.9%	11
Are clear	4.44	6	21	38.9%	11	20.4%	7	13.0%	7	13.0%	2	3.7%	6	11.0%	11
Are concise	4.51	6	19	35.9%	12	22.6%	10	18.9%	6	11.3%	1	1.9%	5	9.4%	12
Address issues raised	4.44	6	20	37.0%	11	20.4%	8	14.8%	8	14.8%	2	3.7%	5	9.3%	11
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.17	6	21	33.3%	11	17.5%	11	17.5%	6	9.5%	6	9.5%	8	12.7%	2
Shows patience/temperament	3.97	6	17	27.9%	13	21.3%	8	13.1%	6	9.8%	8	13.1%	9	14.8%	4
Shows industry/promptness	4.16	6	23	37.7%	8	13.1%	8	13.1%	9	14.8%	4	6.6%	9	14.7%	4
Has integrity/ethics	4.58	6	26	43.3%	10	16.7%	12	20.0%	4	6.7%	1	1.7%	7	11.6%	5
Shows impartiality	4.13	6	21	35.0%	9	15.0%	9	15.0%	8	13.3%	4	6.7%	9	15.0%	5
Shows preparedness	4.32	6	22	36.7%	11	18.3%	12	20.0%	4	6.7%	1	1.7%	10	16.6%	5
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.62	6	19	45.2%	12	28.6%	1	2.4%	2	4.8%	2	4.8%	6	14.2%	23

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Ysleta W. McDonald, Circuit Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 68

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.53	6	41	62.1%	19	28.8%	6	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.55	6	46	69.7%	13	19.7%	4	6.1%	3	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.50	6	43	65.2%	17	25.8%	3	4.5%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.41	6	40	63.5%	14	22.2%	5	7.9%	3	4.8%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.45	6	43	66.2%	14	21.5%	3	4.6%	4	6.2%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	3
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.62	6	49	72.1%	13	19.1%	5	7.4%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.42	6	33	62.3%	12	22.6%	5	9.4%	3	5.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
Are clear	5.49	6	35	66.0%	11	20.8%	5	9.4%	2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
Are concise	5.51	6	36	67.9%	10	18.9%	5	9.4%	2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
Address issues raised	5.47	6	34	64.2%	12	22.6%	5	9.4%	2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.94	6	64	94.1%	4	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.84	6	57	83.8%	11	16.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.75	6	53	79.1%	12	17.9%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.85	6	57	85.1%	10	14.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.66	6	51	76.1%	12	17.9%	1	1.5%	3	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.72	6	52	77.6%	12	17.9%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.78	6	17	47.3%	8	22.2%	4	11.1%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	3	8.3%	32

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Toby S. Monaco, Circuit Judge



Scale:  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 107

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.82	6	92	86.9%	12	11.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.82	6	95	89.7%	7	6.6%	2	1.9%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.80	6	92	86.8%	11	10.4%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	2	1.9%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.74	6	86	84.3%	10	9.8%	3	2.9%	2	2.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.75	6	88	83.0%	15	14.2%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.9%	1
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.85	6	94	88.7%	9	8.5%	2	1.9%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.75	6	81	84.4%	11	11.5%	1	1.0%	2	2.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	11
Are clear	5.77	6	83	86.5%	8	8.3%	2	2.1%	2	2.1%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	11
Are concise	5.77	6	82	86.3%	8	8.4%	2	2.1%	2	2.1%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	12
Address issues raised	5.75	6	80	83.4%	12	12.5%	2	2.1%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	11
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.89	6	97	90.7%	9	8.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.86	6	94	87.9%	12	11.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.84	6	92	86.0%	13	12.1%	2	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.89	6	98	92.5%	6	5.7%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.66	6	88	82.3%	13	12.1%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	0
Shows preparedness	5.83	6	96	89.8%	7	6.5%	1	0.9%	3	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.61	6	47	73.4%	12	18.8%	4	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	43

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Mark W. Moseley, Circuit Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 54

