

# EIGHTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT BAR ASSOCIATION, INC.

Main Office P. O. Box 140893 Gainesville, FL 32614

Tele: (352) 380-0333 Email: execdir@8jcba.org

Robert E. Folsom President

Evan M. Gardiner Past President

Monica
Perez-McMillen
President-Elect

Mikel Bradley
President-Elect
Designate

Sharon T. Sperling Treasurer

Dominique Lochridge-Gonzales Secretary

Executive Director: Judy Padgett

# 2023 Judicial Poll Overview

The lawyers of the Eighth Judicial Circuit have a vital interest in attracting and retaining judicial officers with the highest possible qualifications, so that our courts demonstrate trust, respect and honor for our laws. This duty and responsibility of lawyers is recognized in the Rules of Professional Conduct that govern lawyers in Florida.

To assist and educate the public about this circuit's judicial officers, the Eighth Judicial Circuit Bar Association surveyed lawyers who practice law in our circuit. Our circuit comprises Alachua, Baker, Bradford, Gilchrist, Levy and Union counties.

The poll is intended to reflect the composite opinion of the local lawyers concerning the judicial abilities demonstrated for the 2022 calendar year for each of the judicial officers listed. Lawyers were instructed to disregard political considerations, and vote their conscience and opinion. If a lawyer did not have knowledge or experience as to a judicial officer's experience or capability in any one area sufficient to form a reasoned opinion, the lawyer was instructed to vote "No Opinion" as to that area.

The poll captured the lawyers' opinions in three broad categories:

- Legal acumen;
- Communication skills: and
- Professionalism.

Within each of these categories were more specific categories, as follows:

# Legal Acumen:

- Demonstrates knowledge of the law;
- Listens to legal arguments;
- · Comprehends legal concepts;
- · Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of the law; and
- Willing to make decisions based on established law.

#### Communication Skills:

- Able to articulate questions and decisions;
- Decisions are well reasoned:
- Decisions are clear and concise: and
- Decisions address issues raised.

#### Professionalism:

- Shows courtesy/civility;
- Shows patience/temperament;
- Shows industry/promptness;
- Has integrity/ethics;
- Shows impartiality;
- Shows preparedness; and
- Participates in professional activities.

The scale used by the lawyers to evaluate each judicial officer was as follows:

- 6 Strongly agree;
- 5 Moderately agree;
- 4 Slightly agree;
- 3 Slightly disagree;
- 2 Moderately disagree;
- 1 Strongly disagree.

While the EJCBA is providing you with all of the results, please carefully note that the results become significantly less meaningful as the number of lawyers who responded to any single judicial officer decreases. For example, if only 10 people responded to a judicial officer, one voter has the ability to significantly impact the outcome, making the overall results for that judicial officer less reliable.

The Association included federal area judicial officers and administrative hearing officers, even though these are appointed positions, rather than elected. Starting with this year's poll, senior judicial officers who presided over matters within the Circuit will be included.

In addition to the detailed results, poll summary results are presented for each judicial officer based on averages as well as the most frequent response for each category.

This year, 124 lawyers participated in the poll.

# 2023 EJCBA Judicial Poll Summary Average Rating by Lawyers

Scale:				Listens to len	Comprehence	, y Q	Willing to may be decision.	ę	Able to artice Skill	Decisions are duestions &	, p <sub>0</sub> /	Decisions and		6	Jimos Salies	men	Has integrity.			
6 - Strongly Agree	- Number		S	ŞŠ	é	É	, go	کي.		? 👸	Decisions are	Ø	S	Shows court	۷.	\$	ž			
5 - Moderately Agree		Ś	2	Ž	\$	>՝	é	·\$`	<i>۵</i> څ	Š	É	TQ.	.છું		<u>"</u> "	Ę	Ę.	6		SS
4 - Slightly Agree		ૂર	٠.	و	Ş	, Š	%	8	2	٥,	<i>()</i>	8	જુ	Ė.	10	, e	Q.	ڒڰۣٚڿ	<i>₹</i> 2	4
3 - Slightly Disagree		وق	و کی	?	g ,		Ø,	ર્જ જે	ું ફું≎ુ	, Ø	א ט	א ל	\$	<b>*</b>	Š (	g ,	Š, į	છે į	<b>&amp;</b>	,e
		8	\$ \$	, Š	~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	, 4	, É	8	ن الآخ الآخ الآخ الآخ الآخ الآخ الآخ الآخ	્રે છે	Ó	, ø	,	£ \$	, S	ં કું	' <u>'</u> <u>£</u>	S &	i g	ં ૄ&
2 - Moderately Disagree	á	, 4	۶ کی د	, ,o	26	.6	₹0	δ,	<b>5</b> 0	ž , ž	.8	o .E.	į	<b>)</b> (8)	20	.6	, go	.4	Q	.8
1 - Strongly Disagree	2	Ò	60	`.,\$``	2	Ž	(6)	? £		Š .	<i>```</i> `````````````````````````````````	ર્જ ફુટ ફુટ	ે હું	ž	ž	ž	, L	ž	ž	, O
	≥37	Š	Demonstrates	.87	S)	450	Ž &	တ	400	ດັ	8 8	20, 50	Q.	Shows Court	8	8	Has inegrity.	Shows impass	Shows prepare	Paticipates
Circuit Judges:	1		` `	`		· `	1 1	Ì	ı` ĭ	ı Î	`	` `	1 1				l ` I	-		ı Ì
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	28		5.32	5.38	5.25	5.09	5.16		5.21	5.04	5.04	5.28		5.64	5.48	5.54	5.62	5.35	5.48	5.69
Honorable Monica J. Brasington	40		5.59	5.56	5.59	5.54	5.46		5.56	5.44	5.59	5.62		5.62	5.59	5.72	5.69	5.49	5.72	5.96
Honorable Susanne Wilson Bullard	29		5.15	5.38	5.08	4.96	5.24		5.35	4.80	5.08	5.22		5.77	5.58	5.41	5.84	5.27	5.31	5.28
Honorable James M. Colaw	23		5.59	4.76	5.64	5.11	5.09		5.36	4.57	5.38	5.19		4.59	4.27	5.59	4.50	3.73	5.32	5.82
Honorable William E. Davis	18		5.73	6.00	5.86	5.93	5.86		5.93	5.86	5.86	5.86		6.00	5.94	5.94	6.00	5.75	6.00	5.57
	26		5.76											5.92			5.96		5.92	
Honorable Craig C. DeThomasis				5.76	5.88	5.87	5.80		5.88	5.76	5.80	5.92			5.88	6.00		5.72		5.94
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	26		5.88	5.69	5.92	5.71	5.81		5.77	5.81	5.81	5.92		5.69	5.42	5.73	6.00	5.77	5.96	5.50
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	31		5.74	5.55	5.74	5.68	5.52		5.83	5.55	5.74	5.81		5.73	5.58	5.87	5.93	5.47	5.83	5.76
Honorable Donna M. Keim	30		4.90	4.83	4.77	4.62	4.87		5.10	4.57	4.90	4.97		5.00	4.73	5.23	5.30	4.73	5.28	5.64
Honorable David P. Kreider	18		5.82	5.76	5.82	5.75	5.76		5.82	5.65	5.75	5.88		5.94	5.82	5.76	5.94	5.76	5.82	5.67
Honorable Phillip A. Pena	17		5.47	5.53	5.47	5.21	5.06		5.41	5.06	5.18	5.24		5.88	5.71	5.47	5.88	5.18	5.76	6.00
Honorable Gloria R. Walker	35		3.94	4.62	4.09	4.03	4.33		4.42	4.09	4.15	4.42		5.06	4.85	4.44	4.97	4.70	4.59	5.50
Honorable George M. Wright	28		5.59	5.52	5.68	5.57	5.61		5.79	5.39	5.46	5.48		5.54	5.63	5.70	5.88	5.37	5.63	5.19
Senior Court Judges:																				1
Honorable Bo Bayer	8		3.83	4.17	3.83	4.50	4.40		4.38	4.13	4.25	4.88		5.13	4.63	5.14	5.25	5.00	4.86	6.00
Honorable Aymer "Buck" Curtin	14		4.57	4.64	4.57	4.29	4.71		5.07	4.29	4.93	5.00		4.64	4.07	5.69	5.07	4.79	4.79	4.67
Honorable Phyllis M. Rosier	4		6.00	5.75	5.75	5.50	5.75		6.00	5.75	5.75	5.75		6.00	6.00	5.75	6.00	6.00	6.00	N/A
Honorable Olin Wilson Shinholser	0		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Honorable Peter K. Sieg	21		5.20	5.35	5.00	4.83	5.26		5.37	4.95	5.21	5.26		5.81	5.67	5.65	5.84	5.65	5.50	5.25
Honorable William R. Slaughter, II	2		5.50	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00		6.00	5.50	6.00	6.00		6.00	5.50	6.00	5.50	6.00	6.00	N/A
County Judges:																				
Alachua County:																				
Honorable Walter M. Green	13		4.85	5.46	5.23	4.85	4.62		5.23	4.77	4.77	5.15		6.00	6.00	4.31	5.69	5.00	4.85	5.75
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	13		4.42	4.83	4.42	4.33	4.73		4.58	4.50	4.58	4.83		4.92	4.77	5.15	5.54	5.23	5.00	6.00
Honorable Susan Miller-Jones	10		4.60	5.00	4.60	4.70	5.11		5.10	4.80	5.00	5.20		5.00	4.70	5.10	5.60	5.40	5.20	5.57
Honorable Meshon T. Rawls	13		4.69	5.31	4.62	4.58	4.92		4.85	4.77	4.62	4.92		5.62	5.69	5.15	6.00	5.67	4.77	5.78
Honorable Kristine Van Vorst	19		5.16	5.37	5.11	5.00	4.94		5.28	4.89	5.05	5.11		5.74	5.68	5.42	5.94	5.74	5.53	5.92
Baker County: Honorable Lorelie P. Brannan	5		4.60	4.75	4.60	3.50	4.75		4.50	4.20	4.50	4.50		5.00	4.80	4.40	4.00	4.40	4.75	4.00
Bradford County: Honorable D. Tatum Davis	8		5.75	5.88	5.88	5.71	5.88		5.88	5.88	5.88	5.88		5.88	5.63	5.75	6.00	5.50	6.00	6.00
Gilchrist County: Honorable Sheree H. Lancaster	8		5.38	6.00	5.63	6.00	6.00		5.88	5.86	6.00	5.88		6.00	5.88	6.00	6.00	5.50	5.50	5.80
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	11		5.27	5.40	5.27	5.30	5.50		5.36	5.18	5.36	5.30		5.18	4.91	5.50	5.73	5.30	5.50	6.00
Union County: Honorable Mitchell D. Bishop	8		5.75	5.88	5.88	5.88	6.00		5.88	6.00	5.75	6.00		6.00	5.75	5.50	6.00	5.88	5.88	6.00
Federal District Court Judges:	0		5.75	5.00	3.00	3.00	0.00		3.00	0.00	3.73	0.00		0.00	3.73	3.30	0.00	3.00	3.00	0.00
Honorable Mark E. Walker, Chief Judge, Northern District	10		5.90	4.80	5.80	5.70	5.30		5.50	5.30	5.20	5.70		4.50	4.40	5.56	5.80	5.30	5.70	5.17
Honorable Allen C. Winsor, Federal District Court Judge			5.83	5.33	5.83	5.50	5.50		5.67	5.67	5.67	5.83		5.67	5.50	6.00	5.50	5.33	6.00	6.00
	6																			
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	4		6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00		6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00		6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	5.33
Specialized Courts:	0		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	NI/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	NI/A
Karen K. Specie, Chief Bankruptcy Judge	2	J	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00		6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00		6.00	6.00	N/A	6.00	6.00	6.00	N/A
Magistrates:	١.	.																		
Jodi H. Cason - General Magistrate/Hearing Officer	8		5.75	5.88	5.75	5.71	5.75		5.75	5.75	5.88	5.88		6.00	6.00	5.88	6.00	6.00	6.00	5.67
Bridget Baker - General Magistrate	12		5.58	5.58	5.75	5.50	5.58		5.67	5.58	5.58	5.58		5.58	5.75	5.75	5.67	5.58	5.58	5.89
Katherine L. Floyd - General Magistrate	23		5.48	5.55	5.57	5.50	5.59		5.74	5.52	5.82	5.77		5.91	5.74	5.74	5.78	5.78	5.87	5.88
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	8	ıl	6.00	5.13	6.00	5.71	5.88		6.00	5.75	5.88	5.75		5.13	5.00	5.88	6.00	6.00	6.00	5.40
Hearing Officers:																				
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer																				
Average Rating for all Judicial Officers		ſ	5.35	5.40	5.38	5.27	5.38	l	5.48	5.24	5.37	5.47		5.56	5.40	5.53	5.68	5.43	5.55	5.62
- •		ı					•		-											

