



EIGHTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT BAR ASSOCIATION, INC.

Main Office
P. O. Box 13924
Gainesville, FL 32604

Tele: (352) 380-0333
Fax: (866) 436-5944
Email: execdir@8jcba.org

Cherie H. Fine
President

Philip Kabler
President-Elect

Sharon T. Sperling
Treasurer

Dominique
Lochridge-Gonzales
Secretary

Executive Director:
Judy Padgett

2019 Judicial Poll Overview

The lawyers of the Eighth Judicial Circuit have a vital interest in attracting and retaining judicial officers with the highest possible qualifications, so that our courts demonstrate trust, respect and honor for our laws. This duty and responsibility of lawyers is recognized in the Rules of Professional Conduct that govern lawyers in Florida.

To assist and educate the public about this circuit's judicial officers, the Eighth Judicial Circuit Bar Association surveyed lawyers who practice law in our circuit. Our circuit comprises Alachua, Baker, Bradford, Gilchrist, Levy and Union counties.

The poll is intended to reflect the composite opinion of the local lawyers concerning the judicial abilities demonstrated for the 2018 calendar year for each of the judicial officers listed. Lawyers were instructed to disregard political considerations, and vote their conscience and opinion. If a lawyer did not have knowledge or experience as to a judicial officer's experience or capability in any one area sufficient to form a reasoned opinion, the lawyer was instructed to vote "No Opinion" as to that area.

The poll captured the lawyers' opinions in three broad categories:

- Legal acumen;
- Communication skills; and
- Professionalism.

Within each of these categories were more specific categories, as follows:

Legal Acumen:

- Demonstrates knowledge of the law;
- Listens to legal arguments;
- Comprehends legal concepts;
- Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of the law; and
- Willing to make decisions based on established law.

Communication Skills:

- Able to articulate questions and decisions;
- Decisions are well reasoned;
- Decisions are clear and concise; and
- Decisions address issues raised.

Professionalism:

- Shows courtesy/civility;
- Shows patience/temperament;
- Shows industry/promptness;
- Has integrity/ethics;
- Shows impartiality;
- Shows preparedness; and
- Participates in professional activities.

The scale used by the lawyers to evaluate each judicial officer was as follows:

- 6 - Strongly agree;
- 5 - Moderately agree;
- 4 - Slightly agree;
- 3 - Slightly disagree;
- 2 - Moderately disagree;
- 1 - Strongly disagree.

While the EJCBA is providing you with all of the results, please carefully note that the results become significantly less meaningful as the number of lawyers who responded to any single judicial officer decreases. For example, if only 10 people responded to a judicial officer, one voter has the ability to significantly impact the outcome, making the overall results for that judicial officer less reliable.

The Association included federal area judicial officers and administrative hearing officers, even though these are appointed positions, rather than elected. In addition to the detailed results, poll summary results are presented for each judicial officer based on averages as well as the most frequent response for each category.

This year, 199 lawyers participated in the poll.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Summary

Average Rating by Lawyers

Scale:

- 6 - Strongly Agree**
- 5 - Moderately Agree**
- 4 - Slightly Agree**
- 3 - Slightly Disagree**
- 2 - Moderately Disagree**
- 1 - Strongly Disagree**