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.81	6	21	39.6%	15	28.3%	9	17.0%	4	7.5%	2	3.8%	2	3.8%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.83	6	23	43.4%	15	28.3%	6	11.3%	3	5.7%	4	7.5%	2	3.8%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.85	6	23	43.4%	13	24.5%	9	17.0%	3	5.7%	4	7.5%	1	1.9%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.60	6	20	38.5%	11	21.2%	10	19.2%	4	7.7%	5	9.6%	2	3.8%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.87	6	25	47.2%	12	22.6%	8	15.1%	3	5.7%	2	3.8%	3	5.6%	1
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.00	6	26	49.1%	14	26.4%	6	11.3%	2	3.8%	4	7.5%	1	1.9%	1
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	4.86	6	21	41.2%	15	29.4%	8	15.7%	3	5.9%	2	3.9%	2	3.9%	3
Are clear	4.84	6	21	41.2%	15	29.4%	7	13.7%	3	5.9%	4	7.8%	1	2.0%	3
Are concise	4.94	6	21	41.2%	15	29.4%	10	19.6%	2	3.9%	2	3.9%	1	2.0%	3
Address issues raised	4.84	6	22	43.2%	13	25.5%	9	17.6%	2	3.9%	3	5.9%	2	3.9%	3
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.43	6	38	70.4%	8	14.8%	5	9.3%	0	0.0%	2	3.7%	1	1.8%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.13	6	33	61.1%	8	14.8%	7	13.0%	1	1.9%	3	5.6%	2	3.6%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.17	6	32	60.4%	11	20.8%	4	7.5%	0	0.0%	5	9.4%	1	1.9%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.34	6	34	64.2%	9	17.0%	7	13.2%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%	1	1.8%	1
Shows impartiality	4.83	6	30	55.6%	8	14.8%	5	9.3%	4	7.4%	2	3.7%	5	9.2%	0
Shows preparedness	5.19	6	30	56.6%	13	24.5%	5	9.4%	1	1.9%	3	5.7%	1	1.9%	1
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.59	5	8	29.7%	9	33.3%	4	14.8%	4	14.8%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	27

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. James P. Nilon, Circuit Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 59

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.54	6	40	70.2%	12	21.1%	3	5.3%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.56	6	41	71.9%	12	21.1%	1	1.8%	2	3.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.53	6	40	70.2%	12	21.1%	2	3.5%	2	3.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.40	6	35	63.7%	12	21.8%	5	9.1%	2	3.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.56	6	43	75.4%	9	15.8%	2	3.5%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	1	1.7%	2
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.54	6	42	73.7%	8	14.0%	5	8.8%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	2
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.43	6	29	61.7%	13	27.7%	3	6.4%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	12
Are clear	5.45	6	31	66.0%	10	21.3%	4	8.5%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	12
Are concise	5.45	6	31	66.0%	11	23.4%	2	4.3%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%	12
Address issues raised	5.40	6	31	66.0%	10	21.3%	3	6.4%	1	2.1%	1	2.1%	1	2.1%	12
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.62	6	46	79.4%	9	15.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	2	3.4%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.46	6	39	68.4%	13	22.8%	1	1.8%	2	3.5%	0	0.0%	2	3.5%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.57	6	42	75.0%	8	14.3%	4	7.1%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	3
Has integrity/ethics	5.79	6	51	89.5%	4	7.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	2
Shows impartiality	5.28	6	41	70.7%	5	8.6%	4	6.9%	4	6.9%	3	5.2%	1	1.7%	1
Shows preparedness	5.64	6	44	78.6%	9	16.1%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	1	1.7%	3
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.57	6	32	76.2%	5	11.9%	4	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.4%	17

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.



## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Phyllis M. Rosier, Circuit Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 45

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.71	6	18	40.0%	14	31.1%	3	6.7%	4	8.9%	4	8.9%	2	4.4%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.71	6	21	46.7%	10	22.2%	4	8.9%	3	6.7%	4	8.9%	3	6.6%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.62	6	18	40.1%	11	24.4%	5	11.1%	5	11.1%	4	8.9%	2	4.4%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.41	6	15	34.2%	10	22.7%	7	15.9%	6	13.6%	2	4.5%	4	9.1%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.64	6	20	45.5%	9	20.5%	4	9.1%	4	9.1%	4	9.1%	3	6.7%	1
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.67	6	18	40.0%	12	26.7%	6	13.3%	3	6.7%	3	6.7%	3	6.6%	0
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	4.41	6	14	37.9%	9	24.3%	2	5.4%	6	16.2%	2	5.4%	4	10.8%	8
Are clear	4.50	6	13	36.2%	10	27.8%	4	11.1%	3	8.3%	3	8.3%	3	8.3%	9
Are concise	4.64	6	14	38.9%	10	27.8%	4	11.1%	3	8.3%	3	8.3%	2	5.6%	9
Address issues raised	4.57	6	14	37.9%	11	29.7%	2	5.4%	4	10.8%	4	10.8%	2	5.4%	8
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.02	6	23	51.1%	12	26.7%	3	6.7%	4	8.9%	1	2.2%	2	4.4%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.80	6	20	44.4%	12	26.7%	5	11.1%	3	6.7%	2	4.4%	3	6.7%	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.87	6	25	55.6%	7	15.6%	3	6.7%	4	8.9%	4	8.9%	2	4.3%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.18	6	29	64.5%	8	17.8%	1	2.2%	3	6.7%	2	4.4%	2	4.4%	0
Shows impartiality	4.67	6	24	53.3%	5	11.1%	5	11.1%	3	6.7%	4	8.9%	4	8.9%	0
Shows preparedness	4.98	6	25	55.6%	7	15.6%	5	11.1%	5	11.1%	1	2.2%	2	4.4%	0
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.58	6	8	33.3%	6	25.0%	5	20.8%	3	12.5%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	21