#### Footnotes:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

"Number of Responses" represents the total number of lawyers who evaluated this judicial officer. In any given characteristic evaluated, however, less than the total number of lawyers may have provided a response because there were lawyers who responded "no opinion". Please see each Judicial Officer's Detailed Results for complete results.

# 2023 EJCBA Judicial Poll Summary Most Frequent Response by Lawyers

Ozala				Listens to len		Analytically concepts	, , ć	?	Able to mications of law	9	Decisions are					Shows indus.	· s			
Scale:		6		.8	\$2	رقي (	·\$	ć	2 4	; ,§	8	_	á	9		Ę.	ર્જૂ			
6 - Strongly Agree		Š		, O	Ĺ	%	&	.80	5° 2	S	É	£	્રેજ		<i>(</i> 2)	ģ	Q			S
5 - Moderately Agree		Š		.S.	Š	jej.	Š	(g) ;	ွိတ်	3	× ×	do .	Ś	÷٠	Ž	5	, O	.8	~	્ટું આ
4 - Slightly Agree		Š	ċ.	£	, o	<u></u>	0	,0 %	´.&`.	<i>\$</i>	ž	8 ,	્ઈ	.6	3	ê .	×20	\$		, S
3 - Slightly Disagree	Ą	٤		ي رق	ં દે	Š (	e d		. Š		<i>y y</i>		,	<i>(b)</i>	υ			Š		8
2 - Moderately Disagree	, o	3_	, %	Q)	, 94	) Je	, o	£ .	Ę Ę	્ર ફ્રં	بي	ي چ	ż	્રે	260	, Ž	Ş	٤٢	8	, %
1 - Strongly Disagree	8	<i>×</i> √	6.3	200	á	ĞŽ,	ي آھي	) <u> </u>	.0.5	\$ .6°	.60 .5	8 .S &	ွတ်	8	×,	X,	Ĭ,	X,	X,	.jQ
	Number of B	, 8°	ئي ئي	. E	É	7,		Ś	Able to arical on Skills	\(\rangle_{\rangle}\)	8,6	8,8	ÝÓ	Shows work	200	200	86/	Shows inpa	Shows propaga	Patticipates
Circuit Judges:		<sup>4</sup> 69a/ <sub>4C,,,</sub>	, <sub>~</sub>	Listens to less	0		Willing to may		Y 0	7	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	De 's' c'est and 's' see' see' see' see' see' see' see'	`	9)			Has integrity,			· I
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	28		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Monica J. Brasington	40		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Susanne Wilson Bullard	29		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable James M. Colaw	23		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	1	6	6
Honorable William E. Davis	18		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Craig C. DeThomasis	26		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	26		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	31		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Donna M. Keim	30		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Dovid P. Kreider	18		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
			-	-					6		6	_						-		_
Honorable Phillip A. Pena	17 35		6	6	6	6	6		-	6	-	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Gloria R. Walker			6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	-	6	6	6	6
Honorable George M. Wright	28		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	О	6
Senior Court Judges:			.							_										
Honorable Bo Bayer	8		4	6	4	6,5,4,3	6		6	5	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Aymer "Buck" Curtin	14		6	6	6	6,4	6		6	4	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	4
Honorable Phyllis M. Rosier	4		6	6	6	6,5	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	N/A
Honorable Olin Wilson Shinholser	0		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Honorable Peter K. Sieg	21		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable William R. Slaughter, II	2		6,5	6	6	6	6		6	6,5	6	6		6	6,5	6	6,5	6	6	N/A
County Judges:																				
Alachua County:																				
Honorable Walter M. Green	13		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	13		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Susan Miller-Jones	10		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Meshon T. Rawls	13		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Kristine Van Vorst	19		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Baker County: Honorable Lorelie P. Brannan	5		6,5	6	6,5	6,1	6		6	5	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6,5,1
Bradford County: Honorable D. Tatum Davis	8		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Gilchrist County: Honorable Sheree H. Lancaster	8		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	11		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Union County: Honorable Mitchell D. Bishop	8		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Federal District Court Judges:																				
Honorable Mark E. Walker, Chief Judge, Northern District	10		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Allen C. Winsor, Federal District Court Judge	6		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	4		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Specialized Courts:				-					-											
Karen K. Specie, Chief Bankruptcy Judge	2		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	N/A	6	6	6	N/A
Magistrates:																				
Jodi H. Cason - General Magistrate/Hearing Officer	8	1	6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Bridget Baker - General Magistrate	12		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Katherine L. Floyd - General Magistrate	23		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	8		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Hearing Officers:					•		•		_	•				•						
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	4	1	6	6	6	6,2,1	6,1		6,3	6	6	6	I	6	6	6,4	6	6	6	6,2
			-	- 1	-	-,-,.	-,.		-,-	-		1		-		-,.	- 1	-	1	-,-
Most Frequent Response for all Judicial Officers			6	6	6	6	6		6	6	6	6	ſ	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
• •		-											ı							

#### Footnotes:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

"Number of Responses" represents the total number of lawyers who evaluated this judicial officer. In any given characteristic evaluated, however, less than the total number of lawyers may have provided a response because there were lawyers who responded "no opinion". Please see each Judicial Officer's Detailed Results for complete results.