	<i>Number of Responses</i>	<i>Legal Acumen:</i>	<i>Demonstrates knowledge of the law</i>	<i>Listens to legal arguments</i>	<i>Comprehends legal concepts</i>	<i>Analytically reaches decisions</i>	<i>Willing to make decisions based on established law</i>	<i>Communications Skills:</i>	<i>Able to articulate questions & decisions</i>	<i>Decisions are well reasoned</i>	<i>Decisions are clear and concise</i>	<i>Decisions address issues raised</i>	<i>Professionalism:</i>	<i>Shows courtesy/civility</i>	<i>Shows patience/temperament</i>	<i>Shows industry/promptness</i>	<i>Has integrity/ethics</i>	<i>Shows impartiality</i>	<i>Shows preparedness</i>	<i>Participates</i>
Circuit Judges:																				
Honorable Toby S. Monaco	56	5.89	5.86	5.89	5.81	5.77	5.91	5.72	5.82	5.80	5.91	5.91	6.00	5.98	5.78	5.87	6.00			
Honorable Monica J. Brasington	78	5.57	5.62	5.55	5.43	5.55	5.62	5.43	5.54	5.48	5.74	5.70	5.70	5.71	5.75	5.69	5.81			
Honorable Susanne Wilson Bullard	26	5.63	5.71	5.63	5.25	5.38	5.54	5.13	5.42	5.58	5.79	5.71	5.17	5.79	5.29	5.50	5.58			
Honorable James M. Colaw	47	5.54	5.28	5.52	5.10	5.22	5.52	5.09	5.48	5.48	5.76	5.40	5.78	5.18	4.39	5.62	5.88			
Honorable William E. Davis	39	5.87	5.97	5.77	5.71	5.79	5.84	5.67	5.87	5.92	5.97	5.97	5.97	6.00	5.92	5.97	5.74			
Honorable Stanley H. Griffis	61	3.10	2.52	3.15	2.63	2.70	3.20	2.58	3.05	3.00	2.50	2.27	2.95	2.74	2.72	2.93	2.45			
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	35	5.73	5.73	5.76	5.77	5.76	5.85	5.76	5.76	5.85	5.73	5.58	5.79	5.88	5.42	5.82	5.95			
Honorable Victor L. Hulslander	36	5.74	5.65	5.79	5.53	5.56	5.76	5.62	5.71	5.71	5.85	5.85	5.88	5.82	5.74	5.74	5.89			
Honorable Donna M. Keim	58	5.12	5.25	5.19	4.98	5.19	5.32	5.04	5.32	5.25	5.53	5.39	5.68	5.71	5.44	5.44	5.85			
Honorable David P. Kreider	30	5.57	5.60	5.60	5.62	5.60	5.67	5.52	5.62	5.66	5.73	5.60	5.52	5.70	5.43	5.53	5.91			
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	37	5.08	4.92	5.03	4.71	4.92	5.11	4.75	4.78	4.97	5.31	4.94	5.06	5.51	4.89	5.54	5.13			
Honorable James P. Nilon	31	5.61	5.57	5.57	5.48	5.57	5.64	5.50	5.61	5.75	5.72	5.62	5.79	5.76	5.57	5.93	5.88			
Honorable Phillip A. Pena	41	5.54	5.67	5.56	5.40	5.38	5.74	5.41	5.51	5.59	5.90	5.83	5.60	5.74	5.18	5.74	5.77			
County Judges:																				
Alachua County:																				
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	39	5.45	5.45	5.47	5.50	5.53	5.58	5.42	5.58	5.50	5.47	5.29	5.58	5.86	5.37	5.58	5.82			
Honorable Walter M. Green	33	5.28	5.44	5.28	5.19	5.19	5.63	5.28	5.56	5.38	6.00	5.91	5.25	5.74	5.47	5.34	5.32			
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	37	4.47	4.92	4.47	4.33	4.64	4.69	4.28	4.42	4.69	5.14	4.97	5.22	5.23	4.97	4.89	5.36			
Honorable Susan Miller-Jones	33	4.63	4.91	4.58	4.55	4.82	4.97	4.64	4.76	5.00	5.03	4.72	5.45	5.39	4.56	5.36	5.80			
Honorable Kristine Van Vorst	31	5.77	5.94	5.87	5.78	5.90	5.87	5.77	5.87	5.90	5.84	5.84	5.97	5.94	5.81	5.94	6.00			
Baker County: Honorable Joseph M. Williams	13	5.31	5.38	5.38	5.08	5.08	5.23	5.00	5.00	5.15	5.31	5.08	5.15	5.23	5.00	5.38	6.00			
Bradford County: Honorable D. Tatum Davis	19	5.39	5.44	5.56	5.43	5.33	5.56	5.17	5.39	5.50	5.56	5.50	5.83	5.61	5.11	5.78	5.77			
Gilchrist County: Honorable Sheree H. Lancaster	11	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00			
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	17	5.31	5.19	5.33	4.81	5.40	5.25	5.35	5.41	5.29	5.69	5.13	5.44	5.81	5.31	5.69	5.83			
Union County: Honorable Bo Bayer	7	4.43	4.71	4.43	4.00	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.14	4.86	4.57	3.33			
Federal District Court Judges:																				
Honorable William Terrell Hodges, Federal District Court Judge	12	6.00	5.92	6.00	5.92	6.00	5.92	5.83	6.00	6.00	5.58	5.67	5.92	6.00	5.75	6.00	5.83			
Honorable Mark E. Walker, Federal District Court Judge	22	5.86	5.43	5.82	5.70	5.52	5.80	5.41	5.68	5.59	4.95	4.67	5.43	5.50	5.24	5.71	5.40			
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	12	5.83	5.83	5.92	6.00	5.55	5.83	5.55	5.55	5.91	5.83	5.75	5.92	5.92	5.67	6.00	5.89			
Specialized Courts:																				
Karen K. Specie, Chief Bankruptcy Judge	11	5.89	5.89	5.89	5.78	5.89	5.89	5.89	5.89	6.00	6.00	5.89	6.00	5.89	5.89	6.00	6.00			
Magistrates:																				
Jodi H. Cason - General Magistrate/Hearing Officer	15	5.79	5.79	5.71	5.77	5.71	5.86	5.71	5.86	5.86	5.79	5.79	5.86	5.86	5.71	5.86	5.75			
Bridget Baker - General Magistrate	7	5.57	5.50	5.57	5.33	5.43	5.71	5.71	5.71	5.57	5.86	5.71	5.57	5.86	5.43	5.71	6.00			
Katherine L. Floyd - General Magistrate	17	5.76	5.94	5.94	5.80	5.88	5.88	5.81	5.94	6.00	5.94	5.94	5.94	6.00	5.94	5.94	6.00			
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	12	5.33	5.00	5.25	5.08	4.92	5.25	5.17	5.33	5.33	4.50	4.17	5.33	5.00	4.50	5.58	6.00			
Hearing Officers:																				
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	11	4.80	4.50	4.70	4.44	4.70	5.20	4.50	4.90	5.00	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.40	4.70	4.29			
Average Rating for all Judicial Officers		5.40	5.39	5.41	5.25	5.33	5.49	5.26	5.41	5.45	5.48	5.35	5.51	5.57	5.27	5.54	5.57			

Footnotes:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

"Number of Responses" represents the total number of lawyers who evaluated this judicial officer. In any given characteristic evaluated, however, less than the total number of lawyers may have provided a response because there were lawyers who responded "no opinion". Please see each Judicial Officer's Detailed Results for complete results.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Summary

Most Frequent Response by Lawyers

Scale:
6 - Strongly Agree
5 - Moderately Agree
4 - Slightly Agree
3 - Slightly Disagree
2 - Moderately Disagree
1 - Strongly Disagree

Circuit Judges:

Honorable Toby S. Monaco	56
Honorable Monica J. Brasington	78
Honorable Susanne Wilson Bullard	26
Honorable James M. Colaw	47
Honorable William E. Davis	39
Honorable Stanley H. Griffis, III	61
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	35
Honorable Victor L. Hulslander	36
Honorable Donna M. Keim	58
Honorable David P. Kreider	30
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	37
Honorable James P. Nilon	31
Honorable Phillip A. Pena	41

County Judges:

Alachua County:	
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	39
Honorable Walter M. Green	33
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	37
Honorable Susan Miller-Jones	33
Honorable Kristine Van Vorst	31
Baker County: Honorable Joseph M. Williams	13
Bradford County: Honorable D. Tatum Davis	19
Gilchrist County: Honorable Sheree H. Lancaster	11
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	17
Union County: Honorable Bo Bayer	7

