



EIGHTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT BAR ASSOCIATION, INC.

Main Office
P. O. Box 127
Gainesville, FL 32602-0127

Tele: (352) 380-0888
Fax: (866) 436-5944
Email: execdir@8jcba.org

James "Mac" McCarty
President

Elizabeth Collins
Plummer
Past President

Dawn Vallejos-Nichols
President-Elect

Nancy T. Baldwin
*President-Elect
Designate*

Sharon T. Sperling
Treasurer

Audrie Harris
Secretary

Executive Director:
Judy Padgett

2011 Judicial Poll Overview

The lawyers of the Eighth Judicial Circuit have a vital interest in attracting and retaining judicial officers with the highest possible qualifications, so that our courts demonstrate trust, respect and honor for our laws. This duty and responsibility of lawyers is recognized in the Rules of Professional Conduct that govern lawyers in Florida.

To assist and educate the public about this circuit's judicial officers, the Eighth Judicial Circuit Bar Association surveyed lawyers who practice law in our circuit. Our circuit comprises Alachua, Baker, Bradford, Gilchrist, Levy and Union counties.

The poll is intended to reflect the composite opinion of the local lawyers concerning the judicial abilities demonstrated during the past year for each of the judicial officers listed. Lawyers were instructed to disregard political considerations, and vote their conscience and opinion. If a lawyer did not have knowledge or experience as to a judicial officer's experience or capability in any one area sufficient to form a reasoned opinion, the lawyer was instructed to vote "No Opinion" as to that area.

The poll captured the lawyers' opinions in three broad categories:

- Legal acumen;
- Communication skills; and
- Professionalism.

Within each of these categories were more specific categories, as follows:

Legal Acumen:

- Demonstrates knowledge of the law;
- Listens to legal arguments;
- Comprehends legal concepts;
- Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of the law; and
- Willing to make decisions based on established law.

Communication Skills:

- Able to articulate questions and decisions;
- Written decisions are well reasoned;
- Written decisions are clear;
- Written decisions are concise; and
- Written decisions address issues raised.

Professionalism:

- Shows courtesy/civility;
- Shows patience/temperament;
- Shows industry/promptness;
- Has integrity/ethics;
- Shows impartiality;
- Shows preparedness; and
- Participates in professional activities.

The scale used by the lawyers to evaluate each judicial officer was as follows:

- 6 - Strongly agree;
- 5 - Moderately agree;
- 4 - Slightly agree;
- 3 - Slightly disagree;
- 2 - Moderately disagree;
- 1 - Strongly disagree.

While the EJCBA is providing you with all of the results, please carefully note that the results become significantly less meaningful as the number of lawyers who responded to any single judicial officer decreases. For example, if only 10 people responded to a judicial officer, one voter has the ability to significantly impact the outcome, making the overall results for that judicial officer less reliable.

The Association included federal area judicial officers and administrative hearing officers, even though these are appointed positions, rather than elected.

In addition to the detailed results, poll summary results are presented for each judicial officer based on averages as well as the most frequent response for each category.

In 2011, 195 lawyers participated in the poll; in 2010, 187 participated.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Summary

Average Rating by Lawyers

Scale:

- 6 - Strongly Agree
- 5 - Moderately Agree
- 4 - Slightly Agree
- 3 - Slightly Disagree
- 2 - Moderately Disagree
- 1 - Strongly Disagree

Circuit Judges:

	Number of Responses	Legal Acumen:	Demonstrates knowledge of the law	Listens to legal arguments	Comprehends legal concepts	Analytically reaches decisions	Willing to make decisions based on established law	Communications Skills:	Are able to articulate questions & decisions	Written Decisions:	Are well reasoned	Are clear	Are concise	Address issues raised	Professionalism:	Shows courtesy/civility	Shows patience/temperament	Shows industry/promptness	Has integrity/ethics	Shows impartiality	Shows preparedness	Professional Activities:	Participates
Honorable Martha A. Lott, Chief Judge	101	4.98	4.94	4.95	4.66	4.79	5.02	4.79	4.88	4.93	4.85	4.77	4.52	4.77	4.46	4.04	5.01	4.44	4.21	4.85	5.23	5.23	
Honorable Robert P. Cates	83	5.38	5.21	5.32	5.04	5.13	5.19	4.97	4.99	5.10	5.07	5.29	5.04	4.85	5.59	5.02	4.93	4.24	4.85	5.23	5.23		
Honorable Mary Day Coker	92	4.51	4.50	4.56	4.18	4.45	4.57	4.34	4.40	4.47	4.52	4.77	4.46	4.04	5.01	4.44	4.21	4.85	5.23	5.23			
Honorable William E. Davis	73	5.44	5.44	5.42	5.28	5.34	5.50	5.23	5.34	5.38	5.36	5.68	5.64	5.63	5.68	5.32	5.58	5.23	5.23	5.23			
Honorable David A. Glant	101	5.13	4.77	5.01	4.78	4.88	5.20	4.76	4.93	5.00	4.91	4.85	4.36	5.29	5.23	4.46	5.27	5.34	5.34	5.34			
Honorable Stanley H. Griffis, III	122	3.64	3.34	3.64	3.17	3.25	4.00	3.33	3.79	3.84	3.56	3.58	3.25	4.27	3.95	3.48	3.96	4.05	4.05	4.05			
Honorable Victor L. Hulslander	110	5.61	5.76	5.72	5.63	5.70	5.77	5.67	5.72	5.69	5.74	5.83	5.79	5.64	5.84	5.72	5.74	5.77	5.77	5.77			
Honorable Ysleta W. McDonald	67	5.37	5.45	5.43	5.34	5.43	5.55	5.37	5.49	5.53	5.47	5.78	5.76	5.57	5.72	5.49	5.66	5.09	5.09	5.09			
Honorable Toby S. Monaco	116	5.82	5.81	5.83	5.76	5.75	5.83	5.69	5.70	5.71	5.75	5.91	5.86	5.86	5.89	5.75	5.84	5.58	5.58	5.58			
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	59	4.76	4.93	4.86	4.69	4.88	4.97	4.82	4.84	4.84	4.82	5.34	5.22	5.20	5.36	4.90	5.28	4.86	4.86				
Honorable James P. Nilon	70	5.47	5.42	5.51	5.38	5.33	5.51	5.31	5.35	5.39	5.41	5.64	5.48	5.62	5.77	5.17	5.79	5.51	5.51				
Honorable Phyllis M. Rosier	36	4.31	4.53	4.53	4.17	4.46	4.57	4.33	4.41	4.37	4.37	4.92	4.61	4.69	5.15	4.49	4.69	3.95	3.95				
Honorable Robert E. Roundtree, Jr.	102	5.60	5.41	5.60	5.35	5.38	5.65	5.35	5.49	5.53	5.47	5.50	5.36	5.53	5.65	5.39	5.55	5.40	5.40				

County Judges:

	Number of Responses	Legal Acumen:	Demonstrates knowledge of the law	Listens to legal arguments	Comprehends legal concepts	Analytically reaches decisions	Willing to make decisions based on established law	Communications Skills:	Are able to articulate questions & decisions	Written Decisions:	Are well reasoned	Are clear	Are concise	Address issues raised	Professionalism:	Shows courtesy/civility	Shows patience/temperament	Shows industry/promptness	Has integrity/ethics	Shows impartiality	Shows preparedness	Professional Activities:	Participates
Alachua County:																							
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	55	5.37	5.38	5.50	5.26	5.34	5.50	5.40	5.40	5.38	5.38	5.58	5.45	5.60	5.69	5.09	5.62	5.61	5.61				
Honorable Walter M. Green	58	4.21	4.72	4.17	4.09	4.34	4.62	4.13	4.33	4.40	4.29	5.53	5.47	4.65	5.40	4.68	4.63	5.06	5.06				
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	46	5.30	5.46	5.43	5.28	5.42	5.50	5.35	5.38	5.38	5.33	5.70	5.71	5.64	5.57	5.13	5.53	5.48	5.48				
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	69	4.57	4.97	4.68	4.49	4.74	4.77	4.51	4.51	4.65	4.70	5.09	5.07	4.88	5.07	5.06	4.91	5.49	5.49				
Honorable David P. Kreider	33	5.26	5.27	5.32	5.21	5.21	5.35	5.17	5.29	5.33	5.33	5.69	5.58	5.45	5.58	5.32	5.55	5.47	5.47				
Baker County: Honorable Joseph M. Williams	18	5.06	5.06	5.06	4.94	5.12	5.11	5.20	5.13	5.20	5.13	5.61	5.44	5.39	5.61	5.44	5.44	5.75	5.75				
Bradford County: Honorable Johnny R. Hobbs, Jr.	21	5.24	5.48	5.24	5.29	5.33	5.48	5.50	5.61	5.50	5.61	5.81	5.76	5.71	5.81	5.43	5.52	5.00	5.00				
Gilchrist County: Honorable Edward Philman	33	4.91	5.09	4.91	4.73	5.06	4.70	4.88	4.96	4.96	5.04	5.48	5.24	5.36	5.52	4.85	5.24	4.45	4.45				
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	24	4.96	4.90	4.95	4.58	5.04	5.13	4.76	4.76	4.82	4.76	5.30	5.22	5.13	5.32	4.83	5.22	4.00	4.00				
Union County: Honorable David L. Reiman	33	5.16	5.03	5.13	4.97	5.06	5.27	5.25	5.33	5.29	5.42	5.24	5.21	5.28	5.38	5.21	5.31	5.14	5.14				

Federal District Court Judges:

Honorable Stephan P. Mickle, Chief Federal District Court Judge	37	5.57	5.65	5.49	5.33	5.50	5.49	5.33	5.45	5.42	5.48	5.86	5.70	5.42	5.81	5.47	5.53	5.46	5.46
Honorable Maurice M. Paul, Senior Federal District Court Judge	28	5.14	4.71	5.18	4.85	4.43	4.96	4.61	4.93	4.93	4.79	4.75	4.21	4.21	4.73	4.14	4.61	3.92	3.92
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	11	5.50	5.70	5.50	5.60	5.50	5.40	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.60	5.78	5.78	5.70	5.78	5.40	5.67	5.33	5.33

Magistrates:

Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate	11	5.18	4.91	5.09	4.90	5.40	5.27	5.45	5.45	5.36	5.36	4.64	4.55	5.27	5.36	5.00	5.36	5.75	5.75
Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate	30	5.69	5.76	5.76	5.68	5.69	5.76	5.63	5.67	5.67	5.63	5.79	5.79	5.79	5.79	5.79	5.76	5.07	5.07
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	19	5.00	5.11	5.06	5.17	5.17	5.28	5.06	5.28	5.28	5.17	5.06	4.89	5.33	5.24	4.78	5.44	5.10	5.10

Hearing Officers:

Harvey E. Baxter - Hearing Officer	23	5.61	5.65	5.65	5.61	5.52	5.65	5.62	5.57	5.62	5.57	5.78	5.78	5.70	5.83	5.78	5.74	5.69	5.69
Sheree H. Lancaster - Hearing Officer	12	5.42	5.50	5.50	5.20	5.58	5.58	5.63	5.75	5.75	5.75	5.67	5.58	5.75	5.75	5.58	5.58	5.71	5.71
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	19	4.95	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.89	4.68	4.63	4.44	4.50	4.94	5.00	4.89	4.58	5.33	5.06	4.94	5.50	5.50
Susanne Wilson Bullard - Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer	20	4.70	4.60	4.80	4.47	4.65	5.15	4.76	5.00	4.94	4.94	5.20	5.05	5.20	5.05	4.50	5.05	5.31	5.31

Average Rating for all Judicial Officers

5.12	5.14	5.15	4.96	5.08	5.21	5.04	5.12	5.14	5.14	5.35	5.20	5.24	5.44	5.06	5.27	5.13
------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

Footnotes:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

"Number of Responses" represents the total number of lawyers who evaluated this judicial officer. In any given characteristic evaluated, however, less than the total number of lawyers may have provided a response because there were lawyers who responded "no opinion". Please see each Judicial Officer's Detailed Results for complete results.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Summary
Most Frequent Response by Lawyers

Scale:
6 - Strongly Agree
5 - Moderately Agree
4 - Slightly Agree
3 - Slightly Disagree
2 - Moderately Disagree
1 - Strongly Disagree

	<i>Number of Responses</i>	<i>Legal Acumen:</i>	<i>Demonstrates knowledge of the law</i>	<i>Listens to legal arguments</i>	<i>Comprehends legal concepts</i>	<i>Analytically reaches decisions</i>	<i>Willing to make decisions based on established law</i>	<i>Communications Skills:</i>	<i>Able to articulate questions & decisions</i>	<i>Written Decisions:</i>	<i>Are well reasoned</i>	<i>Are clear</i>	<i>Are concise</i>	<i>Address issues raised</i>	<i>Professionalism:</i>	<i>Shows courtesy/civility</i>	<i>Shows patience/temperament</i>	<i>Shows industry/promptness</i>	<i>Has integrity/ethics</i>	<i>Shows impartiality</i>	<i>Shows preparedness</i>	<i>Professional Activities:</i>	<i>Participates</i>
Circuit Judges:																							
Honorable Martha A. Lott, Chief Judge	101	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Robert P. Cates	83	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5
Honorable Mary Day Coker	92	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable William E. Davis	73	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable David A. Glant	101	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Stanley H. Griffis, III	122	5	1	6	1	1	6	6	6	1	5	6	6	6	1	1	6	6	1	6	6	6	6
Honorable Victor L. Hulslander	110	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Ysleta W. McDonald	67	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Toby S. Monaco	116	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	59	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable James P. Nilon	70	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Phyllis M. Rosier	36	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Robert E. Roundtree, Jr.	102	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
County Judges:																							
Alachua County:																							
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	55	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Walter M. Green	58	5	6	6	6	6	6	6/5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	46	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	69	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable David P. Kreider	33	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Baker County: Honorable Joseph M. Williams	18	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Bradford County: Honorable Johnny R. Hobbs, Jr.	21	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Gilchrist County: Honorable Edward Philman	33	6/5	6	6	6	6	6	5	6/5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	24	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Union County: Honorable David L. Reiman	33	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5
Federal District Court Judges:																							
Honorable Stephan P. Mickle (Chief Federal District Court Judge)	37	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Maurice M. Paul (Senior Federal District Court Judge)	28	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Gary R. Jones (Magistrate Judge)	11	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5
Magistrates:																							
Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate	11	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate	30	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	19	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Hearing Officers:																							
Harvey E. Baxter - Hearing Officer	23	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Sheree H. Lancaster - Hearing Officer	12	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	19	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Susanne Wilson Bullard - Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer	20	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Most Frequent Response for all Judicial Officers		6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6

Footnotes:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

"Number of Responses" represents the total number of lawyers who evaluated this judicial officer. In any given characteristic evaluated, however, less than the total number of lawyers may have provided a response because there were lawyers who responded "no opinion". Please see each Judicial Officer's Detailed Results for complete results.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Martha A. Lott, Chief Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 101