12 **75.0**%

#### Hon. Mark W. Moseley, Chief Judge



									-
	Sun	nmary			Detailed	Results			
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating gree	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)	Moderately Agree (5)	Slightly Agree (4)	Slightly Disagree (3)	Moderately Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	No Opinion
Number of Attornevs Responding: 28			# %	# %	# %	# %	# %	# %	#
Number of Attorneys Responding: 28									
Legal Acumen:									
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.32	6	15 <b>60.0%</b>	6 <b>24.0%</b>	3 <b>12.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>4.0</b> %	3
Listens to legal arguments	5.38	6	15 <b>62.5%</b>	6 <b>25.0%</b>	2 <b>8.3</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>4.2</b> %	4
Comprehends legal concepts	5.25	6	16 <b>66.7%</b>	2 <b>8.3</b> %	4 16.7%	1 <b>4.2</b> %	0 0.0%	1 <b>4.1</b> %	4
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.09	6	12 <b>54.6</b> %	4 18.2%	4 18.2%	1 4.5%	0 0.0%	1 <b>4.5</b> %	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.16	6	14 <b>56.0%</b>	5 <b>20.0%</b>	4 16.0%	1 <b>4.0</b> %	0 0.0%	1 <b>4.0</b> %	3
Communications Skills:									
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.21	6	14 58.3%	5 <b>20.8%</b>	3 <b>12.5%</b>	1 4.2%	0 0.0%	1 <b>4.2</b> %	4
Decisions are well reasoned	5.04	6	12 <b>50.0%</b>	6 <b>25.0%</b>	3 <b>12.5%</b>	2 <b>8.3%</b>	0 0.0%	1 <b>4.2</b> %	4
Decisions are clear and concise	5.04	6	13 <b>52.0%</b>	5 <b>20.0%</b>	4 16.0%	2 8.0%	0 0.0%	1 4.0%	3
Decisions address issues raised	5.28	6	15 <b>60.0%</b>	5 <b>20.0%</b>	4 16.0%	0 0.0%	0 <b>0.0%</b>	1 <b>4.0</b> %	3
Professionalism:									
Shows courtesy/civility	5.64	6	19 <b>76.0%</b>	4 16.0%	1 <b>4.0</b> %	1 4.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3
Shows patience/temperament	5.48	6	17 <b>68.0</b> %	5 <b>20.0%</b>	2 <b>8.0</b> %	0 0.0%	1 <b>4.0</b> %	0 0.0%	3
Shows industry/promptness	5.54	6	17 <b>65.5</b> %	7 <b>26.9</b> %	1 3.8%	1 <b>3.8</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.62	6	19 <b>73.2%</b>	5 <b>19.2%</b>	1 3.8%	1 3.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.35	6	17 <b>65.5%</b>	5 <b>19.2%</b>	2 7.7%	1 3.8%	0 0.0%	1 3.8%	2
Shows preparedness	5.48	6	18 <b>72.0%</b>	4 16.0%	2 <b>8.0</b> %	0 <b>0.0%</b>	0 <b>0.0%</b>	1 <b>4.0</b> %	3

3 **18.8%** 

6.2%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

#### Footnote:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

5.69

Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association,

functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)

#### Hon. Monica J. Brasington, Circuit Judge

	Sum	nmary			Detailed	Results			
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)	Moderately Agree (5)	Slightly Agree (4)	Slightly Disagree (3)	Moderately Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	No Opinion
Number of Attorneys Responding: 40			# %	# %	# %	# %	# %	# %	#
Legal Acumen:									
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.59	6	34 <b>87.2</b> %	2 <b>5.1%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>2.6</b> %	2 <b>5.1%</b>	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.56	6	34 <b>87.2%</b>	0 0.0%	2 <b>5.1%</b>	0 0.0%	2 <b>5.1%</b>	1 2.6%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.59	6	34 <b>87.2</b> %	2 <b>5.1%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>2.6</b> %	2 <b>5.1%</b>	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.54	6	33 <b>84.6%</b>	2 <b>5.1%</b>	1 2.6%	0 0.0%	1 <b>2.6</b> %	2 <b>5.1%</b>	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.46	6	32 <b>82.1%</b>	2 <b>5.1%</b>	2 <b>5.1%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 <b>7.7%</b>	1
Communications Skills:	5.56	6	32 <b>82.1</b> %	4 40 20/	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 <b>5.1</b> %	1 2.5%	1
Able to articulate questions & decisions  Decisions are well reasoned	5.44	6	32 <b>82.1%</b> 31 <b>79.5%</b>	4 <b>10.3%</b> 3 <b>7.7%</b>					
Decisions are well reasoned  Decisions are clear and concise	5.44	6	31 <b>79.5</b> %	3 <b>7.7%</b> 4 <b>10.3%</b>	2 <b>5.1%</b> 0 <b>0.0%</b>	0 <b>0.0%</b> 1 <b>2.6%</b>	0 <b>0.0%</b> 1 <b>2.6%</b>	3 <b>7.7</b> %	1
	5.62	6	33 84.6%	3 7.7%	1 2.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 5.1%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.62	6	33 04.6%	3 7.7%	1 2.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 5.1%	Į.
Professionalism:									
Shows courtesy/civility	5.62	6	36 <b>92.3%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 7.7%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.59	6	33 <b>84.6</b> %	3 <b>7.7%</b>	0 0.0%	1 2.6%	0 0.0%	2 5.1%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.72	6	36 <b>92.3%</b>	1 <b>2.6</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 <b>5.1%</b>	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.69	6	35 <b>89.7%</b>	1 2.6%	0 0.0%	2 <b>5.1%</b>	0 0.0%	1 2.6%	1
Shows impartiality	5.49	6	33 <b>84.6</b> %	1 <b>2.6</b> %	1 <b>2.6</b> %	1 2.6%	1 2.6%	2 5.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.72	6	35 <b>89.7%</b>	1 <b>2.6</b> %	1 2.6%	1 2.6%	0 0.0%	1 2.5%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association,									
functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.96	6	26 <b>96.3</b> %	1 <b>3.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13

#### Footnote:

# Hon. Susanne Wilson Bullard, Circuit Judge

									1
	Sur	nmary			Detailed	Results	,	T	
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)	Moderately Agree (5)	Slightly Agree (4)	Slightly Disagree (3)	Moderately Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 29									
Legal Acumen:									
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.15	6	15 <b>55.6%</b>	5 <b>18.5%</b>	5 <b>18.5%</b>	1 3.7%	0 0.0%	1 3.7%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.38	6	18 <b>69.2</b> %	2 7.7%	4 15.4%	2 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	5.08	6	16 <b>61.7%</b>	2 <b>7.7%</b>	5 <b>19.2%</b>	1 3.8%	1 3.8%	1 3.8%	3
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.96	6	12 <b>52.3%</b>	5 <b>21.7%</b>	3 <b>13.0%</b>	0 0.0%	2 8.7%	1 4.3%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.24	6	16 <b>64.0%</b>	3 <b>12.0%</b>	3 <b>12.0%</b>	2 8.0%	1 <b>4.0</b> %	0 0.0%	4
Communications Skills:									
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.35	6	15 <b>57.7%</b>	7 <b>26.9</b> %	2 7.7%	2 <b>7.7%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3
Decisions are well reasoned	4.80	6	13 <b>52.0%</b>	4 16.0%	3 <b>12.0%</b>	2 <b>8.0</b> %	1 <b>4.0</b> %	2 <b>8.0</b> %	4
Decisions are clear and concise	5.08	6	14 <b>54.0</b> %	6 <b>23.1%</b>	3 <b>11.5%</b>	1 3.8%	1 3.8%	1 3.8%	3
Decisions address issues raised	5.22	6	14 <b>51.9</b> %	8 <b>29.6%</b>	2 <b>7.4</b> %	3 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2
Professionalism:									
Shows courtesy/civility	5.77	6	23 <b>88.6%</b>	1 3.8%	1 3.8%	1 3.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3
Shows patience/temperament	5.58	6	21 <b>80.9%</b>	2 <b>7.7%</b>	1 3.8%	1 3.8%	1 3.8%	0 0.0%	3
Shows industry/promptness	5.41	6	19 <b>70.4%</b>	3 11.1%	3 <b>11.1%</b>	1 3.7%	1 3.7%	0 0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.84	6	22 <b>88.0</b> %	2 <b>8.0</b> %	1 <b>4.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4
Shows impartiality	5.27	6	18 <b>69.3%</b>	2 7.7%	1 3.8%	5 <b>19.2%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3
Shows preparedness	5.31	6	18 <b>69.3%</b>	2 7.7%	4 15.4%	1 3.8%	0 0.0%	1 3.8%	3
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.28	6	10 <b>55.6%</b>	4 22.2%	3 16.7%	1 <b>5.5</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	11