Federal District Court Judges:

Honorable William Terrell Hodges, Federal District Court Judge	12
Honorable Mark E. Walker, Federal District Court Judge	22
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	12

Specialized Courts:

Karen K. Specie, Chief Bankruptcy Judge	11
---	----

Magistrates:

Jodi H. Cason - General Magistrate/Hearing Officer	15
Bridget Baker - General Magistrate	7
Katherine L. Floyd - General Magistrate	17
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	12

Hearing Officers:

Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	11
---	----

	Number of Responses	Legal Acumen:	Demonstrates knowledge of the law	Listens to legal arguments	Comprehends legal concepts	Analytically reaches decisions	Willing to make decisions based on established law	Communications Skills:	Able to articulate questions & decisions	Decisions are well reasoned	Decisions are clear and concise	Decisions address issues raised	Professionalism:	Shows courtesy/civility	Shows patience/temperament	Shows industry/promptness	Has integrity/ethics	Shows impartiality	Shows preparedness	Participates
Honorable Toby S. Monaco	56	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Monica J. Brasington	78	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Susanne Wilson Bullard	26	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable James M. Colaw	47	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable William E. Davis	39	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Stanley H. Griffis, III	61	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Honorable Robert K. Groeb	35	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Victor L. Hulslander	36	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Donna M. Keim	58	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable David P. Kreider	30	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Mark W. Moseley	37	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable James P. Nilon	31	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Phillip A. Pena	41	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Alachua County:																				
Honorable Denise R. Ferrero	39	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Walter M. Green	33	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Thomas M. Jaworski	37	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Susan Miller-Jones	33	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Kristine Van Vorst	31	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Baker County: Honorable Joseph M. Williams	13	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Bradford County: Honorable D. Tatum Davis	19	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Gilchrist County: Honorable Sheree H. Lancaster	11	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Levy County: Honorable James T. "Tim" Browning	17	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Union County: Honorable Bo Bayer	7	6,5,4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6,5	6,5	6	6	5	6,3,1	6
Federal District Court Judges:																				
Honorable William Terrell Hodges, Federal District Court Judge	12	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Mark E. Walker, Federal District Court Judge	22	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Honorable Gary R. Jones - Magistrate Judge	12	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Specialized Courts:																				
Karen K. Specie, Chief Bankruptcy Judge	11	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Magistrates:																				
Jodi H. Cason - General Magistrate/Hearing Officer	15	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Bridget Baker - General Magistrate	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Katherine L. Floyd - General Magistrate	17	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Nancy A. Wilkov - General Magistrate	12	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Hearing Officers:																				
Samuel P. Stafford, II - Director/CSE Hearing Officer	11	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Most Frequent Response for all Judicial Officers		6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6

Footnotes:

For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

"Number of Responses" represents the total number of lawyers who evaluated this judicial officer. In any given characteristic evaluated, however, less than the total number of lawyers may have provided a response because there were lawyers who responded "no opinion". Please see each Judicial Officer's Detailed Results for complete results.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Toby S. Monaco, Chief Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 56

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.89	6	51	91.1%	4	7.1%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.86	6	51	91.1%	4	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.89	6	52	92.9%	2	3.6%	2	3.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.81	6	48	90.6%	2	3.8%	2	3.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.77	6	49	87.5%	4	7.1%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.91	6	53	96.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	5.72	6	47	87.0%	3	5.6%	1	1.9%	2	3.7%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	5.82	6	49	89.1%	3	5.5%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.80	6	49	89.1%	3	5.5%	2	3.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.91	6	54	98.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.91	6	54	98.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	1
Shows industry/promptness	6.00	6	55	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.98	6	53	98.1%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.78	6	50	91.0%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	1
Shows preparedness	5.87	6	49	89.1%	5	9.1%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	42	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Monica J. Brasington, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 78

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.57	6	62	80.5%	9	11.7%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	3	3.9%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.62	6	68	88.3%	3	3.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	2	2.6%	3	3.9%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.55	6	63	81.8%	8	10.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	4	5.2%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.43	6	55	78.6%	7	10.0%	2	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	5	7.1%	8
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.55	6	60	79.0%	10	13.2%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	3	3.9%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.62	6	64	83.1%	8	10.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	2	2.6%	2	2.6%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	5.43	6	60	77.9%	8	10.4%	2	2.6%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	5	6.5%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	5.54	6	61	80.4%	7	9.2%	3	3.9%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	3	3.9%	2
Decisions address issues raised	5.48	6	62	80.5%	8	10.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	5	6.5%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.74	6	68	88.3%	6	7.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	2	2.6%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.70	6	66	85.7%	8	10.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	3.9%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.70	6	68	88.3%	5	6.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	3	3.9%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.71	6	70	90.9%	2	2.6%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	3	3.9%	1
Shows impartiality	5.75	6	71	92.2%	2	2.6%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	3.9%	1
Shows preparedness	5.69	6	69	89.6%	2	2.6%	2	2.6%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	3	3.9%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.81	6	39	90.7%	3	7.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	35

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Susanne Wilson Bullard, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree


Number of Attorneys Responding: 26

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.63	6	16	66.7%	7	29.2%	1	4.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.71	6	18	75.0%	5	20.8%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.63	6	17	70.9%	5	20.8%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.25	6	14	58.3%	5	20.8%	3	12.5%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.38	6	15	62.5%	6	25.0%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.54	6	17	70.8%	5	20.8%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions are well reasoned	5.13	6	14	58.3%	6	25.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	2
Decisions are clear and concise	5.42	6	14	58.3%	6	25.0%	4	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions address issues raised	5.58	6	17	70.8%	5	20.8%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.79	6	20	83.3%	3	12.5%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.71	6	19	79.2%	3	12.5%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.17	6	14	58.3%	7	29.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.79	6	21	87.5%	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.29	6	16	66.7%	4	16.7%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	1	4.1%	2
Shows preparedness	5.50	6	18	75.0%	4	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.1%	2
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.58	6	8	66.7%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. James M. Colaw, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 47