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Denise R. Ferrero, County Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 51

	Summary		Detailed Results													
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.48	6	34	68.0%	11	22.0%	3	6.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	1	
Listens to legal arguments	5.47	6	34	69.4%	10	20.4%	3	6.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.1%	2	
Comprehends legal concepts	5.48	6	34	68.0%	11	22.0%	3	6.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	1	
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.33	6	31	64.6%	10	20.8%	3	6.3%	2	4.2%	0	0.0%	2	4.1%	3	
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.44	6	33	68.8%	9	18.8%	4	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.1%	3	
<b>Communications Skills:</b>																
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.47	6	32	65.4%	12	24.5%	3	6.1%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%	2	
<b>Written Decisions:</b>																
Are well reasoned	5.43	6	27	64.3%	11	26.2%	2	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	2.4%	1	2.3%	9	
Are clear	5.43	6	28	66.7%	10	23.8%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	1	2.3%	9	
Are concise	5.43	6	27	64.3%	11	26.2%	1	2.4%	2	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	9	
Address issues raised	5.43	6	27	64.3%	11	26.2%	2	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	2.4%	1	2.3%	9	
<b>Professionalism:</b>																
Shows courtesy/civility	5.43	6	38	74.6%	7	13.7%	2	3.9%	0	0.0%	2	3.9%	2	3.9%	0	
Shows patience/temperament	5.37	6	36	70.6%	9	17.6%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	2	3.9%	2	3.9%	0	
Shows industry/promptness	5.59	6	40	81.7%	4	8.2%	2	4.1%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	2	
Has integrity/ethics	5.65	6	41	83.7%	5	10.2%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.1%	2	
Shows impartiality	5.12	6	32	65.3%	6	12.2%	4	8.2%	2	4.1%	2	4.1%	3	6.1%	2	
Shows preparedness	5.57	6	39	79.7%	6	12.2%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.1%	1	2.0%	2	
<b>Professional Activities:</b>																
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.53	6	23	71.9%	6	18.8%	2	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	19	

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Walter M. Green, County Judge



Scale:  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 58

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.64	6	22	39.3%	14	25.0%	8	14.3%	7	12.5%	0	0.0%	5	8.9%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.11	6	29	51.8%	14	25.0%	8	14.3%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%	2	3.5%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	4.73	6	24	43.6%	12	21.8%	9	16.4%	4	7.3%	2	3.6%	4	7.3%	3
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.63	6	23	44.3%	8	15.4%	10	19.2%	5	9.6%	2	3.8%	4	7.7%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.72	6	25	46.3%	9	16.7%	9	16.7%	5	9.3%	3	5.6%	3	5.4%	4
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.78	6	24	43.7%	13	23.6%	7	12.7%	6	10.9%	3	5.5%	2	3.6%	3
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	4.62	6	15	35.7%	9	21.4%	9	21.4%	7	16.7%	0	0.0%	2	4.8%	16
Are clear	4.71	6	16	38.1%	9	21.4%	10	23.8%	5	11.9%	0	0.0%	2	4.8%	16
Are concise	4.76	6	17	41.5%	7	17.1%	11	26.8%	4	9.8%	0	0.0%	2	4.8%	17
Address issues raised	4.78	6	17	41.5%	10	24.4%	8	19.5%	3	7.3%	0	0.0%	3	7.3%	17
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.81	6	47	82.5%	9	15.8%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.65	6	40	70.2%	14	24.6%	3	5.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	4.96	6	29	52.7%	10	18.2%	9	16.4%	2	3.6%	2	3.6%	3	5.5%	3
Has integrity/ethics	5.48	6	35	62.5%	16	28.6%	2	3.6%	3	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.09	6	31	56.4%	12	21.8%	4	7.3%	4	7.3%	2	3.6%	2	3.6%	3
Shows preparedness	4.95	6	28	50.9%	11	20.0%	9	16.4%	2	3.6%	2	3.6%	3	5.5%	3
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.26	6	18	53.0%	8	23.5%	7	20.6%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Thomas M. Jaworski, County Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 67