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.98	6	42	43.8%	30	31.3%	14	14.6%	2	2.1%	6	6.3%	2	1.9%	5
Listens to legal arguments	4.94	6	42	44.7%	29	30.9%	10	10.6%	5	5.3%	4	4.3%	4	4.2%	7
Comprehends legal concepts	4.95	6	44	45.8%	26	27.1%	13	13.5%	6	6.3%	4	4.2%	3	3.1%	5
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.66	6	34	38.2%	22	24.7%	13	14.6%	13	14.6%	3	3.4%	4	4.5%	12
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.79	6	36	38.4%	28	29.8%	16	17.0%	7	7.4%	2	2.1%	5	5.3%	7
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.02	6	44	45.9%	27	28.1%	15	15.6%	5	5.2%	3	3.1%	2	2.1%	5
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.79	6	31	38.3%	22	27.2%	15	18.5%	8	9.9%	3	3.7%	2	2.4%	20
Are clear	4.88	6	34	41.5%	24	29.3%	12	14.6%	7	8.5%	2	2.4%	3	3.7%	19
Are concise	4.93	6	33	40.2%	25	30.5%	15	18.3%	4	4.9%	4	4.9%	1	1.2%	19
Address issues raised	4.85	6	33	40.3%	22	26.8%	17	20.7%	5	6.1%	2	2.4%	3	3.7%	19
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.77	6	50	50.5%	14	14.1%	13	13.1%	11	11.1%	6	6.1%	5	5.1%	2
Shows patience/temperament	4.52	6	40	40.4%	18	18.2%	14	14.1%	12	12.1%	10	10.1%	5	5.1%	2
Shows industry/promptness	4.69	6	46	47.0%	16	16.3%	14	14.3%	10	10.2%	6	6.1%	6	6.1%	3
Has integrity/ethics	5.02	6	53	55.8%	22	23.2%	5	5.3%	5	5.3%	4	4.2%	6	6.2%	6
Shows impartiality	4.72	6	47	49.0%	16	16.7%	13	13.5%	7	7.3%	5	5.2%	8	8.3%	5
Shows preparedness	4.78	6	41	42.7%	25	26.0%	12	12.5%	8	8.3%	6	6.3%	4	4.2%	5
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.23	6	38	61.3%	13	21.0%	3	4.8%	5	8.1%	1	1.6%	2	3.2%	39

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Robert P. Cates, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 83

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.38	6	51	62.3%	21	25.6%	5	6.1%	2	2.4%	1	1.2%	2	2.4%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.21	6	45	55.0%	23	28.0%	7	8.5%	2	2.4%	3	3.7%	2	2.4%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.32	6	53	64.7%	17	20.7%	6	7.3%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	4	4.9%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.04	6	36	51.4%	18	25.7%	8	11.4%	2	2.9%	3	4.3%	3	4.3%	13
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.13	6	46	56.1%	20	24.4%	6	7.3%	4	4.9%	3	3.7%	3	3.6%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.19	6	46	55.5%	19	22.9%	10	12.0%	5	6.0%	2	2.4%	1	1.2%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.97	6	32	45.7%	20	28.6%	10	14.3%	2	2.9%	4	5.7%	2	2.8%	13
Are clear	4.99	6	33	47.9%	19	27.5%	8	11.6%	3	4.3%	4	5.8%	2	2.9%	14
Are concise	5.10	6	33	48.5%	19	27.9%	11	16.2%	1	1.5%	3	4.4%	1	1.5%	15
Address issues raised	5.07	6	32	46.5%	22	31.9%	8	11.6%	3	4.3%	3	4.3%	1	1.4%	14
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.29	6	48	57.9%	24	28.9%	4	4.8%	3	3.6%	2	2.4%	2	2.4%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.04	6	38	45.9%	28	33.7%	6	7.2%	6	7.2%	3	3.6%	2	2.4%	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.85	6	42	52.5%	12	15.0%	9	11.3%	10	12.5%	3	3.8%	4	4.9%	3
Has integrity/ethics	5.59	6	62	76.5%	11	13.6%	4	4.9%	2	2.5%	2	2.5%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.02	6	47	58.0%	15	18.5%	5	6.2%	7	8.6%	2	2.5%	5	6.2%	2
Shows preparedness	4.93	6	40	48.8%	18	22.0%	11	13.4%	8	9.8%	1	1.2%	4	4.8%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.24	5	11	26.2%	15	35.7%	4	9.5%	4	9.5%	1	2.4%	7	16.7%	41

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Mary Day Coker, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 92

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.51	6	31	34.9%	26	29.2%	12	13.5%	6	6.7%	5	5.6%	9	10.1%	3
Listens to legal arguments	4.50	6	28	31.8%	27	30.7%	13	14.8%	8	9.1%	5	5.7%	7	7.9%	4
Comprehends legal concepts	4.56	6	33	36.3%	24	26.4%	14	15.4%	9	9.9%	3	3.3%	8	8.7%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.18	6	27	32.9%	13	15.9%	14	17.1%	14	17.1%	4	4.9%	10	12.1%	10
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.45	6	28	31.5%	25	28.1%	18	20.2%	4	4.5%	5	5.6%	9	10.1%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.57	6	32	35.6%	24	26.7%	13	14.4%	11	12.2%	4	4.4%	6	6.7%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.34	6	22	30.1%	16	21.9%	18	24.7%	6	8.2%	4	5.5%	7	9.6%	19
Are clear	4.40	6	24	32.9%	14	19.2%	18	24.7%	7	9.6%	4	5.5%	6	8.1%	19
Are concise	4.47	6	22	30.2%	19	26.0%	18	24.7%	5	6.8%	3	4.1%	6	8.2%	19
Address issues raised	4.52	6	25	34.2%	17	23.3%	14	19.2%	9	12.3%	4	5.5%	4	5.5%	19
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.77	6	47	51.6%	15	16.5%	9	9.9%	7	7.7%	7	7.7%	6	6.6%	1
Shows patience/temperament	4.46	6	36	39.6%	20	22.0%	8	8.8%	10	11.0%	11	12.1%	6	6.5%	1
Shows industry/promptness	4.04	6	27	30.1%	19	21.1%	12	13.3%	8	8.9%	11	12.2%	13	14.4%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.01	6	48	53.4%	19	21.1%	12	13.3%	3	3.3%	3	3.3%	5	5.6%	2
Shows impartiality	4.44	6	38	41.8%	17	18.7%	11	12.1%	7	7.7%	8	8.8%	10	10.9%	1
Shows preparedness	4.21	6	35	38.5%	15	16.5%	9	9.9%	11	12.1%	8	8.8%	13	14.2%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.85	6	22	40.7%	15	27.8%	10	18.5%	3	5.6%	2	3.7%	2	3.7%	38

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. William E. Davis, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 73

	Summary		Detailed Results													
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Legal Acumen:																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.44	6	50	68.5%	15	20.5%	4	5.5%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	3	4.1%	0	
Listens to legal arguments	5.44	6	51	69.9%	12	16.4%	6	8.2%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	2	2.7%	0	
Comprehends legal concepts	5.42	6	49	67.2%	14	19.2%	6	8.2%	2	2.7%	0	0.0%	2	2.7%	0	
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.28	6	42	65.6%	10	15.6%	5	7.8%	4	6.3%	1	1.6%	2	3.1%	9	
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.34	6	48	65.8%	13	17.8%	7	9.6%	2	2.7%	0	0.0%	3	4.1%	0	
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.50	6	54	75.0%	9	12.5%	5	6.9%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	2	2.8%	1	
Written Decisions:																
Are well reasoned	5.23	6	36	64.3%	9	16.1%	5	8.9%	3	5.4%	0	0.0%	3	5.3%	17	
Are clear	5.34	6	38	67.9%	9	16.1%	4	7.1%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%	2	3.5%	17	
Are concise	5.38	6	38	69.1%	9	16.4%	4	7.3%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	2	3.6%	18	
Address issues raised	5.36	6	38	67.9%	9	16.1%	5	8.9%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	2	3.5%	17	
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility	5.68	6	61	83.6%	6	8.2%	4	5.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	1	1.3%	0	
Shows patience/temperament	5.64	6	60	82.2%	6	8.2%	3	4.1%	3	4.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	0	
Shows industry/promptness	5.63	6	60	83.3%	5	6.9%	3	4.2%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%	2	2.8%	1	
Has integrity/ethics	5.68	6	62	84.9%	4	5.5%	4	5.5%	2	2.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	0	
Shows impartiality	5.32	6	53	72.7%	6	8.2%	5	6.8%	4	5.5%	3	4.1%	2	2.7%	0	
Shows preparedness	5.58	6	56	78.9%	7	9.9%	5	7.0%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	2	2.8%	2	
Professional Activities:																
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.23	6	24	54.6%	13	29.5%	4	9.1%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	2	4.5%	29	

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. David A. Glant, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 101