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.54	6	30	65.2%	14	30.4%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.28	6	27	58.7%	12	26.1%	3	6.5%	1	2.2%	3	6.5%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.52	6	31	67.4%	11	23.9%	3	6.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.10	6	21	53.9%	10	25.6%	3	7.7%	3	7.7%	0	0.0%	2	5.1%	8
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.22	6	30	66.7%	5	11.1%	3	6.7%	4	8.9%	3	6.6%	0	0.0%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.52	6	33	71.7%	7	15.2%	5	10.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	5.09	6	27	58.8%	6	13.0%	9	19.6%	0	0.0%	2	4.3%	2	4.3%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	5.48	6	29	63.0%	11	23.9%	5	10.9%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.48	6	31	67.4%	9	19.6%	4	8.7%	1	2.2%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.76	6	36	80.0%	7	15.6%	2	4.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.40	6	30	66.7%	7	15.6%	5	11.1%	2	4.4%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.78	6	37	82.3%	6	13.3%	2	4.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.18	6	34	75.6%	2	4.4%	2	4.4%	0	0.0%	4	8.9%	3	6.7%	2
Shows impartiality	4.39	6	19	41.3%	12	26.1%	1	2.2%	3	6.5%	4	8.7%	7	15.2%	1
Shows preparedness	5.62	6	34	75.6%	7	15.6%	3	6.7%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	2
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.88	6	22	88.0%	3	12.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. William E. Davis, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 39

Legal Acumen:

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.87	6	33	86.8%	5	13.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.97	6	37	97.4%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.77	6	34	87.2%	3	7.7%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.71	6	28	73.7%	9	23.7%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.79	6	34	87.2%	4	10.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	0

Communications Skills:

Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.84	6	32	84.2%	6	15.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	5.67	6	31	79.5%	5	12.8%	2	5.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.87	6	33	86.8%	5	13.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.92	6	35	92.1%	3	7.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1

Professionalism:

Shows courtesy/civility	5.97	6	38	97.4%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.97	6	38	97.4%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.97	6	37	97.4%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	38	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.92	6	35	92.1%	3	7.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.97	6	37	97.4%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.74	6	20	87.1%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Stanley H. Griffis, III, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 61

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	3.10	1	12	20.0%	7	11.7%	6	10.0%	7	11.7%	6	10.0%	22	36.6%	1
Listens to legal arguments	2.52	1	8	13.4%	5	8.3%	5	8.3%	6	10.0%	4	6.7%	32	53.3%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	3.15	1	11	18.3%	7	11.7%	9	15.0%	7	11.7%	5	8.3%	21	35.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	2.63	1	9	16.7%	4	7.4%	2	3.7%	7	13.0%	7	13.0%	25	46.2%	7
Willing to make decisions based on established law	2.70	1	9	15.1%	6	10.0%	5	8.3%	5	8.3%	8	13.3%	27	45.0%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	3.20	1	10	16.9%	11	18.6%	7	11.9%	6	10.2%	3	5.1%	22	37.3%	2
Decisions are well reasoned	2.58	1	7	11.9%	3	5.1%	11	18.6%	2	3.4%	9	15.3%	27	45.7%	2
Decisions are clear and concise	3.05	1	10	17.2%	7	12.1%	8	13.8%	5	8.6%	7	12.1%	21	36.2%	3
Decisions address issues raised	3.00	1	9	15.3%	6	10.2%	12	20.3%	4	6.8%	5	8.5%	23	38.9%	2
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	2.50	1	9	15.0%	4	6.7%	4	6.7%	4	6.7%	9	15.0%	30	49.9%	1
Shows patience/temperament	2.27	1	6	10.1%	5	8.3%	5	8.3%	2	3.3%	7	11.7%	35	58.3%	1
Shows industry/promptness	2.95	1	13	21.7%	6	10.0%	4	6.7%	5	8.3%	6	10.0%	26	43.3%	1
Has integrity/ethics	2.74	1	10	18.5%	5	9.3%	3	5.6%	4	7.4%	7	13.0%	25	46.2%	7
Shows impartiality	2.72	1	9	15.0%	6	10.0%	7	11.7%	3	5.0%	7	11.7%	28	46.6%	2
Shows preparedness	2.93	1	12	21.4%	5	8.9%	5	8.9%	3	5.4%	7	12.5%	24	42.9%	5
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	2.45	1	4	18.2%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	13	59.1%	39

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Robert K. Groeb, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 35

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.73	6	25	75.8%	7	21.2%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.73	6	28	84.9%	1	3.0%	4	12.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.76	6	27	81.8%	4	12.1%	2	6.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.77	6	25	83.3%	3	10.0%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.76	6	30	90.9%	0	0.0%	2	6.1%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.85	6	29	87.9%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions are well reasoned	5.76	6	27	81.8%	4	12.1%	2	6.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions are clear and concise	5.76	6	28	84.9%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.85	6	29	87.9%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.73	6	27	81.9%	4	12.1%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.58	6	24	72.7%	6	18.2%	1	3.0%	2	6.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.79	6	27	81.8%	5	15.2%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.88	6	31	94.0%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.42	6	25	75.8%	5	15.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	2	6.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.82	6	29	87.9%	2	6.1%	2	6.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.95	6	21	95.5%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Victor L. Hulslander, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 36