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.89	6	28	43.1%	20	30.8%	7	10.8%	5	7.7%	2	3.1%	3	4.5%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.14	6	36	55.5%	14	21.5%	10	15.4%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	3	4.6%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	4.86	6	29	45.3%	17	26.6%	8	12.5%	4	6.3%	2	3.1%	4	6.2%	3
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.77	6	24	40.0%	19	31.7%	7	11.7%	4	6.7%	1	1.7%	5	8.2%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.08	6	31	51.7%	17	28.3%	6	10.0%	2	3.3%	0	0.0%	4	6.7%	7
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.06	6	31	47.7%	20	30.8%	9	13.8%	0	0.0%	2	3.1%	3	4.6%	2
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	4.92	6	24	48.0%	13	26.0%	5	10.0%	4	8.0%	1	2.0%	3	6.0%	17
Are clear	4.94	6	25	50.0%	12	24.0%	5	10.0%	4	8.0%	1	2.0%	3	6.0%	17
Are concise	4.98	6	26	52.0%	10	20.0%	8	16.0%	2	4.0%	1	2.0%	3	6.0%	17
Address issues raised	4.94	6	25	50.0%	11	22.0%	8	16.0%	2	4.0%	0	0.0%	4	8.0%	17
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.50	6	51	77.3%	6	9.1%	4	6.1%	3	4.5%	0	0.0%	2	3.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.35	6	46	69.8%	8	12.1%	6	9.1%	3	4.5%	1	1.5%	2	3.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.28	6	41	63.1%	14	21.5%	4	6.2%	2	3.1%	1	1.5%	3	4.6%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.43	6	45	69.2%	10	15.4%	7	10.8%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	2	3.1%	2
Shows impartiality	5.29	6	42	64.7%	12	18.5%	6	9.2%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	3	4.6%	2
Shows preparedness	5.17	6	38	58.5%	15	23.1%	5	7.7%	2	3.1%	2	3.1%	3	4.5%	2
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.60	6	33	73.4%	9	20.0%	2	4.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	22

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. David P. Kreider, County Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 60

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.28	6	31	53.5%	20	34.5%	2	3.4%	3	5.2%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.35	6	34	59.6%	15	26.3%	5	8.8%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	1	1.7%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	5.40	6	36	63.2%	15	26.3%	3	5.3%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	2	3.4%	3
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.29	6	31	55.4%	17	30.4%	4	7.1%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%	1	1.7%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.34	6	34	60.7%	15	26.8%	3	5.4%	2	3.6%	0	0.0%	2	3.5%	4
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.39	6	34	60.7%	17	30.4%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	2	3.5%	4
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.31	6	27	55.1%	17	34.7%	2	4.1%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.1%	11
Are clear	5.46	6	31	64.6%	13	27.1%	2	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	1	2.0%	12
Are concise	5.44	6	30	62.5%	14	29.2%	2	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	1	2.0%	12
Address issues raised	5.44	6	30	62.5%	14	29.2%	2	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	1	2.0%	12
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.65	6	47	78.3%	9	15.0%	2	3.3%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.67	6	47	81.1%	6	10.3%	4	6.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.46	6	43	72.9%	9	15.3%	3	5.1%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	2	3.3%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.64	6	44	78.6%	8	14.3%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	4
Shows impartiality	5.46	6	40	71.4%	9	16.1%	4	7.1%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	2	3.6%	4
Shows preparedness	5.45	6	40	69.0%	12	20.7%	3	5.2%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	2	3.4%	2
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.19	6	16	51.6%	10	32.3%	2	6.5%	2	6.5%	0	0.0%	1	3.1%	29

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Joseph M. Williams, Baker County Judge



Scale:  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 24

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.86	6	11	50.1%	4	18.2%	3	13.6%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.05	6	10	45.6%	7	31.8%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	4.77	6	11	50.1%	4	18.2%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.86	6	9	42.9%	5	23.8%	4	19.0%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.68	6	11	50.1%	3	13.6%	3	13.6%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	2
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.09	6	12	54.6%	5	22.7%	2	9.1%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	2
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	4.81	6	7	43.8%	4	25.0%	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	6.2%	8
Are clear	4.88	6	8	50.0%	3	18.8%	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	6.2%	8
Are concise	4.88	6	7	43.8%	5	31.3%	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	6.1%	8
Address issues raised	4.94	6	8	50.0%	4	25.0%	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	6.2%	8
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.41	6	16	72.9%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.23	6	14	63.7%	4	18.2%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.45	6	15	68.3%	3	13.6%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.19	6	14	66.7%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	3	14.3%	1	4.7%	0	0.0%	3
Shows impartiality	5.00	6	13	59.2%	1	4.5%	5	22.7%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	2
Shows preparedness	5.23	6	14	63.7%	4	18.2%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	2
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.10	6	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Johnny R. Hobbs, Jr., Bradford County Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 24