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.13	6	44	44.0%	37	37.0%	12	12.0%	3	3.0%	3	3.0%	1	1.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.77	6	40	40.4%	27	27.3%	13	13.1%	10	10.1%	6	6.1%	3	3.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.01	6	47	47.0%	26	26.0%	16	16.0%	5	5.0%	4	4.0%	2	2.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.78	6	38	43.2%	22	25.0%	11	12.5%	10	11.4%	2	2.3%	5	5.6%	13
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.88	6	49	49.5%	22	22.2%	12	12.1%	4	4.0%	7	7.1%	5	5.1%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.20	6	51	51.0%	31	31.0%	12	12.0%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	3	3.0%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.76	6	33	41.3%	21	26.3%	11	13.8%	8	10.0%	3	3.8%	4	4.8%	21
Are clear	4.93	6	34	42.5%	26	32.5%	9	11.3%	6	7.5%	1	1.3%	4	4.9%	21
Are concise	5.00	6	36	46.2%	23	29.5%	10	12.8%	4	5.1%	2	2.6%	3	3.8%	23
Address issues raised	4.91	6	37	45.8%	24	29.6%	7	8.6%	6	7.4%	3	3.7%	4	4.9%	20
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.85	6	49	49.0%	24	24.0%	10	10.0%	5	5.0%	4	4.0%	8	8.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	4.36	6	39	39.0%	14	14.0%	20	20.0%	10	10.0%	5	5.0%	12	12.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.29	6	60	61.3%	20	20.4%	10	10.2%	4	4.1%	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	3
Has integrity/ethics	5.23	6	63	63.7%	16	16.2%	10	10.1%	4	4.0%	2	2.0%	4	4.0%	2
Shows impartiality	4.46	6	45	45.0%	18	18.0%	8	8.0%	7	7.0%	11	11.0%	11	11.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.27	6	60	60.0%	21	21.0%	12	12.0%	3	3.0%	1	1.0%	3	3.0%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.34	6	30	50.8%	21	35.6%	6	10.2%	2	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Stanley H. Griffis, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 122

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	3.64	5	22	18.7%	27	22.9%	19	16.1%	13	11.0%	11	9.3%	26	22.0%	4
Listens to legal arguments	3.34	1	20	16.8%	13	10.9%	24	20.2%	20	16.8%	15	12.6%	27	22.7%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	3.64	6	27	22.9%	18	15.3%	25	21.2%	8	6.8%	14	11.9%	26	21.9%	4
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	3.17	1	14	14.1%	12	12.1%	22	22.2%	8	8.1%	15	15.2%	28	28.3%	23
Willing to make decisions based on established law	3.25	1	19	16.6%	15	13.0%	22	19.1%	13	11.3%	12	10.4%	34	29.6%	7
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.00	6	31	26.7%	23	19.8%	17	14.7%	17	14.7%	16	13.8%	12	10.3%	6
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	3.33	1	14	14.6%	18	18.8%	17	17.7%	10	10.4%	11	11.5%	26	27.0%	26
Are clear	3.79	5	20	20.8%	21	21.9%	18	18.8%	10	10.4%	10	10.4%	17	17.7%	26
Are concise	3.84	6	22	23.2%	19	20.0%	20	21.1%	7	7.4%	10	10.5%	17	17.8%	27
Address issues raised	3.56	6	20	20.8%	17	17.7%	10	10.4%	18	18.8%	12	12.5%	19	19.8%	26
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	3.58	1	26	21.8%	21	17.6%	19	16.0%	14	11.8%	8	6.7%	31	26.1%	3
Shows patience/temperament	3.25	1	18	15.1%	20	16.8%	19	16.0%	16	13.4%	9	7.6%	37	31.1%	3
Shows industry/promptness	4.27	6	45	39.2%	19	16.5%	17	14.8%	9	7.8%	6	5.2%	19	16.5%	7
Has integrity/ethics	3.95	6	30	28.3%	23	21.7%	16	15.1%	8	7.5%	7	6.6%	22	20.8%	16
Shows impartiality	3.48	1	21	18.6%	20	17.5%	21	18.4%	12	10.5%	11	9.6%	29	25.4%	8
Shows preparedness	3.96	6	30	26.1%	26	22.6%	18	15.7%	13	11.3%	6	5.2%	22	19.1%	7
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.05	6	16	27.7%	14	24.1%	8	13.8%	6	10.3%	5	8.6%	9	15.5%	64

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Victor L. Hulslander, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 110

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.61	6	74	67.9%	29	26.6%	5	4.6%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.76	6	87	79.8%	18	16.5%	4	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.72	6	85	78.0%	19	17.4%	4	3.7%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.63	6	71	73.2%	19	19.6%	5	5.2%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	13
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.70	6	83	77.6%	18	16.8%	4	3.7%	2	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.77	6	86	78.9%	21	19.3%	2	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.67	6	72	75.8%	17	17.9%	4	4.2%	2	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
Are clear	5.72	6	75	78.9%	15	15.8%	3	3.2%	2	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
Are concise	5.69	6	71	74.7%	20	21.1%	3	3.2%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
Address issues raised	5.74	6	74	77.9%	18	18.9%	2	2.1%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.83	6	97	88.2%	9	8.2%	3	2.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.79	6	95	87.2%	8	7.3%	5	4.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.64	6	83	76.2%	16	14.7%	8	7.3%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.84	6	98	89.1%	8	7.3%	2	1.8%	2	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.72	6	89	81.7%	14	12.8%	2	1.8%	3	2.8%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.74	6	92	84.4%	11	10.1%	3	2.8%	2	1.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.77	6	62	82.7%	10	13.3%	2	2.7%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	35

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Ysleta W. McDonald, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 67

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.37	6	42	62.7%	15	22.4%	6	9.0%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.4%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.45	6	45	67.2%	13	19.4%	6	9.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	1	1.4%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.43	6	45	67.2%	14	20.9%	4	6.0%	1	1.5%	2	3.0%	1	1.4%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.34	6	41	63.1%	14	21.5%	5	7.7%	2	3.1%	2	3.1%	1	1.5%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.43	6	48	71.6%	10	14.9%	3	4.5%	3	4.5%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.55	6	48	71.6%	13	19.4%	4	6.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.37	6	37	62.7%	15	25.4%	2	3.4%	3	5.1%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	8
Are clear	5.49	6	41	69.5%	12	20.3%	3	5.1%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	8
Are concise	5.53	6	42	71.2%	12	20.3%	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	8
Address issues raised	5.47	6	42	71.2%	11	18.6%	1	1.7%	3	5.1%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	8
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.78	6	58	86.6%	7	10.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.76	6	58	86.6%	7	10.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.57	6	48	71.6%	14	20.9%	3	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.72	6	56	83.6%	8	11.9%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0
Shows impartiality	5.49	6	48	71.6%	10	14.9%	6	9.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0
Shows preparedness	5.66	6	53	79.1%	10	14.9%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.09	6	24	53.3%	12	26.7%	4	8.9%	2	4.4%	0	0.0%	3	6.7%	22

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Toby S. Monaco, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 116

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.82	6	101	87.1%	12	10.3%	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.81	6	104	89.7%	8	6.9%	0	0.0%	3	2.6%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.83	6	103	88.8%	10	8.6%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.76	6	96	87.3%	9	8.2%	2	1.8%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	2	1.8%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.75	6	100	87.0%	9	7.8%	2	1.7%	1	0.9%	2	1.7%	1	0.9%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.83	6	104	89.7%	8	6.9%	1	0.9%	2	1.7%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.69	6	88	84.6%	9	8.7%	3	2.9%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	2	1.8%	12
Are clear	5.70	6	90	85.7%	8	7.6%	2	1.9%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	2	1.9%	11
Are concise	5.71	6	89	84.8%	8	7.6%	4	3.8%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	11
Address issues raised	5.75	6	91	86.7%	8	7.6%	2	1.9%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	11
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.91	6	106	91.4%	9	7.8%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.86	6	106	91.4%	6	5.2%	2	1.7%	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.86	6	105	91.3%	7	6.1%	0	0.0%	3	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.89	6	104	91.3%	7	6.1%	3	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.75	6	101	87.8%	6	5.2%	4	3.5%	2	1.7%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	1
Shows preparedness	5.84	6	105	90.5%	7	6.0%	2	1.7%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.58	6	60	77.9%	9	11.7%	5	6.5%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	2	2.6%	39

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Mark W. Moseley, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 59