#### Footnote:

# Hon. James M. Colaw, Circuit Judge

	Sum	marv						Detailed	Rasıı	lte					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		trongly Agree (6)	M	oderately Agree (5)	;	Slightly Agree (4)	s	slightly isagree (3)		derately isagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 23			"	70		70	-"	70	-"-	70	"	70	-"	7.0	
Legal Acumen:			4.4	22 =2/		04.00/		4 =0/		2 22/		2.20/		2.20/	
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.59	6	14	63.7%	7		1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.76 5.64	6	10	47.6% 68.2%	4		3	14.3% 4.5%	1	4.8% 0.0%	2	9.5% 0.0%	1	4.8% 0.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts  Analytically reaches decisions in upportled areas of law	5.64	6	15	61.1%	3		1	4.5% 5.6%	0 2	0.0% 11.1%	0	0.0%	0	5.5%	5
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.11	6	13	59.2%	5		0	0.0%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.09	•	13	39.2%	5	22.170	U	0.0%		<b>3.</b> 170		4.5%		4.5%	
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.36	6	15	68.2%	4		1	4.5%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	4.57	6	10	47.6%	3		2	9.5%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	2	9.5%	2
Decisions are clear and concise	5.38	6	13	61.9%	4		3	14.3%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions address issues raised	5.19	6	13	61.9%	4	19.0%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	2
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.59	6	12	54.6%	4	18.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	4	18.2%	1
Shows patience/temperament	4.27	6	12	54.7%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	3	13.6%	4	18.2%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.59	6	18	81.9%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	1
Has integrity/ethics	4.50	6	14	63.7%	0		1	4.5%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	4	18.2%	1
Shows impartiality	3.73	1	7	31.8%	4		3	13.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	36.4%	1
Shows preparedness	5.32	6	18	81.9%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.82	6	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12

#### Footnote:

# Hon. William E. Davis, Circuit Judge

	Sum	ımary						Detailed	Resu	Its					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Rating	Most Frequent Response		trongly Agree (6)	M	oderately Agree (5)	;	Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly visagree (3)		derately isagree (2)		crongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 18			,,	.,	<u> </u>					,,			,,		
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.73	6	12	80.0%	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Listens to legal arguments	6.00	6	14	100.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Comprehends legal concepts	5.86	6	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.93	6	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.86	6	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.93	6	14	93.3%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Decisions are well reasoned	5.86	6	12	85.7%	2		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Decisions are clear and concise	5.86	6	12	85.7%	2		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Decisions address issues raised	5.86	6	12	85.7%	2		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	16	100.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.94	6	15	93.8%	1	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.94	6	15	93.8%	1		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	16	100.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.75	6	13	81.3%	2		1	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	16	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	n, <b>5.57</b>	6	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11

#### Footnote

Hon. Craig C. DeThomasis, Circuit Judge

		Sum	nmary						Detailed	Resul	ts					
	Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		trongly Agree (6)		oderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)	Di	lightly sagree (3)	Di	derately sagree (2)	Di	rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion
Newstrand Attack	00			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Number of Attorneys Responding:	26															
Legal Acumen:																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	I	5.76	6	21	84.0%	2	8.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments		5.76	6	22	88.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts		5.88	6	23	92.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in un	settled areas of law	5.87	6	20	87.0%	3	13.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Willing to make decisions based on	established law	5.80	6	23	92.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & decisi	ions	5.88	6	23	92.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	10110	5.76	6	23	92.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are clear and concise		5.80	6	23	92.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions address issues raised		5.92	6	24	96.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility		5.92	6	23	92.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament		5.88	6	22	88.0%	3	12.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness		6.00	6	25	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics		5.96	6	24	96.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality		5.72	6	22	88.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	1
Shows preparedness		5.92	6	23	92.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities	s (eg. bar association,															
functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court	t, etc.)	5.94	6	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9

#### Footnote:

# Hon. Denise R. Ferrero, Circuit Judge

	Sur	nmary							Detailed	Resu	Its					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	A	rongly sgree (6)			derately agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly isagree (3)		derately isagree (2)	l I	rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 26							,,,		,,,					"	- 70	
Legal Acumen:																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.88	6	23	88.5%	П	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.69	6	19	73.1%	П	6	23.1%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.92	6	24	92.3%		2	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.71	6	19	79.2%	1 [	3	12.5%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.81	6	22	84.7%		3	11.5%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.77	6	22	84.6%		2	7.7%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.81	6	22	84.7%	ΙL	3	11.5%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.81	6	22	84.7%		3	11.5%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.92	6	24	92.3%		2	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility	5.69	6	21	80.8%		2	7.7%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.42	6	15	57.7%	1 [	7	26.9%	4	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.73	6	21	80.8%		3	11.5%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	26	100.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.77	6	22	84.7%		3	11.5%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.96	6	25	96.2%		1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association,	5.50		40	70.00/			E 00/		22.20/		0.00/		0.00/		0.00/	0
functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.50	6	13	72.2%		1	5.6%	4	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8

#### Footnote:

# Hon. Robert K. Groeb, Circuit Judge

		Sum	nmary						Detailed	Resul	ts					
5 - 1 4 - 3 3 - 1 2 - 1	ile: Strongly Agree Moderately Agree Slightly Agree Slightly Disagree Moderately Disagree Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		trongly Agree (6)		loderately Agree (5)		slightly Agree (4)	Di	lightly sagree (3)	Dis	derately sagree (2)	Di	rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion
Number of Attorneys Responding:	31			#	%	#	ŧ %	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Number of Attorneys Responding.	31															
Legal Acumen:															ļ	
Demonstrates knowledge of the law		5.74	6	26	83.9%	4	12.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments		5.55	6	22	71.0%	7	22.6%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts		5.74	6	26	83.9%	4	12.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettle	ed areas of law	5.68	6	22	78.6%	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Willing to make decisions based on esta	blished law	5.52	6	23	74.2%	5	16.1%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	2	6.5%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															ļ	
Able to articulate questions & decisions		5.83	6	25	83.3%	5	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned		5.55	6	22	71.0%	7	22.6%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise		5.74	6	24	77.4%	6	19.4%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised		5.81	6	25	80.6%	6	19.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility		5.73	6	24	80.0%	4	13.3%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament		5.58	6	21	67.7%	7		3	9.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness		5.87	6	27	90.0%	2		1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics		5.93	6	27	93.1%	2		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality		5.47	6	19	63.3%	8		1	3.3%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness		5.83	6	26	86.7%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg	. bar association.															
functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.		5.76	6	18	85.7%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9

#### Footnote:

# Hon. Donna M. Keim, Circuit Judge

	Sun	nmarv						Detailed	Pocul	to					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	,	trongly Agree (6)		oderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)	S Di	lightly sagree (3)	Di	derately sagree (2)	Di	rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion
Number of Attorneys Responding: 30			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:			10	<b>=0</b> 404		22 22/		o =0/		2.00/		2 20/		40.00/	
Demonstrates knowledge of the law Listens to legal arguments	4.90 4.83	6	16	53.4% 43.3%	9		3	6.7% 10.0%	2	3.3% 6.7%	1	3.3% 3.3%	3 2	10.0% 6.7%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.03	6	14	46.7%	9		1	3.3%	1	3.3%	2	6.7%	3	10.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.62	6	12	41.5%	8		3	10.3%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%	3	10.3%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.87	6	15	50.0%	6		5	16.7%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	3	10.0%	0
Communications Skills: Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.10	6	16	53.3%	9	30.0%	1	3.3%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	2	6.7%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	4.57	6	12	40.1%	8		4	13.3%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	4	13.3%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	4.90	6	16	53.3%	6	20.0%	3	10.0%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	3	10.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	4.97	6	16	53.3%	5	16.7%	5	16.7%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	2	6.6%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.00	6	20	66.7%	4		0	0.0%	1	3.3%	2	6.7%	3	10.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.73	6	16	53.4%	4		4	13.3%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	4	13.3%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.23	6	17	56.7%	7		3	10.0%	2	6.7%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.30	6	21	70.1%	4		1	3.3%	2	6.7%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	0
Shows impartiality	4.73 5.28	6	17	56.7% 62.3%	7	13.3% 24.1%	2	6.7% 3.4%	2	6.7% 3.4%	1	3.3% 3.4%	4	13.3% 3.4%	0
Shows preparedness	5.20	В	10	02.3%		24.1%	I	3.470	I	3.470		3.470	ı	3.4%	U
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.64	6	16	72.7%	4	18.2%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8

#### Footnote:

# Hon. David P. Kreider, Circuit Judge



		Sun	nmary						Detailed	Resu	Its					
	Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		trongly Agree (6)		oderately Agree (5)		lightly Agree (4)		Slightly bisagree (3)		derately sagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding:	18			#	70	#	70	#	70	#	70	#	70	#	70	
Legal Acumen:																
Demonstrates knowledge of the la	NA/	5.82	6	14	82.4%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	vv	5.76	6	13	76.5%	4	23.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts		5.82	6	14	82.4%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in u	nsettled areas of law	5.75	6	12	75.0%	4	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Willing to make decisions based o		5.76	6	14	82.4%	2	11.8%	1	5.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & deci	sions	5.82	6	14	82.4%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	0.01.0	5.65	6	12	70.6%	4	23.5%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are clear and concise		5.75	6	13	81.3%	2		1	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions address issues raised		5.88	6	14	87.5%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility		5.94	6	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament		5.82	6	14	82.4%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness		5.76	6	14	82.4%	2	11.8%	1	5.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics		5.94	6	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality		5.76	6	14	82.4%	2	11.8%	1	5.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness		5.82	6	15	88.2%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities	es (eg. bar association,															