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion				
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.15	5	4	20.0%	7	35.0%	3	15.0%	1	5.0%	4	20.0%	1	5.0%	4
Listens to legal arguments	4.76	5	7	33.3%	8	38.1%	3	14.3%	0	0.0%	3	14.3%	0	0.0%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	4.24	5	4	19.0%	8	38.1%	3	14.3%	2	9.5%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	3
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.05	5	4	19.1%	8	38.1%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	4	19.0%	2	9.5%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.19	5	5	23.8%	7	33.3%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	3	14.3%	2	9.5%	3
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.73	5	4	18.2%	10	45.5%	7	31.8%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	2
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	4.71	5	3	17.6%	8	47.1%	4	23.5%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
Are clear	4.88	5	5	29.4%	6	35.3%	5	29.4%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
Are concise	4.94	5	5	29.4%	7	41.2%	4	23.5%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
Address issues raised	4.56	6,5,4	5	27.8%	5	27.8%	5	27.8%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	5.5%	6
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.71	6	18	75.0%	5	20.8%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.67	6	17	70.8%	6	25.0%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.30	6	13	56.6%	6	26.1%	3	13.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.43	6	14	60.9%	5	21.7%	4	17.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	4.92	6	10	41.7%	7	29.2%	3	12.5%	3	12.5%	1	4.1%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	4.92	6	11	45.8%	6	25.0%	3	12.5%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	0
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.45	4	3	27.3%	2	18.2%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	1	9.0%	0	0.0%	13

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Edward J. Philman, Gilchrist County Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 37

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.59	6	13	35.2%	12	32.4%	3	8.1%	4	10.8%	3	8.1%	2	5.4%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.75	6	15	41.7%	11	30.6%	3	8.3%	2	5.6%	3	8.3%	2	5.5%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.62	6	13	35.2%	11	29.7%	5	13.5%	4	10.8%	2	5.4%	2	5.4%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.45	6	13	39.4%	7	21.2%	3	9.1%	5	15.2%	2	6.1%	3	9.0%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.76	6	15	44.1%	9	26.5%	2	5.9%	5	14.7%	1	2.9%	2	5.9%	3
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.67	6,5	12	33.3%	12	33.3%	4	11.1%	5	13.9%	2	5.6%	1	2.8%	1
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	4.68	5	8	32.0%	9	36.0%	3	12.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	12
Are clear	4.70	6	9	39.3%	6	26.1%	3	13.0%	3	13.0%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	14
Are concise	4.87	6	9	39.2%	7	30.4%	4	17.4%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	14
Address issues raised	4.74	6	10	43.6%	5	21.7%	2	8.7%	5	21.7%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	14
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.11	6	22	59.5%	6	16.2%	3	8.1%	4	10.8%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.95	6	21	56.8%	7	18.9%	2	5.4%	2	5.4%	3	8.1%	2	5.4%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.39	6	24	66.7%	7	19.4%	2	5.6%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.7%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.24	6	25	67.6%	4	10.8%	2	5.4%	5	13.5%	0	0.0%	1	2.7%	0
Shows impartiality	4.65	6	19	51.4%	7	18.9%	2	5.4%	3	8.1%	0	0.0%	6	16.2%	0
Shows preparedness	5.03	6	18	50.0%	11	30.6%	1	2.8%	4	11.1%	0	0.0%	2	5.5%	1
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.82	5	7	31.9%	9	40.9%	2	9.1%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	15

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.



2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. James T. Browning, Levy County Judge



Scale:  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 30

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.83	6	14	48.4%	8	27.6%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	3	10.3%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.90	6	16	55.3%	5	17.2%	4	13.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	3	10.3%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.03	6	16	55.3%	7	24.1%	3	10.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	10.3%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.82	6	14	50.0%	6	21.4%	4	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.90	6	16	55.3%	5	17.2%	3	10.3%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	3	10.3%	1
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.10	6	16	55.2%	8	27.6%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%	1
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	4.55	6	8	36.5%	7	31.8%	3	13.6%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	3	13.6%	8
Are clear	4.68	6	9	40.9%	7	31.8%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	2	9.1%	8
Are concise	4.77	6	9	41.0%	7	31.8%	3	13.6%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	8
Address issues raised	4.71	6	9	42.9%	6	28.6%	3	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	14.2%	9
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.31	6	19	65.7%	6	20.7%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.14	6	17	58.8%	5	17.2%	4	13.8%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.17	6	17	58.7%	8	27.6%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.28	6	21	72.5%	3	10.3%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%	1
Shows impartiality	4.72	6	15	51.8%	6	20.7%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%	2	6.9%	3	10.3%	1
Shows preparedness	5.24	6	19	65.6%	5	17.2%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	1
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.00	6	8	57.2%	1	7.1%	4	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	16