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.76	6	23	39.0%	17	28.8%	9	15.3%	4	6.8%	4	6.8%	2	3.3%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.93	6	30	50.8%	12	20.3%	7	11.9%	5	8.5%	3	5.1%	2	3.4%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.86	6	28	47.5%	12	20.3%	9	15.3%	5	8.5%	3	5.1%	2	3.3%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.69	6	23	42.6%	12	22.2%	9	16.7%	3	5.6%	3	5.6%	4	7.3%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.88	6	30	51.8%	9	15.5%	7	12.1%	8	13.8%	2	3.4%	2	3.4%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.97	6	30	50.8%	12	20.3%	9	15.3%	3	5.1%	3	5.1%	2	3.4%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.82	6	22	44.0%	10	20.0%	9	18.0%	6	12.0%	2	4.0%	1	2.0%	9
Are clear	4.84	6	22	44.0%	13	26.0%	6	12.0%	4	8.0%	4	8.0%	1	2.0%	9
Are concise	4.84	6	23	46.0%	12	24.0%	5	10.0%	6	12.0%	2	4.0%	2	4.0%	9
Address issues raised	4.82	6	23	46.0%	9	18.0%	9	18.0%	5	10.0%	3	6.0%	1	2.0%	9
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.34	6	41	69.5%	9	15.3%	2	3.4%	4	6.8%	1	1.7%	2	3.3%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.22	6	37	62.7%	12	20.3%	2	3.4%	4	6.8%	2	3.4%	2	3.4%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.20	6	35	59.3%	11	18.6%	7	11.9%	3	5.1%	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.36	6	42	71.2%	6	10.2%	4	6.8%	5	8.5%	1	1.7%	1	1.6%	0
Shows impartiality	4.90	6	33	55.9%	10	16.9%	5	8.5%	4	6.8%	2	3.4%	5	8.5%	0
Shows preparedness	5.28	6	36	62.2%	10	17.2%	8	13.8%	1	1.7%	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.86	6	14	40.0%	10	28.6%	5	14.3%	5	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	24

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. James P. Nilon, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 70

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.47	6	42	61.8%	20	29.4%	3	4.4%	2	2.9%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.42	6	45	67.2%	12	17.9%	6	9.0%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	1	1.4%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	5.51	6	45	67.2%	15	22.4%	4	6.0%	2	3.0%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	3
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.38	6	37	60.7%	16	26.2%	4	6.6%	2	3.3%	2	3.2%	0	0.0%	9
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.33	6	40	60.7%	16	24.2%	5	7.6%	3	4.5%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	4
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.51	6	45	65.2%	16	23.2%	6	8.7%	2	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.31	6	32	62.8%	11	21.6%	4	7.8%	2	3.9%	0	0.0%	2	3.9%	19
Are clear	5.35	6	32	62.8%	12	23.5%	4	7.8%	0	0.0%	2	3.9%	1	2.0%	19
Are concise	5.39	6	33	64.7%	11	21.6%	4	7.8%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	1	1.9%	19
Address issues raised	5.41	6	34	66.7%	11	21.6%	2	3.9%	2	3.9%	1	2.0%	1	1.9%	19
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.64	6	56	81.2%	8	11.6%	2	2.9%	0	0.0%	2	2.9%	1	1.4%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.48	6	51	74.0%	8	11.6%	6	8.7%	1	1.4%	2	2.9%	1	1.4%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.62	6	51	75.1%	12	17.6%	2	2.9%	2	2.9%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.77	6	59	89.5%	3	4.5%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	4
Shows impartiality	5.17	6	46	66.7%	8	11.6%	6	8.7%	2	2.9%	4	5.8%	3	4.3%	1
Shows preparedness	5.79	6	57	85.1%	7	10.4%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.51	6	34	75.6%	4	8.9%	5	11.1%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	25

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Phyllis M. Rosier, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 36

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.31	5	10	28.6%	11	31.4%	3	8.6%	3	8.6%	7	20.0%	1	2.8%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.53	6	15	41.7%	6	16.7%	6	16.7%	3	8.3%	4	11.1%	2	5.5%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.53	6	15	41.7%	8	22.2%	2	5.6%	5	13.9%	4	11.1%	2	5.5%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.17	6	12	40.1%	4	13.3%	3	10.0%	3	10.0%	4	13.3%	4	13.3%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.46	6	15	42.9%	5	14.3%	5	14.3%	3	8.6%	5	14.3%	2	5.6%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.57	6	15	42.9%	8	22.9%	2	5.7%	4	11.4%	4	11.4%	2	5.7%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.33	6	11	40.8%	4	14.8%	2	7.4%	4	14.8%	5	18.5%	1	3.7%	9
Are clear	4.41	6	11	40.8%	5	18.5%	2	7.4%	3	11.1%	5	18.5%	1	3.7%	9
Are concise	4.37	6	10	37.1%	6	22.2%	2	7.4%	3	11.1%	5	18.5%	1	3.7%	9
Address issues raised	4.37	6	12	44.5%	2	7.4%	4	14.8%	3	11.1%	5	18.5%	1	3.7%	9
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.92	6	20	55.6%	7	19.4%	2	5.6%	2	5.6%	3	8.3%	2	5.5%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.61	6	17	47.2%	6	16.7%	3	8.3%	4	11.1%	4	11.1%	2	5.6%	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.69	6	18	50.0%	6	16.7%	3	8.3%	3	8.3%	4	11.1%	2	5.6%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.15	6	22	64.7%	4	11.8%	3	8.8%	2	5.9%	2	5.9%	1	2.9%	2
Shows impartiality	4.49	6	18	51.5%	3	8.6%	4	11.4%	2	5.7%	4	11.4%	4	11.4%	1
Shows preparedness	4.69	6	16	45.7%	6	17.1%	5	14.3%	3	8.6%	4	11.4%	1	2.9%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	3.95	6	7	35.0%	4	20.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	5	25.0%	16

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Robert E. Roundtree, Jr., Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 102

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.60	6	75	73.5%	21	20.6%	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.9%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.41	6	72	70.6%	15	14.7%	8	7.8%	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	3	2.9%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.60	6	77	75.5%	16	15.7%	4	3.9%	3	2.9%	2	2.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.35	6	65	67.0%	18	18.6%	5	5.2%	3	3.1%	4	4.1%	2	2.0%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.38	6	69	67.6%	22	21.6%	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	4	3.9%	3	2.9%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.65	6	78	76.5%	18	17.6%	3	2.9%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.35	6	58	65.3%	18	20.2%	6	6.7%	2	2.2%	3	3.4%	2	2.2%	13
Are clear	5.49	6	62	69.7%	17	19.1%	7	7.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	2	2.2%	13
Are concise	5.53	6	62	69.7%	20	22.5%	4	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	2	2.2%	13
Address issues raised	5.47	6	62	69.8%	18	20.2%	4	4.5%	1	1.1%	2	2.2%	2	2.2%	13
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.50	6	75	73.5%	14	13.7%	6	5.9%	4	3.9%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.36	6	69	67.7%	15	14.7%	11	10.8%	3	2.9%	1	1.0%	3	2.9%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.53	6	75	73.5%	17	16.7%	5	4.9%	1	1.0%	2	2.0%	2	1.9%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.65	6	81	81.9%	10	10.1%	4	4.0%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	2	2.0%	3
Shows impartiality	5.39	6	73	71.6%	15	14.7%	6	5.9%	1	1.0%	3	2.9%	4	3.9%	0
Shows preparedness	5.55	6	76	74.5%	17	16.7%	2	2.0%	5	4.9%	0	0.0%	2	1.9%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.40	6	43	71.7%	9	15.0%	2	3.3%	3	5.0%	1	1.7%	2	3.3%	42

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Denise R. Ferrero, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 55