8

88.9%

0

0.0%

0.0%

1 11.1%

0.0%

0 0.0%

#### Footnote:

functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

5.67

# Hon. Phillip A. Pena, Circuit Judge

	Sum	marv						Detailed	Posu	lte					ì
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		trongly Agree (6)	N #	loderately Agree (5)	,	Slightly Agree (4)	s	Slightly isagree (3)		oderately isagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 17															
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.47	6	12	70.6%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.53	6	11	64.7%	4	23.5%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.47	6	12	70.6%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.21	6	9	64.3%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.06	6	9	56.3%	3	18.8%	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	1	6.1%	1
Communications Skills:			10			· ·		47.20/		/		2 224			
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.41	6	12	70.6%	1	0.070	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.06	6	10	58.8%	3		1	5.9%	1	5.9%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.18	6	11	64.7%	2		2	11.8%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.8%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.24	6	11	64.7%	1	5.9%	4	23.5%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:	5.00	•	40	04.40/		0.00/		<b>5.00</b> /	0	0.00/	0	0.00/	0	0.00/	0
Shows courtesy/civility	5.88	6	16	94.1%	(		1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.71	6	15	88.2%	(		1	5.9%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.47	6	12	70.6%	3		1	5.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.88	6	15	93.8%	(		1	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.18	6	11	64.7%	2		1	5.9%	2	11.8%	1	5.8%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.76	6	15	88.2%	(	0.0%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	5	100.0%	C	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12

#### Footnote

# Hon. Gloria R. Walker, Circuit Judge

	Sun	nmary						Detailed	Resul	ts					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		trongly Agree (6)	M	oderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		lightly sagree (3)		derately sagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 35				70	-"	70	-"-	70	"	70	-"	70	-"	70	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	3.94	6	14	42.4%	4	12.1%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	3	9.1%	9	27.3%	2
Listens to legal arguments	4.62	6	19	55.9%	5	14.7%	2	5.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	7	20.6%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.09	6	17	50.1%	3	8.8%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	5	14.7%	8	23.5%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.03	6	17	54.8%	0	0.0%	2	6.5%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	10	32.3%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.33	6	20	60.6%	0	0.0%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	2	6.1%	8	24.2%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.42	6	17	51.5%	4	12.1%	1	3.0%	3	9.1%	3	9.1%	5	15.2%	2
Decisions are well reasoned	4.09	6	17	51.5%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	2	6.1%	10	30.3%	2
Decisions are clear and concise	4.15	6	15	44.1%	6	17.6%	2	5.9%	0	0.0%	2	5.9%	9	26.5%	1
Decisions address issues raised	4.42	6	16	48.5%	6	18.2%	2	6.1%	0	0.0%	3	9.1%	6	18.1%	2
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.06	6	24	70.6%	3	8.8%	2	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	14.7%	1
Shows patience/temperament	4.85	6	20	58.9%	5	14.7%	3	8.8%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	5	14.7%	1
Shows industry/promptness	4.44	6	16	47.1%	5	14.7%	4	11.8%	1	2.9%	3	8.8%	5	14.7%	1
Has integrity/ethics	4.97	6	23	71.9%	1	3.1%	2	6.3%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	5	15.6%	3
Shows impartiality	4.70	6	22	66.7%	1	3.0%	2	6.1%	0	0.0%	2	6.1%	6	18.1%	2
Shows preparedness	4.59	6	18	53.0%	4	11.8%	5	14.7%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	6	17.6%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association,															
functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.50	6	12	66.7%	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17

#### Footnote:

# Hon. George M. Wright, Circuit Judge

															1
**	Sum	nmary		1	-			Detailed	Resu	ılts	_				
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		trongly Agree (6)	N +	Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		derately isagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 28															
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.59	6	21	72.5%	6	20.7%		1 3.4%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.52	6	21	72.5%			1 [	2 <b>6.9%</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.68	6	23	82.1%	4	1 14.3%		0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.57	6	16	76.2%	4	1 19.0%	1 🗆	0 <b>0.0%</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.61	6	24	85.7%	•	3.6%		1 <b>3.6</b> %	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.79	6	25	89.3%	2	2 <b>7.1%</b>		0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.39	6	19	67.9%	7	7 25.0%		0. <b>0%</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.46	6	19	67.9%	7	7 25.0%		0.0%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	1	3.5%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.48	6	20	74.1%		5 <b>18.5%</b>		0 <b>0.0%</b>	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.54	6	23	82.1%	2	2 <b>7.1%</b>		1 <b>3.6</b> %	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.63	6	21	77.8%	4	14.8%		1 3.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.70	6	23	85.2%	2	2 <b>7.4%</b>		1 3.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.88	6	24	92.4%	•	3.8%		1 <b>3.8</b> %	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.37	6	19	70.4%	4			2 <b>7.4</b> %	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	1
Shows preparedness	5.63	6	22	81.5%	3	3 11.1%		1 <b>3.7</b> %	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.19	6	9	56.3%	2	2 <b>12.5%</b>		4 <b>25.0</b> %	1	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12

# Footnote:

# Hon. Bo Bayer, Senior Court Judge

	Sum	nmary			Detailed	d Results			
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)	Moderatel Agree (5)	y Slightly Agree (4)	Slightly Disagree (3)	Moderately Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 8									
Legal Acumen:									
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	3.83	4	1 <b>16.7</b> %	1 16.7	% 2 <b>33.3</b> %	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	1 <b>16.6</b> %	2
Listens to legal arguments	4.17	6	2 33.3%			1 16.7%	0 0.0%	1 16.6%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	3.83	4	1 16.7%	1 16.7	% 2 <b>33.3</b> %	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	1 <b>16.6</b> %	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.50	6,5,4,3	1 25.0%	1 25.0	% 1 <b>25.0</b> %	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.40	6	2 <b>40.0</b> %	1 20.0	% 1 <b>20.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>20.0</b> %	3
Communications Skills:									
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.38	6	3 <b>37.5</b> %			0 0.0%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	4.13	5	2 <b>25.0</b> %			0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 <b>25.0%</b>	0
Decisions are clear and concise	4.25	6	3 <b>37.5</b> %			0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 <b>25.0</b> %	0
Decisions address issues raised	4.88	6	5 <b>62.5</b> %	1 12.5	<b>%</b> 0 <b>0.0%</b>	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	1 <b>12.5</b> %	0
Professionalism:									
Shows courtesy/civility	5.13	6	6 <b>75.0</b> %			0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>12.5</b> %	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.63	6	5 <b>62.5</b> %			0 0.0%	0 <b>0.0%</b>	2 <b>25.0%</b>	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.14	6	4 57.1%			1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.25	6	6 <b>75.0</b> %			0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>12.5</b> %	0
Shows impartiality	5.00	6	6 <b>75.0</b> %			1 12.5%	0 0.0%	1 <b>12.5</b> %	0
Shows preparedness	4.86	6	3 <b>42.9</b> %	1 14.3	% 2 <b>28.6</b> %	1 14.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	1 100.09	6 0 <b>0.0</b> 9	<b>0 0.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7

# Footnote:

# Hon. Aymer "Buck" Curtin, Senior Court Judge

	Sun	nmary						Detailed	Resu	Its					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		trongly Agree (6)	M	oderately Agree (5)		ilightly Agree (4)		Slightly isagree (3)		derately isagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 14			#	70	- #	70	π	70	#	70	#	70	π	70	<u>π</u>
Legal Acumen:  Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.57	6	5	35.8%	4	28.6%	1	7.1%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.64	6	5	35.7%	4		2	14.3%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.57	6	6	42.9%	2		1	7.1%	4	28.6%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.29	6,4	4	28.6%	2		4	28.6%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.71	6	7	50.0%	2	14.3%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.07	6	7	50.0%	1		6	42.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned  Decisions are clear and concise	4.29	6	7	28.7% 50.1%	3		5	35.7% 14.3%	1	7.1% 7.1%	1	7.1% 0.0%	1	7.1% 7.1%	0
Decisions are clear and concise  Decisions address issues raised	4.93 5.00	6	6	50.1% 42.9%	5		2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.00		0	42.9 /0	3	35.7 /6	-	14.5 /6	0	0.0 /6	0	0.0 /6	l '	7.170	U
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.64	6	7	50.1%	1	7.1%	2	14.3%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.07	6	4	28.6%	2	14.3%	3	21.4%	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.69	6	11	84.6%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.07	6	9	64.3%	2		0	0.0%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0
Shows impartiality	4.79	6	8	57.2%	2		1	7.1%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	0
Shows preparedness	4.79	6	6	43.0%	3	21.4%	3	21.4%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.67	4	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11

#### Footnote

# Hon. Phyllis M. Rosier, Senior Court Judge

,															1
	Sum	nmary					,	Detailed	Resu	lts	1 1		_		
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		Strongly Agree (6)		oderately Agree (5)		lightly Agree (4)		Slightly isagree (3)	I I -	derately sagree (2)	Dis	rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 4			-"-	70	-"	70	-"	70	-"-	70		70	-"	70	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.75	6	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.75	6	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.50	6,5	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.75	6	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.75	6	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.75	6	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.75	6	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.75	6	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	4