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.74	6	27	79.4%	5	14.7%	2	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.65	6	27	79.5%	5	14.7%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.79	6	29	85.3%	3	8.8%	2	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.53	6	22	68.8%	7	21.9%	2	6.3%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.56	6	24	70.6%	7	20.6%	2	5.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.76	6	29	85.4%	3	8.8%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions are well reasoned	5.62	6	24	70.7%	8	23.5%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions are clear and concise	5.71	6	26	76.5%	6	17.6%	2	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions address issues raised	5.71	6	26	76.5%	6	17.6%	2	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.85	6	30	88.3%	3	8.8%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.85	6	31	91.2%	1	2.9%	2	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.88	6	31	91.2%	2	5.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.82	6	32	94.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.74	6	29	85.3%	2	5.9%	2	5.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows preparedness	5.74	6	29	85.3%	4	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	2
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.89	6	17	89.5%	2	10.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Donna M. Keim, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 58

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.12	5	23	40.4%	27	47.4%	4	7.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	5.2%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.25	6	34	59.6%	16	28.1%	2	3.5%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	4	7.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.19	6	29	50.9%	20	35.1%	4	7.0%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	3	5.2%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.98	6	25	52.1%	10	20.8%	7	14.6%	2	4.2%	1	2.1%	3	6.2%	10
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.19	6	36	63.2%	11	19.3%	3	5.3%	2	3.5%	2	3.5%	3	5.2%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.32	6	37	64.9%	13	22.8%	2	3.5%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	3	5.2%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	5.04	6	32	56.1%	15	26.3%	1	1.8%	2	3.5%	3	5.3%	4	7.0%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	5.32	6	38	66.7%	9	15.8%	6	10.5%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	3	5.2%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.25	6	36	63.2%	12	21.1%	3	5.3%	2	3.5%	1	1.8%	3	5.1%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.53	6	45	78.9%	6	10.5%	2	3.5%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	2	3.5%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.39	6	41	71.9%	9	15.8%	3	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	7.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.68	6	47	82.5%	5	8.8%	4	7.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.71	6	48	87.3%	3	5.5%	1	1.8%	2	3.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	3
Shows impartiality	5.44	6	45	78.9%	3	5.3%	2	3.5%	4	7.0%	2	3.5%	1	1.8%	1
Shows preparedness	5.44	6	44	77.2%	6	10.5%	2	3.5%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	3	5.2%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.85	6	29	87.9%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	25

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. David P. Kreider, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 30

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.57	6	20	66.7%	7	23.3%	3	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.60	6	24	80.1%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.60	6	21	70.1%	7	23.3%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.62	6	20	77.0%	3	11.5%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.60	6	22	73.3%	5	16.7%	2	6.7%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.67	6	23	76.7%	5	16.7%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.52	6	22	76.0%	3	10.3%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	5.62	6	23	79.4%	4	13.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.66	6	22	76.0%	5	17.2%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.73	6	25	83.4%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.60	6	25	83.3%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	2	6.7%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.52	6	20	69.1%	7	24.1%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.70	6	25	83.3%	2	6.7%	2	6.7%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.43	6	22	73.4%	4	13.3%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.53	6	24	80.0%	2	6.7%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	2	6.6%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.91	6	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Mark W. Moseley, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 37

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.08	6	19	52.8%	11	30.6%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	2	5.6%	2	5.4%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.92	6	17	47.2%	9	25.0%	6	16.7%	0	0.0%	2	5.6%	2	5.5%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.03	6	19	52.8%	8	22.2%	5	13.9%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	2	5.5%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.71	6	16	47.1%	4	11.8%	8	23.5%	3	8.8%	0	0.0%	3	8.8%	3
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.92	6	19	52.8%	8	22.2%	2	5.6%	3	8.3%	2	5.6%	2	5.5%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.11	6	17	48.6%	12	34.3%	1	2.9%	4	11.4%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	2
Decisions are well reasoned	4.75	6	16	44.5%	8	22.2%	5	13.9%	4	11.1%	0	0.0%	3	8.3%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	4.78	6	18	50.0%	6	16.7%	5	13.9%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	3	8.3%	1
Decisions address issues raised	4.97	6	20	55.6%	6	16.7%	4	11.1%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	2	5.5%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.31	6	22	62.9%	8	22.9%	1	2.9%	2	5.7%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	4.94	6	18	51.4%	8	22.9%	3	8.6%	3	8.6%	1	2.9%	2	5.6%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.06	6	21	58.3%	5	13.9%	6	16.7%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	2	5.5%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.51	6	29	82.9%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	2	5.7%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	4.89	6	19	54.3%	7	20.0%	1	2.9%	5	14.3%	0	0.0%	3	8.5%	2
Shows preparedness	5.54	6	27	77.1%	3	8.6%	4	11.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	2
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.13	6	11	68.8%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	4	24.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. James P. Nilon, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 31

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.61	6	20	71.4%	7	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	3
Listens to legal arguments	5.57	6	21	75.0%	5	17.9%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.5%	3
Comprehends legal concepts	5.57	6	21	75.0%	5	17.9%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.5%	3
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.48	6	18	66.7%	7	25.9%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.57	6	21	75.0%	5	17.9%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.5%	3
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.64	6	23	82.1%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	3
Decisions are well reasoned	5.50	6	21	75.0%	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	3
Decisions are clear and concise	5.61	6	22	78.6%	4	14.3%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.5%	3
Decisions address issues raised	5.75	6	22	78.6%	5	17.9%	1	3.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.72	6	25	86.3%	3	10.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.62	6	24	82.8%	2	6.9%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	2
Shows industry/promptness	5.79	6	27	93.2%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.76	6	26	89.7%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	2
Shows impartiality	5.57	6	23	82.1%	3	10.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	3
Shows preparedness	5.93	6	27	96.4%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.88	6	21	87.5%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Phillip A. Pena, Circuit Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 41