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.37	6	33	61.1%	13	24.1%	4	7.4%	3	5.6%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.38	6	37	67.3%	10	18.2%	3	5.5%	3	5.5%	1	1.8%	1	1.7%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.50	6	38	70.4%	10	18.5%	3	5.6%	2	3.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.26	6	31	62.0%	12	24.0%	2	4.0%	2	4.0%	0	0.0%	3	6.0%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.34	6	37	69.8%	8	15.1%	2	3.8%	2	3.8%	3	5.7%	1	1.8%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.50	6	37	68.5%	11	20.4%	3	5.6%	2	3.7%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.40	6	27	67.5%	6	15.0%	5	12.5%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	15
Are clear	5.40	6	28	70.0%	4	10.0%	6	15.0%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	15
Are concise	5.38	6	27	67.5%	5	12.5%	6	15.0%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	15
Address issues raised	5.38	6	27	67.5%	6	15.0%	4	10.0%	2	5.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	15
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.58	6	42	76.4%	6	10.9%	5	9.1%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.45	6	39	70.9%	7	12.7%	5	9.1%	3	5.5%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.60	6	40	75.5%	7	13.2%	4	7.5%	2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.69	6	43	79.6%	6	11.1%	4	7.4%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.09	6	37	68.5%	5	9.3%	4	7.4%	1	1.9%	2	3.7%	5	9.2%	1
Shows preparedness	5.62	6	42	79.2%	6	11.3%	2	3.8%	2	3.8%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.61	6	23	69.7%	7	21.2%	3	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Walter M. Green, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 58

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.21	5	14	24.2%	16	27.6%	10	17.2%	9	15.5%	4	6.9%	5	8.6%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.72	6	23	39.7%	13	22.4%	12	20.7%	4	6.9%	5	8.6%	1	1.7%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.17	6	16	27.6%	15	25.9%	8	13.8%	8	13.8%	4	6.9%	7	12.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.09	6	15	27.8%	11	20.4%	10	18.5%	8	14.8%	2	3.7%	8	14.8%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.34	6	21	37.5%	9	16.1%	8	14.3%	8	14.3%	6	10.7%	4	7.1%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.62	6	19	32.8%	19	32.8%	9	15.5%	5	8.6%	2	3.4%	4	6.9%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.13	6	13	28.9%	8	17.8%	9	20.0%	7	15.6%	3	6.7%	5	11.0%	13
Are clear	4.33	6	15	33.3%	9	20.0%	8	17.8%	5	11.1%	5	11.1%	3	6.7%	13
Are concise	4.40	6	15	33.3%	12	26.7%	6	13.3%	4	8.9%	4	8.9%	4	8.9%	13
Address issues raised	4.29	6	14	31.1%	9	20.0%	11	24.4%	3	6.7%	3	6.7%	5	11.1%	13
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.53	6	42	72.5%	9	15.5%	5	8.6%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.47	6	42	72.5%	7	12.1%	6	10.3%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.65	6	26	45.6%	9	15.8%	10	17.5%	5	8.8%	2	3.5%	5	8.8%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.40	6	34	61.9%	13	23.6%	6	10.9%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	3
Shows impartiality	4.68	6	29	50.9%	8	14.0%	6	10.5%	6	10.5%	3	5.3%	5	8.8%	1
Shows preparedness	4.63	6	26	45.6%	9	15.8%	10	17.5%	4	7.0%	3	5.3%	5	8.8%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.06	6	19	52.8%	8	22.2%	5	13.9%	1	2.8%	2	5.6%	1	2.7%	22

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Robert K. Groeb, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 46

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.30	6	30	65.2%	8	17.4%	3	6.5%	3	6.5%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.46	6	33	71.8%	7	15.2%	2	4.3%	3	6.5%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.43	6	33	71.7%	8	17.4%	0	0.0%	3	6.5%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.28	6	27	69.2%	6	15.4%	0	0.0%	3	7.7%	2	5.1%	1	2.6%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.42	6	33	73.4%	7	15.6%	0	0.0%	2	4.4%	2	4.4%	1	2.2%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.50	6	33	71.7%	8	17.4%	1	2.2%	3	6.5%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.35	6	25	67.6%	7	18.9%	1	2.7%	2	5.4%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	9
Are clear	5.38	6	26	70.3%	6	16.2%	1	2.7%	2	5.4%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	9
Are concise	5.38	6	25	67.6%	7	18.9%	2	5.4%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	9
Address issues raised	5.33	6	25	69.5%	7	19.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	10
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.70	6	38	82.6%	5	10.9%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.71	6	36	80.1%	6	13.3%	2	4.4%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.64	6	33	73.4%	10	22.2%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.57	6	36	78.3%	4	8.7%	4	8.7%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	0
Shows impartiality	5.13	6	29	63.0%	5	10.9%	5	10.9%	4	8.7%	2	4.3%	1	2.2%	0
Shows preparedness	5.53	6	34	75.7%	6	13.3%	2	4.4%	2	4.4%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.48	6	19	70.4%	5	18.5%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	19

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Thomas M. Jaworski, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 69

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.57	6	24	35.0%	19	27.5%	13	18.8%	3	4.3%	5	7.2%	5	7.2%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.97	6	42	61.8%	6	8.8%	9	13.2%	3	4.4%	3	4.4%	5	7.4%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.68	6	31	45.1%	13	18.8%	12	17.4%	3	4.3%	5	7.2%	5	7.2%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.49	6	26	40.0%	11	16.9%	12	18.5%	7	10.8%	3	4.6%	6	9.2%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.74	6	34	49.5%	11	15.9%	11	15.9%	3	4.3%	5	7.2%	5	7.2%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.77	6	33	47.9%	11	15.9%	14	20.3%	2	2.9%	5	7.2%	4	5.8%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.51	6	22	43.2%	9	17.6%	8	15.7%	4	7.8%	1	2.0%	7	13.7%	18
Are clear	4.51	6	21	41.3%	9	17.6%	9	17.6%	4	7.8%	3	5.9%	5	9.8%	18
Are concise	4.65	6	21	41.3%	11	21.6%	9	17.6%	4	7.8%	2	3.9%	4	7.8%	18
Address issues raised	4.70	6	22	44.0%	9	18.0%	9	18.0%	5	10.0%	2	4.0%	3	6.0%	19
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.09	6	45	66.2%	8	11.8%	3	4.4%	5	7.4%	2	2.9%	5	7.3%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.07	6	43	64.2%	10	14.9%	2	3.0%	4	6.0%	4	6.0%	4	5.9%	2
Shows industry/promptness	4.88	6	40	58.8%	9	13.2%	5	7.4%	4	5.9%	5	7.4%	5	7.3%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.07	6	47	69.1%	5	7.4%	4	5.9%	4	5.9%	2	2.9%	6	8.8%	1
Shows impartiality	5.06	6	45	66.2%	4	5.9%	9	13.2%	2	2.9%	4	5.9%	4	5.9%	1
Shows preparedness	4.91	6	38	55.9%	11	16.2%	8	11.8%	2	2.9%	4	5.9%	5	7.3%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.49	6	25	67.6%	7	18.9%	4	10.8%	0	0.0%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	32

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. David P. Kreider, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 33

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.26	6	18	58.1%	7	22.6%	3	9.7%	2	6.5%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.27	6	19	63.4%	5	16.7%	4	13.3%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	5.32	6	20	64.5%	6	19.4%	3	9.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.21	6	17	60.7%	6	21.4%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.21	6	19	67.9%	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	5
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.35	6	20	64.5%	6	19.4%	3	9.7%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	2
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.17	6	14	58.4%	5	20.8%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	9
Are clear	5.29	6	16	66.7%	3	12.5%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	9
Are concise	5.33	6	16	66.7%	3	12.5%	3	12.5%	1	4.2%	1	4.1%	0	0.0%	9
Address issues raised	5.33	6	16	66.7%	4	16.7%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	9
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.69	6	28	87.6%	1	3.1%	1	3.1%	1	3.1%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.58	6	24	77.4%	3	9.7%	3	9.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.45	6	25	80.6%	2	6.5%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	3	9.7%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.58	6	26	83.9%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	2	6.5%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.32	6	23	74.2%	2	6.5%	2	6.5%	2	6.5%	1	3.2%	1	3.1%	2
Shows preparedness	5.55	6	25	80.6%	2	6.5%	2	6.5%	0	0.0%	2	6.4%	0	0.0%	2
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.47	6	11	73.3%	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	18

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Joseph M. Williams, Baker County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 18

	Summary		Detailed Results													
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Legal Acumen:																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.06	6	11	61.1%	2	11.1%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	
Listens to legal arguments	5.06	6	10	55.6%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%	2	11.1%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	
Comprehends legal concepts	5.06	6	11	61.1%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	3	16.7%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.94	6	9	53.0%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	1	
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.12	6	11	64.7%	1	5.9%	2	11.8%	2	11.8%	1	5.8%	0	0.0%	1	
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.11	6	10	55.6%	3	16.7%	3	16.7%	1	5.5%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	
Written Decisions:																
Are well reasoned	5.20	6	8	53.3%	3	20.0%	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	
Are clear	5.13	6	8	53.4%	3	20.0%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	
Are concise	5.20	6	8	53.3%	3	20.0%	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	
Address issues raised	5.13	6	8	53.4%	3	20.0%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility	5.61	6	13	72.2%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Shows patience/temperament	5.44	6	12	66.7%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Shows industry/promptness	5.39	6	12	66.7%	2	11.1%	3	16.7%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Has integrity/ethics	5.61	6	14	77.8%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Shows impartiality	5.44	6	12	66.7%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Shows preparedness	5.44	6	13	72.2%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Professional Activities:																
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.75	6	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Johnny R. Hobbs, Jr., Bradford County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 21

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.24	6	12	57.1%	6	28.6%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.48	6	14	66.7%	5	23.8%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.24	6	11	52.4%	6	28.6%	2	9.5%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.29	6	12	57.2%	4	19.0%	4	19.0%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.33	6	13	61.9%	5	23.8%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.48	6	15	71.4%	3	14.3%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.50	6	11	61.1%	5	27.8%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Are clear	5.61	6	13	72.2%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Are concise	5.50	6	11	61.1%	5	27.8%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Address issues raised	5.61	6	14	77.8%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.81	6	18	85.7%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.76	6	17	81.0%	3	14.3%	1	4.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.71	6	18	85.7%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	1	4.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.81	6	19	90.5%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.43	6	16	76.2%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	0
Shows preparedness	5.52	6	15	71.4%	3	14.3%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.00	6	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Edward J. Philman, Gilchrist County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 33

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.91	6	12	36.4%	12	36.4%	5	15.2%	3	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.09	6	16	48.5%	11	33.3%	2	6.1%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.91	6	14	42.4%	11	33.3%	3	9.1%	2	6.1%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.73	6	12	40.0%	9	30.0%	4	13.3%	1	3.3%	2	6.7%	2	6.7%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.06	6	16	48.5%	11	33.3%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.70	5	9	27.3%	14	42.4%	6	18.2%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	2	6.1%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.88	6	9	37.5%	9	37.5%	2	8.3%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	9
Are clear	4.96	6	11	45.8%	7	29.2%	2	8.3%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	9
Are concise	4.96	6	10	41.7%	8	33.3%	3	12.5%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	9
Address issues raised	5.04	6	11	45.9%	8	33.3%	2	8.3%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	9
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.48	6	23	69.7%	6	18.2%	3	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.24	6	21	63.6%	6	18.2%	2	6.1%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.36	6	20	60.6%	9	27.3%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.52	6	24	72.8%	6	18.2%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	0
Shows impartiality	4.85	6	17	51.5%	8	24.2%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	2	6.1%	3	9.1%	0
Shows preparedness	5.24	6	15	45.5%	14	42.4%	3	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.45	6	7	35.0%	6	30.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	13

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. James T. Browning, Levy County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 24

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.96	6	12	52.3%	5	21.7%	3	13.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	2	8.7%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.90	6	12	57.2%	2	9.5%	4	19.0%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	4.95	6	12	54.6%	4	18.2%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.58	6	8	42.1%	3	15.8%	4	21.1%	2	10.5%	0	0.0%	2	10.5%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.04	6	14	61.0%	3	13.0%	3	13.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	2	8.7%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.13	6	14	61.0%	2	8.7%	5	21.7%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.76	6	8	47.1%	3	17.6%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	7
Are clear	4.76	6	8	47.1%	3	17.6%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	7
Are concise	4.82	6	9	52.9%	2	11.8%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	7
Address issues raised	4.76	6	9	52.9%	2	11.8%	2	11.8%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	1	5.8%	7
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.30	6	16	69.6%	2	8.7%	2	8.7%	2	8.7%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.22	6	16	69.7%	1	4.3%	3	13.0%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.13	6	15	65.4%	3	13.0%	1	4.3%	2	8.7%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.32	6	16	72.8%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	2
Shows impartiality	4.83	6	15	65.3%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	4	17.4%	1	4.3%	2	8.7%	1
Shows preparedness	5.22	6	16	69.7%	1	4.3%	3	13.0%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.00	6	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	16

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. David L. Reiman, Union County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 33

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.16	6	19	59.4%	6	18.8%	3	9.4%	2	6.3%	1	3.1%	1	3.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.03	6	16	48.5%	10	30.3%	2	6.1%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.13	6	18	56.3%	7	21.9%	3	9.4%	1	3.1%	3	9.3%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.97	6	13	44.9%	9	31.0%	2	6.9%	4	13.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.06	6	18	56.3%	7	21.9%	2	6.3%	2	6.3%	2	6.3%	1	2.9%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.27	6	21	63.6%	6	18.2%	2	6.1%	2	6.1%	2	6.0%	0	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.25	6	15	62.5%	5	20.8%	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	9
Are clear	5.33	6	15	62.5%	6	25.0%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.1%	9
Are concise	5.29	6	15	62.5%	5	20.8%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	9
Address issues raised	5.42	6	17	70.8%	4	16.7%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.1%	9
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.24	6	20	60.6%	7	21.2%	2	6.1%	2	6.1%	2	6.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.21	6	19	57.6%	8	24.2%	2	6.1%	2	6.1%	2	6.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.28	6	20	62.6%	5	15.6%	5	15.6%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	1	3.1%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.38	6	23	71.9%	3	9.4%	3	9.4%	1	3.1%	2	6.2%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.21	6	21	63.6%	5	15.2%	3	9.1%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.31	6	19	59.4%	8	25.0%	3	9.4%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	1	3.1%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.14	5	5	35.8%	7	50.0%	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Stephan P. Mickle, Chief Federal District Court Judge

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Number of Attorneys Responding:	37														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.57	6	23	62.2%	12	32.4%	2	5.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.65	6	25	67.6%	11	29.7%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.49	6	22	59.5%	11	29.7%	4	10.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.33	6	19	52.8%	10	27.8%	7	19.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.50	6	22	61.1%	11	30.6%	2	5.6%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.49	6	20	54.1%	15	40.5%	2	5.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.33	6	16	48.5%	13	39.4%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Are clear	5.45	6	19	57.6%	10	30.3%	4	12.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Are concise	5.42	6	18	54.6%	11	33.3%	4	12.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Address issues raised	5.48	6	19	57.6%	11	33.3%	3	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.86	6	32	86.5%	5	13.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.70	6	29	78.4%	6	16.2%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.42	6	26	72.2%	4	11.1%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.81	6	32	86.5%	3	8.1%	2	5.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.47	6	26	72.2%	4	11.1%	4	11.1%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.53	6	25	69.4%	5	13.9%	6	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.46	6	19	67.9%	6	21.4%	2	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	9

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Maurice M. Paul, Senior Federal District Court Judge

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion					
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		#
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%	
Number of Attorneys Responding:	28															
Legal Acumen:																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.14	6	16	57.1%	6	21.4%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	0	
Listens to legal arguments	4.71	6	13	46.4%	4	14.3%	5	17.9%	4	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	0	
Comprehends legal concepts	5.18	6	17	60.7%	6	21.4%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	0	
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.85	6	13	48.2%	5	18.5%	5	18.5%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	2	7.4%	1	
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.43	6	13	46.5%	3	10.7%	4	14.3%	2	7.1%	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	0	
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.96	6	14	50.1%	7	25.0%	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	0	
Written Decisions:																
Are well reasoned	4.61	6	14	50.0%	2	7.1%	4	14.3%	5	17.9%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	0	
Are clear	4.93	6	14	50.1%	7	25.0%	2	7.1%	3	10.7%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	0	
Are concise	4.93	6	15	53.7%	6	21.4%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	0	
Address issues raised	4.79	6	14	50.0%	4	14.3%	5	17.9%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	0	
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility	4.75	6	14	50.1%	5	17.9%	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	0	
Shows patience/temperament	4.21	6	11	39.3%	2	7.1%	5	17.9%	4	14.3%	4	14.3%	2	7.1%	0	
Shows industry/promptness	4.21	6	10	35.7%	5	17.9%	4	14.3%	2	7.1%	4	14.3%	3	10.7%	0	
Has integrity/ethics	4.73	6	12	46.2%	5	19.2%	4	15.4%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	2	
Shows impartiality	4.14	6	12	42.9%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	6	21.4%	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	0	
Shows preparedness	4.61	6	12	43.0%	6	21.4%	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	0	
Professional Activities:																
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	3.92	6	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	16	

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Gary R. Jones, Magistrate Judge

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion						
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)			
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%		
Number of Attorneys Responding:	11																
Legal Acumen:																	
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.50	6	6	60.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.70	6	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.50	6	6	60.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.60	6	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.50	6	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:																	
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.40	6	6	60.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Written Decisions:																	
Are well reasoned	5.50	6	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Are clear	5.50	6	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Are concise	5.50	6	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Address issues raised	5.60	6	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:																	
Shows courtesy/civility	5.78	6	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.78	6	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.70	6	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.78	6	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.40	6	5	50.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.67	6	7	77.8%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Professional Activities:																	
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.33	6	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Samuel P. Stafford, II, Director/CSE Hearing Officer

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion				
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%
Number of Attorneys Responding:	19														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.95	6	9	47.4%	4	21.1%	4	21.1%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	1	5.1%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.00	6	10	52.6%	3	15.8%	2	10.5%	4	21.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.00	6	9	50.0%	5	27.8%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	5.5%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.67	6	8	44.4%	3	16.7%	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.89	6	9	50.0%	5	27.8%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.68	6	9	47.4%	3	15.8%	3	15.8%	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	1	5.2%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.63	6	8	50.0%	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	1	6.2%	3
Are clear	4.44	6	8	50.0%	1	6.3%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	2	12.5%	2	12.4%	3
Are concise	4.50	6	8	50.0%	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	3
Address issues raised	4.94	6	9	56.3%	2	12.5%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	1	6.1%	3
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.00	6	12	63.2%	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	2	10.5%	2	10.5%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.89	6	12	63.2%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	3	15.7%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.58	6	10	52.6%	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	3	15.8%	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.33	6	13	72.2%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.06	6	13	72.2%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	1
Shows preparedness	4.94	6	11	61.1%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.50	6	9	75.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	7

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Harvey E. Baxter, Hearing Officer

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion					
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		#
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Number of Attorneys Responding:		23														
Legal Acumen:																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.61	6	17	74.0%	5	21.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.65	6	19	82.7%	3	13.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.65	6	18	78.3%	4	17.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.61	6	17	74.0%	5	21.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.52	6	16	69.6%	6	26.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.65	6	18	78.3%	4	17.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0
Written Decisions:																
Are well reasoned	5.62	6	17	81.0%	3	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	2	2
Are clear	5.57	6	16	76.2%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	2	2
Are concise	5.62	6	17	81.0%	3	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	2	2
Address issues raised	5.57	6	16	76.2%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	2	2
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility	5.78	6	22	95.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.78	6	21	91.4%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.70	6	21	91.4%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.83	6	22	95.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0
Shows impartiality	5.78	6	21	91.4%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0
Shows preparedness	5.74	6	21	91.4%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0
Professional Activities:																
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.69	6	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	10

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Susanne Wilson Bullard, Traffic Infraction Hearing Officer

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion				
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%
Number of Attorneys Responding:	20														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.70	6	12	60.0%	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	20.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.60	6	11	55.0%	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	3	15.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.80	6	12	60.0%	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.47	6	10	52.6%	1	5.3%	4	21.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	21.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.65	6	12	60.0%	1	5.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	3	15.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.15	6	12	60.0%	3	15.0%	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	4.76	6	9	52.9%	2	11.8%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	2	11.8%	3
Are clear	5.00	6	11	64.7%	1	5.9%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1	5.8%	3
Are concise	4.94	6	10	58.8%	2	11.8%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1	5.8%	3
Address issues raised	4.94	6	10	58.8%	2	11.8%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1	5.8%	3
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.20	6	14	70.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.05	6	13	65.0%	3	15.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	2	10.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.20	6	14	70.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.05	6	13	68.4%	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	2	10.5%	1
Shows impartiality	4.50	6	12	60.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	4	20.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.05	6	14	70.0%	1	5.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	2	10.0%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.31	6	8	61.5%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	7

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Sheree H. Lancaster, Hearing Officer

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Number of Attorneys Responding:	12														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.42	6	7	58.3%	3	25.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.50	6	8	66.7%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.50	6	7	58.4%	4	33.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.20	6	5	50.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.58	6	8	66.7%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.58	6	8	66.7%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.63	6	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Are clear	5.75	6	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Are concise	5.75	6	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Address issues raised	5.75	6	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.67	6	9	75.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.58	6	8	66.7%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.75	6	10	83.4%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.75	6	10	83.4%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.58	6	9	75.0%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.58	6	9	75.0%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.71	6	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Susan Miller-Jones - General Magistrate

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Number of Attorneys Responding:	11														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.18	6	7	63.6%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.91	6	6	54.5%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.09	6	6	54.5%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.90	6	5	50.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.40	6	6	60.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.27	6	8	72.7%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.45	6	7	63.6%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Are clear	5.45	6	7	63.6%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Are concise	5.36	6	7	63.6%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Address issues raised	5.36	6	6	54.5%	4	36.4%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.64	6	6	54.5%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.55	6	6	54.5%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.27	6	7	63.6%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.36	6	6	54.5%	4	36.4%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.00	6	6	54.5%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.36	6	7	63.6%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.75	6	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Paul R. Silverman - General Magistrate

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion				
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%
Number of Attorneys Responding:	30														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.69	6	24	82.8%	4	13.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.76	6	26	89.7%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.76	6	26	89.7%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.68	6	23	82.1%	4	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.69	6	25	86.3%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.76	6	26	89.7%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1
Written Decisions:															
Are well reasoned	5.63	6	20	83.3%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	6
Are clear	5.67	6	20	83.3%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	6
Are concise	5.67	6	20	83.3%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	6
Address issues raised	5.63	6	20	83.3%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	6
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.79	6	27	93.2%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.79	6	27	93.2%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.79	6	27	93.2%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.79	6	27	93.2%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1
Shows impartiality	5.79	6	27	93.2%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1
Shows preparedness	5.76	6	26	89.7%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1
Professional Activities:															
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.07	6	10	71.5%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	16

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2011 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results								No Opinion					
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)			Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Number of Attorneys Responding:	19															
Legal Acumen:																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.00	6	11	61.1%	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	1	5.5%	1	
Listens to legal arguments	5.11	6	11	61.1%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	1	
Comprehends legal concepts	5.06	6	11	61.1%	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	1	5.4%	1	
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.17	6	11	61.1%	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	1	
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.17	6	11	61.1%	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	1	
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.28	6	14	77.8%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	1	
Written Decisions:																
Are well reasoned	5.06	6	13	72.2%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	2	11.0%	1	
Are clear	5.28	6	13	72.2%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.5%	1	
Are concise	5.28	6	13	72.2%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.5%	1	
Address issues raised	5.17	6	12	66.7%	2	11.1%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	1	
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility	5.06	6	11	61.1%	2	11.1%	2	11.1%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	1	
Shows patience/temperament	4.89	6	11	61.1%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	1	
Shows industry/promptness	5.33	6	14	77.8%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.4%	1	
Has integrity/ethics	5.24	6	12	70.6%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	1	5.8%	2	
Shows impartiality	4.78	6	11	61.1%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	2	11.0%	1	
Shows preparedness	5.44	6	14	77.8%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.5%	1	
Professional Activities:																
Participates in law related professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.10	6	6	60.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	9	

Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.