#### Footnote

# Hon. Olin Wilson Shinholser, Senior Court Judge

	Sun	nmary							Detailed	Resu	ılts					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Α	ongly gree (6)			rately ree 5)	#	Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		derately sagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 0					1 F		,,,		,,,		,,,	"	,,,	"	,,,	
Legal Acumen:																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Listens to legal arguments	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Comprehends legal concepts	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0		0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	(	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & decisions	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0		0		0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Decisions are well reasoned	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0		0		0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Decisions are clear and concise	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0		0		0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Decisions address issues raised	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	(	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0		0		0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Shows patience/temperament	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0		0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Shows industry/promptness	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0		0		0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Has integrity/ethics	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0		0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Shows impartiality	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0		0		0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Shows preparedness	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0

#### Footnote

# Hon. Peter K. Sieg, Senior Count Judge

	Sum	ımary						Detailed	Resu	Its					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		trongly Agree (6)	N	oderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly isagree (3)		derately sagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 21			#	70	- f	. 70	#	70	#	70	#	70	#	70	
Number of Attorneys Nesponding.															
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.20	6	13	65.0%	3	15.0%	1	5.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.35	6	15	75.0%	1	5.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.00	6	12	63.2%	3	15.8%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	2	10.4%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.83	6	9	50.0%	5	27.8%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	2	11.0%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.26	6	12	63.2%	5	26.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	1	5.2%	2
Communications Skills:	5.07		4.4	70.70/		45.00/	0	2.20/	0	2.20/	4	<b>5.00</b> /		<b>5.00</b> /	0
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.37	6	14	73.7%	3		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	1	5.2%	2
Decisions are well reasoned  Decisions are clear and concise	4.95 5.21	6	9	47.4% 57.9%	7		0	0.0% 10.5%	1	5.3% 5.3%	0	0.0% 5.2%	0	10.5% 0.0%	2
Decisions address issues raised	5.21	6	14	73.7%	1	5.3%	2	5.3%	1	5.3%	2	10.4%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions address issues faised	5.26		14	13.1%	'	5.5%	'	5.5%	'	5.5%	2	10.4%	0	0.0%	2
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.81	6	19	90.5%	C	0.0%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.67	6	18	85.7%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.65	6	18	90.0%	C	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.84	6	18	94.7%	C	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.65	6	17	85.0%	C	0.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.50	6	16	80.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association,												10.70			4.0
functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.25	6	6	75.0%	C	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	13

#### Footnote:

# Hon. William R. Slaughter, II, Senior County Judge

	Sum	nmary			Detailed	Results			
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)	Moderately Agree (5)	Slightly Agree (4)	Slightly Disagree (3)	Moderately Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 2			" "	" 70	" "	" "	" "	" 70	
Logal Acumen									
Legal Acumen:  Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.50	6,5	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 <b>0.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	6.00	6,5	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	6.00	6	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	6.00	6	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	6.00	6	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
				5 51575	0 01070	0 01070		0.070	
Communications Skills:									
Able to articulate questions & decisions	6.00	6	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.50	6,5	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	6.00	6	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	6.00	6	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Professionalism:									
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.50	6,5	1 50.0%	1 <b>50.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	6.00	6	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.50	6,5	1 50.0%	1 <b>50.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	6.00	6	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 <b>0.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association,									
functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	N/A	N/A	0 <b>N/A</b>	0 <b>N/A</b>	0 <b>N/A</b>	0 <b>N/A</b>	0 <b>N/A</b>	0 <b>N/A</b>	2

#### Footnote

#### Hon. Walter M. Green, Alachua County Judge



functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)

	Sur	nmary			Detailed	Results			
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agre 5 - Moderately Ag 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disag 2 - Moderately Di 1 - Strongly Disag	gree Average Rating sagree	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)	Moderately Agree (5)	Slightly Agree (4)	Slightly Disagree (3)	Moderately Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	No Opinion
Number of Attorneys Responding: 13			# %	# %	# %	# %	# %	# %	#
Number of Attorneys (responding.									
Legal Acumen:									
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.85	6	7 53.8%	1 7.7%	3 <b>23.1%</b>	0 0.0%	2 <b>15.4%</b>	0 0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.46	6	10 <b>76.9%</b>	1 7.7%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.23	6	7 <b>53.8%</b>	3 <b>23.1%</b>	2 <b>15.4%</b>	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of la	w 4.85	6	7 <b>53.8%</b>	1 7.7%	3 <b>23.1%</b>	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.62	6	5 <b>38.5%</b>	2 <b>15.4</b> %	4 30.8%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	1 <b>7.6</b> %	0
Communications Skills:									
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.23	6	8 <b>61.5%</b>	2 <b>15.4%</b>	1 7.7%	2 <b>15.4%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	4.77	6	6 <b>46.2%</b>	1 7.7%	3 <b>23.1%</b>	3 <b>23.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	4.77	6	7 <b>53.8</b> %	0 0.0%	3 <b>23.1%</b>	2 <b>15.4%</b>	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.15	6	7 53.8%	2 <b>15.4%</b>	3 <b>23.1%</b>	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Professionalism:									
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	13 <b>100.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	6.00	6	13 <b>100.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.31	6	7 <b>53.8%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 <b>23.1%</b>	2 <b>15.4%</b>	1 7.7%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.69	6	9 <b>69.2%</b>	4 30.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.00	6	7 <b>53.8</b> %	1 7.7%	4 30.8%	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	4.85	6	8 <b>61.5%</b>	0 0.0%	1 <b>7.7%</b>	3 <b>23.1%</b>	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar associat	tion,								

0 0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

87.5%

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

5.75

# Hon. Thomas M. Jaworski, Alachua County Judge

	Sun	nmary						Detailed	Resul	ts					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		trongly Agree (6)		oderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		lightly sagree (3)		derately sagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 13				-,-			<i></i>			,,				,,,	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.42	6	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.83	6	6	50.0%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	2	16.6%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.42	6	5	41.7%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.2%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.33	6	4	33.4%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.73	6	6	54.5%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.58	6	5	41.8%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	4.50	6	5	41.7%	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	4.58	6	5	41.7%	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions address issues raised	4.83	6	5	41.7%	4	33.3%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.92	6	8	61.5%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.77	6	8	61.5%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.15	6	7	53.8%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.54	6	8	61.5%	4	30.8%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.23	6	8	61.5%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.00	6	7	53.8%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4

# Footnote:

85.7%

#### Hon. Susan Miller-Jones, Alachua County Judge



		Sun	nmary						Detailed	Resu	Its					
	Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		itrongly Agree (6)		oderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly isagree (3)		derately sagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding:	10			#	70	-#	70	-#-	70	#	70	#	70	#	70	<u>π</u>
Legal Acumen:  Demonstrates knowledge of the la	NA/	4.60	6	4	40.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	vv	5.00	6	5	50.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts		4.60	6	4	40.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in u	nsettled areas of law	4.70	6	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0
Willing to make decisions based or		5.11	6	5	55.6%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
willing to make decisions based of	ii cstabiisiica iaw	3.11	•	3	33.0 /0	•	11.170		<b>ZZ.Z</b> /0	•	11.170	U	0.0 /0	U	0.070	•
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & decis	sions	5.10	6	5	50.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned		4.80	6	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise		5.00	6	5	50.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised		5.20	6	6	60.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:						_										
Shows courtesy/civility		5.00	6	6	60.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament		4.70	6	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness		5.10	6	6	60.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics		5.60	6	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality		5.40	6	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness		5.20	6	6	60.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

5.57

Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association,

functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)

#### Hon. Meshon T. Rawls, Alachua County Judge



functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)

	Sur	mmary						Detailed	Resu	Its					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		itrongly Agree (6)	M	oderately Agree (5)		ilightly Agree (4)		Slightly isagree (3)	I I -	oderately isagree (2)		trongly isagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 13			-"-	70	-"	70	"	70	"	70	-"-	70	-"-	70	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.69	6	7	53.8%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.31	6	10	76.9%	O		1	7.7%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.62	6	7	53.8%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.58	6	7	58.3%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.92	6	8	61.5%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.85	6	8	61.5%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	4.77	6	8	61.5%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	4.62	6	8	61.5%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	0
Decisions address issues raised	4.92	6	8	61.5%	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0
														ļ	
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.62	6	12	92.3%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.69	6	12	92.3%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.15	6	10	76.9%	0		1	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	12	100.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.67	6	11	91.7%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	4.77	6	9	69.2%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association,															