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.54	6	30	76.9%	4	10.3%	3	7.7%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.67	6	33	84.6%	3	7.7%	0	0.0%	2	5.1%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.56	6	31	79.5%	3	7.7%	3	7.7%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.40	6	26	74.3%	4	11.4%	2	5.7%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	2	5.7%	6
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.38	6	31	79.5%	3	7.7%	0	0.0%	2	5.1%	0	0.0%	3	7.7%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.74	6	33	86.8%	2	5.3%	2	5.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	3
Decisions are well reasoned	5.41	6	30	76.9%	4	10.3%	0	0.0%	3	7.7%	0	0.0%	2	5.1%	2
Decisions are clear and concise	5.51	6	32	82.1%	1	2.6%	4	10.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.0%	2
Decisions address issues raised	5.59	6	31	79.5%	4	10.3%	2	5.1%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	2
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.90	6	37	92.5%	2	5.0%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.83	6	34	85.0%	5	12.5%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.60	6	32	80.0%	5	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	2	5.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.74	6	34	87.2%	3	7.7%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	2
Shows impartiality	5.18	6	28	70.0%	4	10.0%	2	5.0%	1	2.5%	3	7.5%	2	5.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.74	6	33	84.6%	4	10.3%	0	0.0%	2	5.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.77	6	18	81.9%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Denise R. Ferrero, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 39

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.45	6	25	65.8%	9	23.7%	2	5.3%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.45	6	26	68.4%	7	18.4%	2	5.3%	2	5.3%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.47	6	27	71.1%	8	21.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.50	6	26	76.6%	5	14.7%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.53	6	29	76.3%	5	13.2%	1	2.6%	2	5.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.58	6	29	76.4%	6	15.8%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	5.42	6	26	68.4%	7	18.4%	2	5.3%	2	5.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	5.58	6	30	79.0%	4	10.5%	2	5.3%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.50	6	28	73.7%	6	15.8%	1	2.6%	2	5.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.47	6	27	71.1%	8	21.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.29	6	23	60.5%	8	21.1%	4	10.5%	2	5.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.58	6	31	81.6%	2	5.3%	3	7.9%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.86	6	35	94.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.37	6	28	73.7%	5	13.2%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	2	5.3%	1
Shows preparedness	5.58	6	28	73.7%	6	15.8%	3	7.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.82	6	20	91.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Walter M. Green, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 33

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.28	6	19	59.4%	5	15.6%	6	18.8%	2	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.44	6	21	65.7%	5	15.6%	5	15.6%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.28	6	20	62.5%	3	9.4%	7	21.9%	2	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.19	6	17	53.1%	7	21.9%	5	15.6%	3	9.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.19	6	19	59.4%	5	15.6%	4	12.5%	3	9.4%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.63	6	23	71.9%	6	18.8%	3	9.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	5.28	6	17	53.1%	9	28.1%	4	12.5%	2	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	5.56	6	22	68.8%	7	21.9%	2	6.3%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.38	6	19	59.4%	8	25.0%	3	9.4%	2	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	32	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.91	6	29	90.6%	3	9.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.25	6	20	62.5%	5	15.6%	4	12.5%	2	6.3%	0	0.0%	1	3.1%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.74	6	26	83.9%	3	9.7%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.47	6	23	71.9%	4	12.5%	2	6.3%	3	9.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.34	6	20	62.5%	6	18.8%	3	9.4%	3	9.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.32	6	14	73.7%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	1	5.2%	0	0.0%	14

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Thomas M. Jaworski, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 37

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.47	6	13	36.1%	9	25.0%	6	16.7%	1	2.8%	4	11.1%	3	8.3%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.92	6	19	52.8%	5	13.9%	6	16.7%	3	8.3%	2	5.6%	1	2.7%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.47	6	14	38.9%	7	19.4%	6	16.7%	4	11.1%	1	2.8%	4	11.1%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.33	6	13	36.2%	7	19.4%	5	13.9%	4	11.1%	4	11.1%	3	8.3%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.64	6	17	47.2%	5	13.9%	6	16.7%	3	8.3%	2	5.6%	3	8.3%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.69	6	15	41.7%	9	25.0%	5	13.9%	2	5.6%	3	8.3%	2	5.5%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	4.28	6	12	33.4%	9	25.0%	3	8.3%	5	13.9%	3	8.3%	4	11.1%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	4.42	6	11	30.6%	10	27.8%	5	13.9%	5	13.9%	3	8.3%	2	5.5%	1
Decisions address issues raised	4.69	6	15	41.7%	8	22.2%	5	13.9%	4	11.1%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.14	6	23	63.9%	3	8.3%	5	13.9%	2	5.6%	3	8.3%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	4.97	6	20	55.6%	6	16.7%	3	8.3%	4	11.1%	2	5.6%	1	2.7%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.22	6	20	55.6%	8	22.2%	5	13.9%	2	5.6%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.23	6	24	68.6%	3	8.6%	4	11.4%	1	2.9%	2	5.7%	1	2.8%	2
Shows impartiality	4.97	6	22	61.1%	3	8.3%	5	13.9%	2	5.6%	2	5.6%	2	5.5%	1
Shows preparedness	4.89	6	19	52.8%	6	16.7%	6	16.7%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	3	8.2%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.36	6	14	63.7%	3	13.6%	4	18.2%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Susan Miller-Jones, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 33

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.63	5	11	34.4%	13	40.6%	2	6.3%	0	0.0%	3	9.4%	3	9.3%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.91	6	18	54.5%	5	15.2%	3	9.1%	4	12.1%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.58	6	13	39.4%	9	27.3%	3	9.1%	3	9.1%	2	6.1%	3	9.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.55	6	13	42.0%	8	25.8%	4	12.9%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	5	16.1%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.82	6	15	45.5%	8	24.2%	5	15.2%	1	3.0%	2	6.1%	2	6.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.97	6	17	51.5%	8	24.2%	4	12.1%	0	0.0%	2	6.1%	2	6.1%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	4.64	6	15	45.5%	6	18.2%	4	12.1%	3	9.1%	3	9.1%	2	6.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	4.76	6	15	45.5%	7	21.2%	6	18.2%	0	0.0%	3	9.1%	2	6.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.00	6	19	57.6%	6	18.2%	2	6.1%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	2	6.0%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.03	6	20	62.5%	5	15.6%	1	3.1%	2	6.3%	2	6.3%	2	6.2%	1
Shows patience/temperament	4.72	6	15	46.9%	8	25.0%	3	9.4%	0	0.0%	3	9.4%	3	9.3%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.45	6	22	66.7%	6	18.2%	4	12.1%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.39	6	20	64.6%	8	25.8%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	2
Shows impartiality	4.56	6	18	56.3%	4	12.5%	1	3.1%	2	6.3%	1	3.1%	6	18.7%	1
Shows preparedness	5.36	6	24	72.8%	3	9.1%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.80	6	17	85.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Kristine Van Vorst, County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 31

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.77	6	24	77.4%	7	22.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.94	6	29	93.5%	2	6.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.87	6	27	87.1%	4	12.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.78	6	23	85.2%	3	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.90	6	28	90.3%	3	9.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.87	6	27	87.1%	4	12.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.77	6	25	80.7%	5	16.1%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.87	6	27	87.1%	4	12.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.90	6	28	90.3%	3	9.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.84	6	30	96.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.84	6	30	96.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.97	6	30	96.8%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.94	6	30	96.8%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.81	6	27	87.1%	2	6.5%	2	6.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	5.94	6	29	93.5%	2	6.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	21	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Joseph M. Williams, Baker County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree


Number of Attorneys Responding: 13

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.31	6	8	61.5%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.38	6	8	61.5%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.38	6	8	61.5%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.08	6	6	50.0%	3	25.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.08	6	7	58.4%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.23	6	7	53.8%	5	38.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.00	5	5	38.5%	6	46.2%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.6%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.00	6	6	46.2%	4	30.8%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.6%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.15	6	7	53.8%	4	30.8%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.31	6	8	61.5%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.08	6	7	53.8%	3	23.1%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.15	6	8	61.5%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.23	6	10	76.9%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0
Shows impartiality	5.00	6	8	61.5%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	0
Shows preparedness	5.38	6	9	69.2%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. D. Tatum Davis, Bradford County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree


Number of Attorneys Responding: 19

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.39	6	9	50.0%	7	38.9%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.44	6	10	55.6%	6	33.3%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.56	6	11	61.1%	6	33.3%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.43	6	8	57.1%	4	28.6%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.33	6	10	55.6%	5	27.8%	2	11.1%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.56	6	12	66.7%	4	22.2%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	5.17	6	9	50.0%	4	22.2%	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	5.39	6	10	55.6%	6	33.3%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.50	6	12	66.7%	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.56	6	14	77.8%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.50	6	13	72.2%	1	5.6%	4	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.83	6	16	88.9%	1	5.6%	1	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.61	6	14	77.8%	1	5.6%	3	16.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.11	6	12	66.7%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	1	5.4%	1
Shows preparedness	5.78	6	15	83.3%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.77	6	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Sheree H. Lancaster, Gilchrist County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 11

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions address issues raised	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. James T. Browning, Levy County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 17

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.31	6	9	56.3%	4	25.0%	2	12.5%	1	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.19	6	9	56.3%	3	18.8%	2	12.5%	2	12.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.33	6	9	60.0%	2	13.3%	4	26.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.81	6	8	50.0%	1	6.3%	5	31.3%	0	0.0%	2	12.4%	0	0.0%	1
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.40	6	9	60.0%	3	20.0%	3	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.25	6	9	56.3%	3	18.8%	3	18.7%	1	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	5.35	6	9	53.0%	5	29.4%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.41	6	9	52.9%	6	35.3%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.29	6	9	52.9%	5	29.4%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.69	6	12	75.0%	3	18.8%	1	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.13	6	10	62.5%	0	0.0%	4	25.0%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.44	6	12	75.0%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	2	12.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.81	6	14	87.5%	1	6.3%	1	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.31	6	10	62.5%	3	18.8%	1	6.3%	2	12.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.69	6	13	81.3%	1	6.3%	2	12.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.83	6	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Bo Bayer, Union County Judge



Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

Number of Attorneys Responding: 7

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.43	6,5,4	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.2%	0
Listens to legal arguments	4.71	5	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	4.43	5	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.00	5	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.71	5	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	4.71	6	3	42.9%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.2%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	4.57	5	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.2%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	4.71	5	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0
Decisions address issues raised	4.71	5	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.86	6	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.86	6,5	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.2%	0
Shows industry/promptness	4.86	6,5	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.2%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.14	6	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0
Shows impartiality	4.86	6	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0
Shows preparedness	4.57	5	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.2%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	3.33	6,3,1	1	33.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	4

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. William Terrell Hodges, Federal District Court Judge

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Number of Attorneys Responding:		12													
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	6.00	6	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.92	6	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	6.00	6	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.92	6	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	6.00	6	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.92	6	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.83	6	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	6.00	6	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	6.00	6	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	5.58	6	9	75.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.67	6	10	83.4%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.92	6	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.75	6	11	91.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.83	6	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Mark E. Walker, Federal District Court Judge

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Number of Attorneys Responding:	22														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.86	6	20	91.0%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.43	6	17	81.0%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.82	6	19	86.4%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.70	6	17	85.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.52	6	17	81.0%	1	4.8%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.80	6	18	90.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions are well reasoned	5.41	6	17	77.4%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.68	6	18	81.9%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.59	6	18	81.9%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.95	6	12	57.1%	4	19.0%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	1
Shows patience/temperament	4.67	6	10	47.6%	5	23.8%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	2	9.5%	2	9.5%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.43	6	16	76.2%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.50	6	17	85.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.24	6	15	71.5%	2	9.5%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%	1
Shows preparedness	5.71	6	19	90.5%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.7%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.40	6	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	12

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Gary R. Jones, Magistrate Judge

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results														
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion		
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Number of Attorneys Responding:	12																
Legal Acumen:																	
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.83	6	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.83	6	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.92	6	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	6.00	6	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.55	6	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	1
Communications Skills:																	
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.83	6	11	91.7%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.55	6	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	5.55	6	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.91	6	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:																	
Shows courtesy/civility	5.83	6	11	91.7%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows patience/temperament	5.75	6	10	83.4%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.92	6	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.92	6	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows impartiality	5.67	6	10	83.4%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.89	6	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Hon. Karen K. Specie, Chief Bankruptcy Judge

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Number of Attorneys Responding:		11													
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.89	6	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Listens to legal arguments	5.89	6	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Comprehends legal concepts	5.89	6	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.78	6	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.89	6	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.89	6	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions are well reasoned	5.89	6	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions are clear and concise	5.89	6	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Decisions address issues raised	6.00	6	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	6.00	6	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows patience/temperament	5.89	6	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows industry/promptness	6.00	6	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Has integrity/ethics	5.89	6	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows impartiality	5.89	6	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Shows preparedness	6.00	6	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Samuel P. Stafford, II, Director/CSE Hearing Officer

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Number of Attorneys Responding:	11														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	4.80	6	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	4.50	6	5	50.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	4.70	6	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	4.44	6	4	44.5%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.70	6	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.20	6	6	60.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	4.50	6	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	4.90	6	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.00	6	6	60.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.70	6	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	4.60	6	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	4.60	6	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	4.70	6	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1
Shows impartiality	4.40	6	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1
Shows preparedness	4.70	6	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	4.29	6	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	4

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Jodi Cason, General Magistrate/Hearing Officer

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results														
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion		
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#	
Number of Attorneys Responding:	15																
Legal Acumen:																	
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.79	6	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Listens to legal arguments	5.79	6	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Comprehends legal concepts	5.71	6	10	71.4%	4	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.77	6	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.71	6	11	78.6%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Communications Skills:																	
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.86	6	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are well reasoned	5.71	6	10	71.4%	4	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions are clear and concise	5.86	6	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Decisions address issues raised	5.86	6	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Professionalism:																	
Shows courtesy/civility	5.79	6	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows patience/temperament	5.79	6	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows industry/promptness	5.86	6	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Has integrity/ethics	5.86	6	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows impartiality	5.71	6	11	78.6%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Shows preparedness	5.86	6	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	5.75	6	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Bridget Baker, General Magistrate

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results													
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		#
Number of Attorneys Responding:	7															
Legal Acumen:																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.57	6	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Listens to legal arguments	5.50	6	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comprehends legal concepts	5.57	6	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.33	6	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.43	6	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.71	6	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Decisions are well reasoned	5.71	6	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Decisions are clear and concise	5.71	6	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Decisions address issues raised	5.57	6	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility	5.86	6	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shows patience/temperament	5.71	6	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shows industry/promptness	5.57	6	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Has integrity/ethics	5.86	6	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shows impartiality	5.43	6	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shows preparedness	5.71	6	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Katherine L. Floyd, General Magistrate

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results													
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Number of Attorneys Responding:	17															
Legal Acumen:																
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.76	6	14	82.4%	2	11.8%	1	5.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Listens to legal arguments	5.94	6	15	93.8%	1	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comprehends legal concepts	5.94	6	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.80	6	12	80.0%	3	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Willing to make decisions based on established law	5.88	6	15	88.2%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Communications Skills:																
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.88	6	14	87.5%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Decisions are well reasoned	5.81	6	13	81.3%	3	18.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Decisions are clear and concise	5.94	6	15	93.8%	1	6.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Decisions address issues raised	6.00	6	16	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Professionalism:																
Shows courtesy/civility	5.94	6	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shows patience/temperament	5.94	6	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shows industry/promptness	5.94	6	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Has integrity/ethics	6.00	6	17	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shows impartiality	5.94	6	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shows preparedness	5.94	6	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	14	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.

2019 EJCBA Judicial Poll Results

Nancy A. Wilkov, General Magistrate

Scale:
 6 - Strongly Agree
 5 - Moderately Agree
 4 - Slightly Agree
 3 - Slightly Disagree
 2 - Moderately Disagree
 1 - Strongly Disagree

	Summary		Detailed Results												
	Average Rating	Most Frequent Response	Strongly Agree (6)		Moderately Agree (5)		Slightly Agree (4)		Slightly Disagree (3)		Moderately Disagree (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		No Opinion
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Number of Attorneys Responding:	12														
Legal Acumen:															
Demonstrates knowledge of the law	5.33	6	8	66.7%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Listens to legal arguments	5.00	6	8	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0
Comprehends legal concepts	5.25	6	8	66.7%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Analytically reaches decisions in unsettled areas of law	5.08	6	7	58.3%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Willing to make decisions based on established law	4.92	6	7	58.3%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Communications Skills:															
Able to articulate questions & decisions	5.25	6	9	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are well reasoned	5.17	6	9	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions are clear and concise	5.33	6	9	75.1%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0
Decisions address issues raised	5.33	6	9	75.1%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0
Professionalism:															
Shows courtesy/civility	4.50	6	6	50.1%	0	0.0%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0
Shows patience/temperament	4.17	6	6	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	0
Shows industry/promptness	5.33	6	9	75.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Has integrity/ethics	5.00	6	8	72.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	1
Shows impartiality	4.50	6	6	50.1%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0
Shows preparedness	5.58	6	10	83.4%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Participates in professional activities (eg. bar association, functions, Inns of Court, Teen Court, etc.)	6.00	6	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9

Footnote:
 For information on the Judicial Poll generally, please see accompanying narrative overview.