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. David L. Reiman, Union County Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 36

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.03	6	18	50.0%	11	30.6%	2	5.6%	1	2.8%	3	8.3%	1	2.7%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.94	6	17	47.2%	11	30.6%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	2	5.6%	2	5.5%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.06	6	17	47.2%	13	36.1%	1	2.8%	2	5.6%	2	5.6%	1	2.7%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.86	6	16	45.7%	11	31.4%	1	2.9%	3	8.6%	2	5.7%	2	5.7%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.92	6	15	41.7%	14	38.9%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	4	11.1%	1	2.7%	0
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.14	6	18	50.0%	11	30.6%	4	11.1%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	1	2.7%	0
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.22	5	11	40.8%	12	44.4%	3	11.1%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
Are clear	5.22	6	12	44.5%	11	40.7%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
Are concise	5.31	6	12	46.2%	10	38.5%	4	15.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
Address issues raised	5.19	6	12	44.5%	10	37.0%	3	11.1%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.19	6	20	55.6%	10	27.8%	3	8.3%	0	0.0%	2	5.6%	1	2.7%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.83	6	17	47.2%	10	27.8%	2	5.6%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	3	8.3%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.23	6	20	57.1%	10	28.6%	1	2.9%	2	5.7%	1	2.9%	1	2.8%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.25	6	20	55.6%	12	33.3%	1	2.8%	0	0.0%	2	5.6%	1	2.7%	0
Shows impartiality	4.92	6	19	52.8%	8	22.2%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	3	8.3%	2	5.6%	0
Shows preparedness	5.14	6	19	52.8%	10	27.8%	2	5.6%	3	8.3%	2	5.5%	0	0.0%	0
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.93	6,5	5	33.3%	5	33.3%	4	26.7%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Stephan P. Mickle, Chief Federal District Court Judge



**Scale:**  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results													
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Number of Attorneys Responding:		35														
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.49	6	24	68.6%	7	20.0%	3	8.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	0	
Listens to legal arguments	5.48	6	22	66.7%	8	24.2%	2	6.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	2	
Comprehends legal concepts	5.49	6	23	65.7%	9	25.7%	2	5.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	0	
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.32	6	21	61.8%	7	20.6%	4	11.8%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	1	
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.34	6	21	60.0%	10	28.6%	1	2.9%	2	5.7%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	0	
<b>Communications Skills:</b>																
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.53	6	22	64.7%	9	26.5%	2	5.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	
<b>Written Decisions:</b>																
Are well reasoned	5.38	6	19	56.0%	13	38.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	1	
Are clear	5.65	6	22	64.7%	12	35.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	
Are concise	5.50	6	20	58.9%	13	38.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	
Address issues raised	5.53	6	23	67.7%	9	26.5%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	1	
<b>Professionalism:</b>																
Shows courtesy/civility	5.76	6	29	85.4%	3	8.8%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	
Shows patience/temperament	5.62	6	26	76.5%	5	14.7%	1	2.9%	2	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	
Shows industry/promptness	5.35	6	21	61.8%	8	23.5%	2	5.9%	2	5.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	
Has integrity/ethics	5.77	6	31	88.6%	3	8.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	0	
Shows impartiality	5.54	6	25	71.4%	8	22.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	0	
Shows preparedness	5.68	6	25	73.5%	7	20.6%	2	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	
<b>Professional Activities:</b>																
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.83	6	19	82.6%	4	17.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Maurice M. Paul, Senior Federal District Court Judge

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion				
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%
Number of Attorneys Responding:	34														
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.15	6	20	60.6%	7	21.2%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	2	6.1%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.44	6	12	37.5%	9	28.1%	3	9.4%	2	6.3%	1	3.1%	5	15.6%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.12	6	19	57.6%	7	21.2%	3	9.1%	2	6.1%	0	0.0%	2	6.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.69	6	15	46.9%	6	18.8%	5	15.6%	2	6.3%	0	0.0%	4	12.4%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.76	6	16	48.5%	6	18.2%	4	12.1%	4	12.1%	0	0.0%	3	9.1%	1
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.22	6	18	56.3%	9	28.1%	3	9.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	6.2%	2
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	4.78	6	18	56.3%	4	12.5%	3	9.4%	2	6.3%	2	6.3%	3	9.2%	2
Are clear	5.09	6	18	56.3%	7	21.9%	4	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	3.1%	2	6.2%	2
Are concise	4.97	6	17	54.8%	6	19.4%	3	9.7%	2	6.5%	1	3.2%	2	6.4%	3
Address issues raised	4.94	6	17	54.8%	6	19.4%	3	9.7%	2	6.5%	0	0.0%	3	9.6%	3
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.39	6	10	32.3%	8	25.8%	5	16.1%	3	9.7%	2	6.5%	3	9.6%	3
Shows patience/temperament	4.23	6	10	32.3%	6	19.4%	5	16.1%	4	12.9%	3	9.7%	3	9.6%	3
Shows industry/promptness	4.52	6	15	48.4%	4	12.9%	4	12.9%	2	6.5%	2	6.5%	4	12.8%	3
Has integrity/ethics	4.64	6	16	48.5%	5	15.2%	5	15.2%	1	3.0%	3	9.1%	3	9.0%	1
Shows impartiality	3.91	6	12	37.5%	4	12.5%	3	9.4%	1	3.1%	6	18.8%	6	18.7%	2
Shows preparedness	4.88	6	18	56.3%	5	15.6%	3	9.4%	1	3.1%	3	9.4%	2	6.2%	2
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	3.59	4	4	23.5%	1	5.9%	5	29.4%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	4	23.5%	17

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Gary R. Jones, Magistrate Judge

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion				
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%
Number of Attorneys Responding:	18														
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.35	6	12	70.6%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.27	6	10	66.7%	3	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	5.35	6	12	70.6%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.18	6	10	58.8%	5	29.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.31	6	11	68.8%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	12.4%	0	0.0%	2
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.29	6	12	70.6%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.25	6	11	68.8%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	1	6.1%	2
Are clear	5.25	6	11	68.8%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	1	6.1%	2
Are concise	5.25	6	11	68.8%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	1	6.1%	2
Address issues raised	5.25	6	11	68.8%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	1	6.1%	2
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.31	6	11	68.8%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	12.4%	0	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.25	6	11	68.8%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	1	6.1%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.31	6	11	68.8%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	12.4%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.29	6	12	70.6%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1
Shows impartiality	5.18	6	10	58.8%	5	29.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1
Shows preparedness	5.29	6	12	70.6%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.00	6	8	72.7%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	7

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Samuel P. Stafford, II, Director/CSE Hearing Officer

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion				
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%
Number of Attorneys Responding:	27														
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.27	6	17	65.5%	2	7.7%	5	19.2%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.38	6	20	77.0%	0	0.0%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.35	6	18	69.3%	2	7.7%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.23	6	16	61.6%	4	15.4%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.38	6	20	77.0%	0	0.0%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.35	6	18	69.3%	3	11.5%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	1
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.29	6	17	70.8%	2	8.3%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	3
Are clear	5.33	6	16	66.7%	3	12.5%	4	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.1%	3
Are concise	5.25	6	16	66.7%	1	4.2%	6	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.1%	3
Address issues raised	5.33	6	17	70.8%	1	4.2%	5	20.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	3
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.50	6	19	73.1%	3	11.5%	2	7.7%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.54	6	19	73.2%	3	11.5%	3	11.5%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.36	6	17	68.0%	3	12.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.62	6	19	73.1%	4	15.4%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.42	6	19	73.2%	3	11.5%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1
Shows preparedness	5.42	6	19	73.1%	2	7.7%	4	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	1
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.19	6	12	57.1%	5	23.8%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	6

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Harvey E. Baxter, Hearing Officer

Scale:  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 30

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion				
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.62	6	18	62.1%	11	37.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.59	6	17	58.6%	12	41.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.62	6	18	62.1%	11	37.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.46	6	15	53.6%	12	42.9%	0	0.0%	1	3.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.57	6	17	60.7%	10	35.7%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.62	6	19	65.6%	9	31.0%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.63	6	18	66.7%	8	29.6%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Are clear	5.56	6	16	59.3%	10	37.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Are concise	5.63	6	18	66.7%	8	29.6%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Address issues raised	5.59	6	17	63.0%	9	33.3%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.69	6	21	72.5%	7	24.1%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.66	6	20	69.0%	8	27.6%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.59	6	20	69.1%	7	24.1%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.69	6	21	72.5%	7	24.1%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.69	6	20	69.0%	9	31.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.69	6	21	72.5%	7	24.1%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.24	6	13	61.9%	4	19.0%	1	4.8%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	9

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Susanne Wilson Bullard, Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Number of Attorneys Responding:	28														
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.18	6	17	60.7%	5	17.9%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	1	3.5%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.86	6	16	57.2%	5	17.9%	2	7.1%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	3	10.7%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.07	6	18	64.4%	4	14.3%	2	7.1%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.78	6	17	63.0%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	4	14.8%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.93	6	18	64.3%	2	7.1%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	0
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.37	6	19	70.4%	3	11.1%	3	11.1%	0	0.0%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	1
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.16	6	17	68.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	3
Are clear	5.36	6	18	72.0%	2	8.0%	3	12.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	3
Are concise	5.36	6	18	72.0%	3	12.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	3
Address issues raised	5.28	6	18	72.0%	2	8.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	3
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.18	6	19	67.9%	5	17.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.04	6	19	67.9%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.39	6	22	78.6%	2	7.1%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.37	6	20	74.1%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.00	6	19	67.9%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	0
Shows preparedness	5.18	6	20	71.5%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	0
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.05	6	11	57.9%	1	5.3%	4	21.1%	3	15.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.



## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate



Scale:  
 6 - Strongly Agree  
 5 - Moderately Agree  
 4 - Slightly Agree  
 3 - Slightly Disagree  
 2 - Moderately Disagree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree

24

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.50	6	17	70.8%	5	20.8%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.38	6	16	66.7%	4	16.7%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.1%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.42	6	17	70.8%	3	12.5%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.17	6	14	61.0%	3	13.0%	4	17.4%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.42	6	17	70.8%	3	12.5%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	0
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.43	6	16	69.6%	4	17.4%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	1
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	5.40	6	14	70.0%	3	15.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	4
Are clear	5.25	6	12	60.0%	4	20.0%	3	15.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	4
Are concise	5.40	6	14	70.0%	3	15.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	4
Address issues raised	5.30	6	13	65.0%	3	15.0%	3	15.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	4
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.46	6	19	79.2%	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.1%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.25	6	16	66.7%	2	8.3%	4	16.7%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.1%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.48	6	18	78.4%	1	4.3%	3	13.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.46	6	18	75.0%	3	12.5%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.1%	0
Shows impartiality	5.29	6	17	70.8%	1	4.2%	4	16.7%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.1%	0
Shows preparedness	5.54	6	19	79.2%	2	8.3%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	0
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.92	6	5	41.7%	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	12

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate

	Summary		Detailed Results														
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion		
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Number of Attorneys Responding:	45																
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>																	
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.78	6	36	80.0%	8	17.8%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.82	6	37	82.2%	8	17.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.80	6	36	80.0%	9	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.83	6	33	82.5%	7	17.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.77	6	34	77.3%	10	22.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>Communications Skills:</b>																	
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.82	6	36	81.8%	8	18.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>Written Decisions:</b>																	
Are well reasoned	5.79	6	32	82.1%	6	15.4%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
Are clear	5.74	6	30	76.9%	8	20.5%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
Are concise	5.76	6	30	79.0%	7	18.4%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
Address issues raised	5.77	6	31	79.5%	7	17.9%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
<b>Professionalism:</b>																	
Shows courtesy/civility	5.93	6	42	93.3%	3	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.89	6	40	88.9%	5	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.82	6	37	82.2%	8	17.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.91	6	41	91.1%	4	8.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.88	6	38	88.4%	5	11.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows preparedness	5.84	6	37	84.1%	7	15.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>Professional Activities:</b>																	
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.44	6	9	56.3%	5	31.3%	2	12.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	29

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

## 2012 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion				
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%
Number of Attorneys Responding:	22														
<b>Legal Acumen:</b>															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.14	6	13	61.9%	5	23.8%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.32	6	7	31.8%	6	27.3%	4	18.2%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	4	18.2%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.05	6	12	54.6%	6	27.3%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.90	6	11	52.4%	6	28.6%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	14.2%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.90	6	11	52.4%	6	28.6%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	14.2%	1
<b>Communications Skills:</b>															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.05	6	12	54.6%	5	22.7%	3	13.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	0
<b>Written Decisions:</b>															
Are well reasoned	4.89	6	9	47.4%	6	31.6%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	2	10.4%	3
Are clear	5.05	6	9	47.4%	8	42.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.5%	3
Are concise	5.00	6	9	47.4%	7	36.8%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.5%	3
Address issues raised	4.84	6	9	47.4%	5	26.3%	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	2	10.5%	3
<b>Professionalism:</b>															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.36	6	7	31.9%	5	22.7%	4	18.2%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	0
Shows patience/temperament	3.91	5	5	22.8%	6	27.3%	4	18.2%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	5	22.7%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.27	6	15	68.3%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.14	6	13	59.3%	5	22.7%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0
Shows impartiality	4.41	6	10	45.6%	3	13.6%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	3	13.6%	0
Shows preparedness	5.36	6	15	68.3%	4	18.2%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0
<b>Professional Activities:</b>															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.40	6	4	40.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	12

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.