0 0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

88.9%

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

5.78

# Hon. Kristine Van Vorst, Alachua County Judge

									Í
	Sun	nmary			Detailed	Results	1	,	
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)	Moderately Agree (5)	Slightly Agree (4)	Slightly Disagree (3)	Moderately Disagree (2) # %	Strongly Disagree (1) # %	No Opinion #
Legal Acumen:									
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.16	6	13 <b>68.5%</b>	2 <b>10.5</b> %	2 <b>10.5%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 <b>10.5%</b>	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.37	6	15 <b>78.9%</b>	0 0.0%	2 <b>10.5%</b>	1 <b>5.3</b> %	0 0.0%	1 5.3%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.11	6	14 73.7%	0 0.0%	2 <b>10.5%</b>	0 0.0%	2 <b>10.5%</b>	1 5.3%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.00	6	12 <b>70.6</b> %	1 5.9%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%	2 <b>11.8%</b>	1 5.8%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.94	6	13 <b>72.2%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 11.1%	2 11.1%	1 5.6%	1
Communications Skills:	5.00		44 77 00/	0.00/	4 5 00/	4 5.00/	0 44 00/	0 000/	
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.28	6	14 77.8%	0 0.0%	1 5.6%	1 5.6%	2 11.0%	0 0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	4.89	6	13 <b>68.4</b> %	1 5.3%	0 0.0%	2 10.5%	1 5.3%	2 10.5%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.05	6	14 73.7%	1 5.3%	0 0.0%	1 5.3%	1 5.3%	2 10.4%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.11	6	14 <b>77.8</b> %	1 <b>5.6</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 <b>16.6%</b>	1
Professionalism:									
Shows courtesy/civility	5.74	6	18 <b>94.7%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.3%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.68	6	17 <b>89.5</b> %	0 0.0%	1 5.3%	0 0.0%	1 <b>5.2%</b>	0 0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.42	6	14 73.7%	1 5.3%	3 <b>15.8%</b>	0 0.0%	1 <b>5.2</b> %	0 0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.94	6	17 <b>94.4</b> %	1 5.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.74	6	17 89.5%	1 5.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>5.2</b> %	0 0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.53	6	16 <b>84.2%</b>	0 0.0%	2 <b>10.5%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.3%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association,									
functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.92	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7

#### Footnote:

Hon. Lorelie P. Brannan, Baker County Judge

	Sun	nmary			Detailed	l Results			
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)	Moderately Agree (5)	Slightly Agree (4)	Slightly Disagree (3)	Moderately Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 5			" 70	" "		" "	" "		
Legal Acumen:									
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.60	6,5	2 <b>40.0</b> %	2 <b>40.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.75	6	3 <b>75.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.60	6,5	2 <b>40.0%</b>	2 <b>40.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	3.50	6,1	1 <b>50.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.75	6	3 <b>75.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>25.0</b> %	1
Communications Skills:									
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.50	6	2 <b>50.0%</b>	1 <b>25.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>25.0</b> %	1
Decisions are well reasoned	4.20	5	1 <b>20.0</b> %	2 <b>40.0</b> %	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	4.50	6	2 <b>50.0%</b>	1 <b>25.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>25.0</b> %	1
Decisions address issues raised	4.50	6	2 <b>50.0%</b>	1 <b>25.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>25.0</b> %	1
Professionalism:									
Shows courtesy/civility	5.00	6	4 80.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>20.0</b> %	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.80	6	3 <b>60.0%</b>	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>20.0</b> %	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.40	6	3 <b>60.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>20.0</b> %	0
Has integrity/ethics	4.00	6	3 <b>60.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 <b>40.0</b> %	0
Shows impartiality	4.40	6	3 <b>60.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>20.0</b> %	0
Shows preparedness	4.75	6	3 <b>75.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>25.0</b> %	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.00	6,5,1	1 33.4%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	2

# Footnote:

Hon. D. Tatum Davis, Bradford County Judge

	Sum	ımary						Detailed	Resu	Its					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagre 1 - Strongly Disagre	Rating	Most Frequent Response	\$	Strongly Agree (6)	M #	oderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly isagree (3)		oderately isagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 8			-	70	-	70	-#	70	π	70	"	70			
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.75	6	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.88	6	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.88	6	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.71	6	6	85.7%	0		1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.88	6	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.88	6	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.88	6	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.88	6	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.88	6	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.88	6	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.63	6	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.75	6	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	8	100.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.50	6	5	62.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3

#### Footnote

# Hon. Sheree H. Lancaster, Gilchrist County Judge

	Sun	nmary						Detailed	Resu	Its					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Stron Agre (6)	ee		derately Agree (5)		lightly Agree (4)		Slightly isagree (3)		derately sagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 8															
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.38	6	6 <b>7</b> 5	<b>'</b> 5.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	6.00	6	8 10	00.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.63	6	7 8	37.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	6.00	6	7 10	00.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	6.00	6	7 10	00.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.88	6	7 8	37.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.86	6	6 <b>8</b>	35.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	6.00	6	7 10	00.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.88	6	7 8	37.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	8 10	00.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.88	6	7 8	37.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	6.00	6	8 10	00.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	8 10	00.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.50	6	7 8	37.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.50	6	7 8	37.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.80	6	4 8	30.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3

#### Footnote

Hon. James T. Browning, Levy County Judge

	Sun	nmary						Detailed	Resul	ts					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		Strongly Agree (6)		oderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)	Di	lightly sagree (3)	Di	derately sagree (2)	Dis	rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion
Number of Attorneys Responding: 11			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.27	6	7	63.6%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.40	6	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.27	6	7	63.6%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.30	6	6	60.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.50	6	8	80.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.36	6	7	63.6%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.18	6	6	54.5%	2	18.2%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.36	6	7	63.6%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.30	6	6	60.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.18	6	8	72.7%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.91	6	7	63.6%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.50	6	7	70.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.73	6	9	81.8%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.30	6	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.50	6	8	80.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6

# Footnote:

# Hon. Mitchell D. Bishop, Union County Judge

	Sun	nmary			Detailed	l Results			7
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Ag 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disag 2 - Moderately Dis 1 - Strongly Disag	Average Rating Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)	Moderately Agree (5)	Slightly Agree (4)	Slightly Disagree (3)	Moderately Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 8			70	11 70	" 70	" 70	" 70	11 70	
Legal Acumen:									
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.75	6	6 <b>75.0%</b>	2 <b>25.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.88	6	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.88	6	7 <b>87.5</b> %	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	v 5.88	6	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	6.00	6	7 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1
Communications Skills:									
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.88	6	7 <b>87.5</b> %	1 <b>12.5</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	6.00	6	7 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	5.75	6	6 <b>75.0%</b>	2 <b>25.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	6.00	6	8 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Professionalism:									
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	8 <b>100.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.75	6	6 <b>75.0%</b>	2 <b>25.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.50	6	6 <b>75.0%</b>	1 <b>12.5</b> %	0 0.0%	1 <b>12.5</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	8 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.88	6	7 <b>87.5</b> %	1 <b>12.5</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.88	6	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar associati functions. Inns of Court. Teen Court. etc.)	on, <b>6.00</b>	6	5 <b>100.0</b> %	0 <b>0.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 <b>0.0</b> %	0 <b>0.0</b> %	0 0.0%	3
rundions, inits of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	0	3 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3

#### Footnote:

Hon. Mark E. Walker, Chief Judge, Northern District

	Sum	nmary			Detailed	Results			
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)	Moderately Agree (5)	Slightly Agree (4)	Slightly Disagree (3)	Moderately Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 10			" 70	11 70	" "	70	" 70	11 70	
Legal Acumen:									
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.90	6	9 90.0%	1 10.0%	0 <b>0.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.80	6	5 <b>50.0%</b>	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	1 10.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.80	6	8 80.0%	2 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.70	6	8 80.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.30	6	7 70.0%	1 <b>10.0</b> %	1 <b>10.0</b> %	0 0.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	0
J J									
Communications Skills:									
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.50	6	8 80.0%	1 <b>10.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>10.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.30	6	7 <b>70.0%</b>	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	2 <b>20.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.20	6	7 <b>70.0</b> %	1 <b>10.0</b> %	0 0.0%	1 <b>10.0</b> %	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.70	6	8 <b>80.0%</b>	1 <b>10.0%</b>	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Professionalism:									
Shows courtesy/civility	4.50	6	4 40.0%	2 <b>20.0</b> %	2 <b>20.0</b> %	0 0.0%	1 <b>10.0</b> %	1 <b>10.0</b> %	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.40	6	4 40.0%	3 <b>30.0%</b>	0 0.0%	1 <b>10.0</b> %	0 0.0%	2 <b>20.0</b> %	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.56	6	7 <b>77.8</b> %	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.80	6	9 90.0%	0 0.0%	1 10.0%	0 <b>0.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.30	6	7 <b>70.0</b> %	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	2 <b>20.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.70	6	8 80.0%	1 <b>10.0</b> %	1 <b>10.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association,									
functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.17	6	4 66.7%	0 0.0%	1 <b>16.7%</b>	1 <b>16.6</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4

#### Footnote

Hon. Allen C. Winsor, Federal District Court Judge

	Sum	nmary							Detailed	Resu	lts					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	\$	Strongly Agree (6)		Ą	erately gree (5)	#	Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly isagree (3)		derately sagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 6			#	/0		#	/0	#	/0	#	/0	#	70	#	/0	
Legal Acumen:  Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.83	6	5	83.3%	L	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.33	6	5	83.3%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.83	6	5	83.3%		-	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.50	6	5	83.3%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.50	6	4	66.7%		1	16.7%	1	16.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:	5.07			02.29/		0	0.00/	4	16.7%	0	0.00/	0	0.00/		0.00/	0
Able to articulate questions & decisions  Decisions are well reasoned	5.67 5.67	6	5	83.3% 83.3%		0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0% 0.0%	0	0.0% 0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.67	6	5	83.3%		0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.83	6	5	83.3%			16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility	5.67	6	5	83.3%		0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.50	6	5	83.3%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	6.00	6	6	100.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.50	6	5	83.3% 66.7%		0 1	0.0% 16.7%	0	0.0% 0.0%	1	16.7% 16.6%	0	0.0% 0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality Shows preparedness	5.33 6.00	6	6	100.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association,	0.00	0	0	100.0 %		U	U.U /0		U.U /0	J	U.U /0	U	U.U /0	U	0.0 /0	U
functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	3	100.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3

#### Footnote:

# Hon. Gary R. Jones, Magistrate Judge



															Ì
	Sur	nmary			_		_	Detailed	Resu	ts			1		
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response		Strongly Agree (6)	<b>M</b>	oderately Agree (5)		ilightly Agree (4)		lightly sagree (3)		derately isagree (2)	Di	rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 4															
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.33	6	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1

#### Footnote:

# Hon. Karen K. Specie, Chief Bankruptcy Judge

	Sun	nmary						Detailed	Res	ults					
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	#	Strongly Agree (6)	<b>N</b>	oderately Agree (5)	#	Slightly Agree (4)	#	Slightly Disagree (3)		derately sagree (2)		rongly sagree (1)	No Opinion #
Local Assessment															
Legal Acumen:  Demonstrates knowledge of the law	6.00	6	2	100.0%	C	0.0%		0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	6.00	6	1	100.0%				0.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	6.00	6	2	100.0%			_	0.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	6.00	6	1	100.0%				0.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	6.00	6	1	100.0%			_	0.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	0.00			100.070		0.070		3 0.070		0.070		0.070		0.070	•
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	6.00	6	1	100.0%	C	0.0%	(	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	6.00	6	2	100.0%	(			0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	6.00	6	2	100.0%	C	0.0%	(	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	6.00	6	2	100.0%	C	0.0%		0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	1	100.0%	C	0.0%	(	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	6.00	6	1	100.0%	C	0.0%	(	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	C	N/A	(	) <b>N/A</b>	0		0	N/A	0	N/A	2
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	1	100.0%	C		(	0.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	6.00	6	1	100.0%	C		(	0.0%	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	1	100.0%	C	0.0%	(	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association,															
functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	(	N/A	(	) <b>N/A</b>	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	2

#### Footnote:

Samuel P. Stafford, II, Director/CSE Hearing Officer



									1
	Sur	nmary			Detailed	Results	1	T	
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)	Moderately Agree (5)	Slightly Agree (4)	Slightly Disagree (3)	Moderately Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	No Opinion #
Legal Acumen:									
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.25	6	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 <b>0.0%</b>	1 <b>25.0</b> %	1 <b>25.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	3.75	6	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	0 <b>0.0%</b> 1 <b>25.0%</b>	0
Comprehends legal concepts	3.75	6	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	3.75	6,2,1	1 33.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	1 33.3%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	3.50	6,2,1	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 50.0%	0
Communications Skills:		,							
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.50	6,3	2 <b>50.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	3.75	6	2 <b>50.0%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.00	6	2 <b>50.0%</b>	1 <b>25.0</b> %	0 0.0%	1 <b>25.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.50	6	3 <b>75.0%</b>	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Professionalism:							2 224		
Shows courtesy/civility	5.00	6	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.50	6	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.00	6,4	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	4.25	6	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	0
Shows impartiality	3.75	6	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.25	6	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association,									
functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.00	6,2	1 <b>50.0</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 <b>50.0</b> %	0 0.0%	2

#### Footnote:

# Jodi Cason, General Magistrate/Hearing Officer

	Summary			Detailed Results													
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Strongly Agree (6)		Agree (6)	Moderately Agree (5)			Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion #	
Number of Attorneys Responding: 8																	
Legal Acumen:																	
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.75	6	6	75.0%		2 <b>25.0</b>	%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Listens to legal arguments	5.88	6	7	87.5%				0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Comprehends legal concepts	5.75	6	6	75.0%	:	2 <b>25.0</b>	%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.71	6	5	71.4%		2 <b>28.6</b>	%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.75	6	6	75.0%	:	2 <b>25.0</b>	%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Communications Skills:																	
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.75	6	6	75.0%		2 <b>25.0</b>	10/2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Decisions are well reasoned	5.75	6	6	75.0%		2 <b>25.0</b>		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Decisions are clear and concise	5.88	6	7	87.5%		1 12.5		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Decisions address issues raised	5.88	6	7	87.5%				0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
									,		31373						
Professionalism:																	
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	8	100.0%	(	0.0	%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Shows patience/temperament	6.00	6	8	100.0%		0.0	%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Shows industry/promptness	5.88	6	7	87.5%		1 12.5	5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	8	100.0%	(	0.0	%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Shows impartiality	6.00	6	8	100.0%		0.0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	8	100.0%	_ (	0.0	%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.67	6	5	83.3%	(	0.0	%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	

#### Footnote

# Bridget Baker, General Magistrate

	Summary		Detailed Results													
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)	Moderately Agree (5)	Slightly Agree (4)	Slightly Disagree (3)	Moderately Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	No Opinion #							
Number of Attorneys Responding: 12			# 70	# 70	# 70	π /0	π /0	# 70								
Legal Acumen:									I							
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.58	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	0							
Listens to legal arguments	5.58	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	0							
Comprehends legal concepts	5.75	6	10 <b>83.4</b> %	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0							
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.50	6	9 90.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 10.0%	2							
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.58	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	0							
Communications Skills:									1							
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.67	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	0							
Decisions are well reasoned	5.58	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	0							
Decisions are clear and concise	5.58	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	0							
Decisions address issues raised	5.58	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	0							
Professionalism:									1							
Shows courtesy/civility	5.58	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	0							
Shows patience/temperament	5.75	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0							
Shows industry/promptness	5.75	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0							
Has integrity/ethics	5.67	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	0							
Shows impartiality	5.58	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	0							
Shows preparedness	5.58	6	11 <b>91.7</b> %	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	0							
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.89	6	8 <b>88.9</b> %	1 <b>11.1%</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 <b>0.0%</b>	0 0.0%	3							

#### Footnote:

#### Katherine L. Floyd, General Magistrate

Summary **Detailed Results** Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree Most Strongly Moderately Slightly Slightly Moderately Strongly Average No 4 - Slightly Agree Frequent Agree Agree Agree Disagree Disagree Disagree Rating Opinion 3 - Slightly Disagree Response (6) (5) (4) (3) (2) (1) 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree % % Number of Attorneys Responding: 23 Legal Acumen: Demonstrates knowledge of the law 5.48 6 18 78.4% 13.0% 0.0% 0.0% 4.3% 4.3% 0 Listens to legal arguments 5.55 6 17 77.4% 3 13.6% 1 4.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 4.5% 1 Comprehends legal concepts 5.57 6 18 78.4% 3 13.0% 0 0.0% 4.3% 4.3% 0 0.0% 0 Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law 5.50 6 15 83.3% 1 5.6% 0 0.0% 1 5.6% 0 0.0% 1 5.5% 5 Willing to make decisions based on established law 5.59 19 0 0 2 9.1% 0 86.4% 4.5% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% **Communications Skills:** Able to articulate questions & decisions 5.74 6 82.7% 13.0% 0 0.0% 4.3% 0.0% 0.0% 0 19 0 Decisions are well reasoned 5.52 6 17 81.0% 2 9.5% 0 0.0% 1 4.8% 0 0.0% 4.7% 2 6 18.2% 0 0.0% 0 0 0.0% 0 Decisions are clear and concise 5.82 18 81.8% 0.0% 0.0% 17 22.7% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 0 0.0% Decisions address issues raised 5.77 6 77.3% Professionalism: Shows courtesy/civility 5.91 6 22 95.7% 0.0% 4.3% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 Shows patience/temperament 5.74 6 21 91.4% 1 4.3% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 4.3% 0 Shows industry/promptness 5.74 21 91.4% 4.3% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 6 1 0.0% 4.3% 0 Has integrity/ethics 5.78 95.7% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 4.3% 0 Shows impartiality 5.78 6 22 95.7% 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 4.3% 0 Shows preparedness 5.87 21 91.4% 4.3% 4.3% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 6 1 1 Participates in professional activities (eq. bar association. functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.) 5.88 6 94.1% 0.0% 5.9% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 6 16

#### Footnote:

# Nancy A. Wilkov, General Magistrate

	Sun	Detailed Results													
Scale: 6 - Strongly Agree 5 - Moderately Agree 4 - Slightly Agree 3 - Slightly Disagree 2 - Moderately Disagree 1 - Strongly Disagree	Average Rating Most Frequent Response		Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion #
Number of Attorneys Responding: 8			-"	70	"	70	-"	70	"	70	"	70	"	70	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	6.00	6	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.13	6	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	6.00	6	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.71	6	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.88	6	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	6.00	6	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.75	6	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.88	6	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.75	6	7	87.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.13	6	4	50.0%	3	37.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.00	6	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.88	6	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	6.00	6	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.40	6	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3

#### Footnote: